Design, synthesis and molecular docking studies of some morpholine linked thiazolidinone hybrid molecules
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1. Introduction

With time, new classes of antimicrobial drugs were discovered and clinically used to treat patients with bacterial infections and related diseases [1]. In spite of initial success, they couldn't combat the emergence of multiple drug resistance (MDR). Over time microorganisms develop resistance to any drug, making them less effective [2-5]. Microorganisms have developed resistance to most of the current commercial antibiotics [6]. They develop resistance either by erroneous replication, modifying the drug target site or by exchange of resistant traits among themselves [7-9]. The global report of World Health Organization (WHO) on antimicrobial resistance (AMR) published in June, 2014 and updated in 2015 mentions that resistance of common bacteria to commercial antibiotics has reached alarming levels in many parts of the world. The report highlights that resistance to most widely used antibacterial medicines, fluoroquinolones and methicillin for the treatment of infections caused by E. coli and S. aureus, respectively, is very widespread [10]. This scenario poses a huge challenge of developing new classes of antibiotics which either have a different mechanism of action or possess high binding affinity towards the target site of resistant microbial strains. Any lack in development of new antimicrobial drugs is a serious threat to public health [11].

Morpholine moiety is of immense importance to medicinal chemists and has been used as core scaffold and capping fragment to design new drug molecules [12,13]. It forms functional unit in almost 19 FDA approved drugs [14]. One of the common drugs linezolid which belongs to the oxazolidinone class of antibiotics contains morpholine subunit. The main structural feature responsible for its popularity is the presence of oxygen atom, which is capable of participating in donor-acceptor type interactions with the substrate and thereby forms a strong complex with its target. Oxygen atom also complements the pharmacophoric performance by reducing the basicity of nitrogen. And finally, if morpholine subunit gets attached to a lipophilic scaffold it increases its bioavailability through oral administration by increasing aqueous solubility [15-18]. Likewise the chemistry of thiazolidine ring system is of considerable interest as it forms core structure of many biomolecules, commercial drugs [19]and synthetic molecules with promising antimicrobial, antifungal, anticancer and antidiabetic activity [20-28]. Combining multiple bioactive structural moieties into a single molecule usually complements the overall pharmacophoric performance and has been extensively used to design new...
drug candidates in structure based drug design [29,30]. Continuing our effort to build novel biologically active molecules [31,32] here, we designed morpholine linked thiazolidinone hybrid molecules with the aim of developing a new class of antimicrobials. The molecules were synthesized through a three step reaction protocol which involves simple reaction setup and moderate reaction conditions. In vitro susceptibility tests were carried against some selected Gram positive (Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis) and Gram negative bacteria (Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa). In silico tools are continuously been used to design drugs with desired properties. Molinspiration and Oris programs were used to calculate some crucial properties for the designed molecules. To our delight, the designed molecules gave excellent results for all such properties confirming the potential of such molecules as possible drugs.

When antibiotics act on particular bacteria, they either kill them (bactericidal) or stop their growth (bacteriostatic). They do so by binding at cellular targets and inhibiting their normal function. The common targets being peptidoglycans in cell wall synthesis, plasma membrane, ribosomes in protein synthesis, translation, transcription, DNA/RNA replication pathway and metabolites of cell [33,34]. To predict the possible target for the designed molecules, molecular docking simulations were done against nine well established targets viz: 1BNA, 1JZQ, 2RIG, 2VEG, 2ZDQ, 3TTZ, 3UDI, 1QG6 and 4URM. The top scored target was chosen as target for the synthesized molecules.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemistry

Starting materials, reagents and solvents were purchased either from Merck or from Aldrich and were of reagent grade. Chemicals required for biological tests were purchased from HiMedia. Compounds were synthesized mostly under reflux conditions. Melting points were determined on an open capillary apparatus and are reported without correction. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FT-IR DR800 spectrophotometer with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ in the range 400-4000 cm⁻¹. 1H NMR spectra of compounds (in DMSO-d₆ or CDCl₃) were recorded on Bruker AV 500 spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 500 MHz. Peak multiplicities are designated as: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, double doublet; t, triplet; td, triplet of doublets; m, multiplet. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm units relative to TMS as internal standard. High-resolution mass spectrometry was performed under ESI conditions at a resolution of 61800 using a Thermo Scientific Exact mass spectrometer. Elemental analysis was done by Thermo Scientific FLASH-2000 CHN Analyzer. The values were within 0.4% of the calculated values. The reactions were monitored by TLC on 60F₂₅₄ silica gel pre coated sheets (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) which were visualized under UV (254 and 365 nm) light, with ethyl acetate: hexane (6:4, v/v) or chloroform: methanol (9:1, v/v) as solvent systems. The compounds were purified either by recrystallization or by column chromatography with 200-250 silica gel mesh.

2.2. Design

A careful survey of literature revealed the importance of morpholine and thiazolidinone moieties with respect to their biological potential. We therefore designed hybrid molecules with these moieties. Structural optimization of the final product with electron releasing (methyl, methoxy) and electron withdrawing functionality (nitro, halogens) was done with the aim of studying electronic effects on activity. Halogens, particularly fluorine and chlorine positively influence the biological properties of molecules. Halogen bonding has been found to be one of the factors by which chlorine alters the biological effect of molecules [35]. Substitution of hydrogen by fluorine usually has a positive impact on the activity of a molecule as fluorine is considered a classic bioisostere for hydrogen and methyl groups. And amongst halogens bromine has least prevalence in drugs so was left out while designing the molecules [36]. Taking these factors into consideration molecules were designed and later synthesized through a three step reaction protocol.

2.3. Synthesis

The designed compounds were synthesized by the multi-step reaction protocol (Figure 1). The first reaction (formation of thiourea) in the Figure 1 was carried out by reacting the appropriate amine with phenyl isothiocyanate in chloroform. After completion of the reaction, cyclisation of this intermediate was achieved by reacting it with chloroacetyl chloride in chloroform and catalytic amount of NEt₃. The final compounds (S2B3-13) in the series were obtained by refluxing the previous intermediates with commercially available aromatic aldehydes in presence of acetic acid and sodium acetate to facilitate the Knoevenagel condensation at the active methylene function of thiazolidinone ring.
2.3.1. General procedure for the synthesis of 1-(2-morpholinoethyl)-3-phenythiourea (SB21)

Phenyl isothiocyanate (15 g, 111.11 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform, and then 4-(2-aminoethyl)phosphine (8.11 g, 111.11 mmol) was added slowly at room temperature, and then refluxed for 8 hours, till white precipitate appeared. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with chloroform, and dried to afford product 1 as a white powder. The product was recrystallized from ethanol (95%). The crystals were purified by column chromatography using chloroform:methanol (4:1, v/v) as eluent to afford the compound SB21 (Figure 1).

2.3.2. General procedure for the synthesis of (Z)-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)-2-(phenylimino)thiazolidin-4-one (SB22)

To a solution of compound SB21 in chloroform, chloroacetyl chloride was added drop wise in presence of catalytic amount of NEt3. The mixture was refluxed till the completion of reaction as monitored by TLC (18 h). The solvent was evaporated. Removal of the solvent gives an oily residue, which was dissolved in diethyl ether and washed with aqueous sodium carbonate (10%). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulphate, filtered, and concentrated (Figure 1).

2.3.3. General procedure for the synthesis of (2Z,5E)-5-(substituted benzylidene)-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)-2-(phenylimino)thiazolidin-4-one (SB3-13)

To a solution of compound SB32 (35 mmol) in acetic acid/sodium acetate buffer (50 mL), aromatic aldehydes (1.5 equiv.) were added in subsequent reactions to get the final products. The solution was refluxed till the completion of reaction as monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature, filtered, and recrystallized from ethanol (Figure 1).

(2Z, 5E)-5-benzylidene-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)-2-(phenylimino)thiazolidin-4-one (SB33)

Color: Brown. Yield: 75%. M.p.: 390-395 °C. FT-IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 1710 (C=O), 1639 (C=N), 1250 (N=C ring). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 7.01 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.09 (d, 1H, Ar-H), 7.10-7.40 (m, 7H, Ar-H + CH-arylidene). 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 167.35 (10CO), 117.21 (11C), 152.52 (13C), 129.93 (17,19C), 126.88 (18C), 125.21 (16,20C), 66.87 (2.4C), 55.68 (1.5C), 52.85 (7C), 41.5 (8C), 135.01 (21C), 117.05 (11C), 150.3 (13C), 44.5 (8C), 134.25 (22C), 164.5 (24C), 109.3 (25C), 125.5 (26C), 125.3 (27C).

HRMS (EI, m/z) calcdd. for C₂₃H₂₁N₅O₃S: 423.14; found 423.17.

(2Z, 5E)-5-(3-methylbenzylidene)-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)-2-(phenylimino)thiazolidin-4-one (SB26)

Color: Brown. Yield: 62%. M.p.: 362-365 °C. FT-IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 2955 (C-H). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 2.39 (t, 4H, N-CH₂-morpholine). 3.12 (t, 2H, CH₂-N-Morpholine). 3.37 (t, 4H, O-CH₂-morpholine). 7.01 (s, 1H, CH-arylidene). 7.07-7.41 (m, 8H, Ar-H). 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 167.35 (10CO), 117.21 (11C), 152.52 (13C), 129.93 (17,19C), 126.88 (18C), 125.21 (16,20C), 66.87 (2.4C), 55.68 (1.5C), 52.85 (7C), 41.5 (8C), 135.01 (21C), 117.05 (11C), 150.3 (13C), 44.5 (8C), 134.25 (22C), 124.5 (23C), 136.5 (24C), 121.2 (25C), 124.3 (26C), 124.9 (27C).

HRMS (EI, m/z) calcdd. for C₂₃H₂₁N₅O₃S: 423.14; found 423.17.

(2Z, 5E)-5-(3-chlorobenzylidene)-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)-2-(phenylimino)thiazolidin-4-one (SB28)

Color: Light brown. Yield: 70%. M.p.: 370-372 °C. FT-IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 1045 (C=O). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 2.39 (t, 4H, N-CH₂-morpholine). 3.12 (t, 2H, CH₂-N-Morpholine). 3.37 (t, 4H, O-CH₂-morpholine). 7.05 (s, 1H, CH-arylidene). 7.10-7.40 (m, 8H, Ar-H). 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 167.35 (10CO), 117.21 (11C), 152.52 (13C), 129.93 (17,19C), 126.88 (18C), 125.21 (16,20C), 66.87 (2.4C), 55.68 (1.5C), 52.85 (7C), 41.5 (8C), 135.01 (21C), 117.05 (11C), 150.3 (13C), 44.5 (8C), 134.25 (22C), 124.5 (23C), 136.5 (24C), 121.2 (25C), 124.3 (26C), 124.9 (27C).

HRMS (EI, m/z) calcdd. for C₂₃H₂₁N₅O₃S: 423.14; found 423.17.

2.3.4. General procedure for the synthesis of 1-(2-morpholinoethyl)-2-(phenylimino)thiazolidin-4-one (SB25)

Phenyl isothiocyanate (15 g, 111.11 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform, and then 4-(2-aminoethyl)phosphine (8.11 g, 111.11 mmol) was added slowly at room temperature, and then refluxed for 8 hours, till white precipitate appeared. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with chloroform, and dried to afford product 1 as a white powder. The product was recrystallized from ethanol (95%). The crystals were purified by column chromatography using chloroform:methanol (4:1, v/v) as eluent to afford the compound SB21 (Figure 1).
+ CH-arylidene), 7.55 (dd, 2H, Ar-H). 1° C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ ppm): 167.35 (100C), 142.11 (13C), 129.93 (17,19 C), 126.88 (18C), 125.21 (16,20 C), 66.87 (2.4 C), 55.68 (15C), 52.85 (7C), 41.5 (8C), 34.04 (21C), 116.07 (11C), 170.02 (10C), 150.3 (13C), 44.5 (8C). HRMS (EI, m/z) calcld. for C23H19NO3S, 427.36; found 427.36.

(2Z,4E)-5-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-(2-morpholinoethyl)-2-(phenylimino)thiazolidin-4-one (SB210): Color: Brown. Yield: 72%. Mp.: 395-400 °C. FT-IR (KBr, ν, cm⁻¹): 1025 (C–N). 3.12 (4H, CH2-N-Morpholine). 3.56 (2H, CH-N-thiazolidine), 7.10-7.42 (m, 10H, Ar-H + CH-arylidene). 1° C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ ppm): 167.35 (100C), 117.21 (11C), 152.52 (13C), 129.93 (17,19 C), 126.88 (18C), 125.21 (16,20 C), 66.87 (2.4 C), 55.68 (15C), 52.85 (7C), 41.5 (8C), 134.04 (21C), 116.07 (11C), 170.02 (10C), 150.3 (13C), 44.5 (8C). HRMS (EI, m/z) calcld. for C23H19NO3S, 427.36.

(Yield: 62 %, Mp.: 374-378 °C. FT-IR (KBr, ν, cm⁻¹): 2955 (C–H). 1° H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ ppm): 2.43 (s, 3H, CH3). 2.39 (3H, CH3-N-Morpholine). 3.12 (4H, CH2-N-Morpholine). 3.37 (4H, CH2-CH-arylidene). 3.56 (2H, CH-N-thiazolidine), 7.11-7.41 (m, 8H, Ar-H + CH-arylidene). 7.52 (2H, Ar-H). 1° C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ ppm): 167.35 (100C), 112.71 (11C), 152.52 (13C), 129.93 (17,19 C), 126.88 (18C), 125.21 (16,20 C), 66.87 (2.4 C), 55.68 (15C), 52.85 (7C), 41.5 (8C). HRMS (EI, m/z) calcld. for C22H22ClN3O2S, 427.11; found 427.28.


Results

Chemistry

Molecular docking calculations were performed on Autodock VINA software [39]. High resolution crystal structure of nine targets were downloaded from RCSB PDB website. The protein was prepared for docking by removing waters, co-factors (except NADH) and co-crystallized ligands using Discovery Studio Visualizer (DSV) 4.0. NADH was retained as the antimicrobial drugs acts complex with NADH. Atomic charges were calculated using Kollman method, polar hydrogen were added and finally grid dimensions (40×40×40 Å) were defined so as to include all the residues of the active site in Auto dock tools (ADT). For docking, the ligands were prepared by converting the 2D to 3D geometry followed by optimization at semi-empirical (PM3) level of theory. Atomic charges were calculated by Geistenger method. Torsions and rotatable bonds were defined in ADT. To test the accuracy of the docking protocol, the co-crystallized molecule (triclosan) was removed from the protein structure and was docked at the active site (to remove any crystallographic bias the ligand was drawn and optimized de novo). The docking protocol we employed predicted the same conformation as that in the crystal structure with RMSD values well within 1 Å (Figure 2). Autodock VINA predicts bound conformations and calculates the binding affinity. Both the parameters have significance while carrying virtual screening. Out of the nine conformations predicted by Autodock VINA for each molecule, the conformation which was close to co-crystallized ligand and scored well was chosen as the active conformation. These conformations were visualized in DSV (Accelys Software Inc.) and LigandPlus [40] software to get insights into the interactions involved.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Spectral analysis
The formation of compound **S2B1** (Figure 1) was confirmed by recording its FT-IR, $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR and HRMS spectra. Presence of N-H stretching vibrations at 3319 and 3261 cm$^{-1}$ confirms the formation of thiourea. Shifts in aromatic C-H stretch (3055 cm$^{-1}$) and disappearance of primary amine vibrations corroborated the above results. $^1$H NMR spectra of compound **S2B1** showed two broad singlets which were interpreted for thiourea N-H at δ 7.6 and 9.7 ppm. The most prominent peaks in $^{13}$C NMR spectra at δ 179 ppm confirmed the presence of C=S linkage. The molecular ion peak ([M]$^+$ = 265.25 m/z) corresponded to the molecular mass of the compound.

The formation of compound **S2B2** was similarly confirmed from the corresponding spectra. FT-IR spectra show a sharp band at 1725 cm$^{-1}$, which was assigned for carbonyl C=O stretch. Disappearance of N-H and C=S stretching vibrations and presence of N=C and ring N-C=S vibrations at 1635 and 1255 cm$^{-1}$ confirm the formation of thiazolidin ring. $^{13}$C NMR spectra shows peaks for carbonyl and methylene carbons at δ 169 and 31 ppm, respectively, which is consistent with formation of thiazolidinave ring. The molecular ion peak ([M]$^+$ = 305.36 m/z) corresponds to the molecular mass of the compound.

The final compounds **S2B3-13** were similarly characterized by recording the FT-IR, $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of the compounds. The absorption band at 1515 cm$^{-1}$ in FT-IR spectra corresponds to arylidene C=C stretch. A singlet at δ 6.9-7.2 ppm and a multiplet at δ 6.9-8.1 ppm corresponding to CH and aromatic protons, respectively, confirmed the formation of the subsequent compounds. The substitution pattern in the phenyl ring was confirmed from the splitting pattern and corresponding coupling constants in the aromatic region of NMR spectra. A representative figure for **S2B3** with numbering for NMR interpretation is shown in Figure 3.

### 3.2. In silico study of drug likeness and molecular properties

As a starting point to evaluate the pharmaceutical potential of the synthesized molecules, we decided to calculate some in silico properties for these molecules. Most of the clinical drugs available in market possess some peculiar properties and it has been possible to quantitatively differentiate such properties (descriptors). A drug candidate should have certain structural features which increase its bioavailability and help it cross the blood brain barrier. It has become possible to quantify such structural features in terms of molecular properties like “Rule of five”, Molecular Polar Surface Area (TPSA), Molecular Volume, Number of Rotatable Bonds (nrotb) etc. The Lipinski “Rule of five” [41] highlights the importance of physical parameters like lipophilicity (log P ≤ 5), molecular weight (≤ 500) and the number of hydrogen bond donors (≤ 10)/acceptors (≤ 10) for bioavailability and oral absorption. TPSA descriptor characterizes drug absorption, intestinal absorption, bioavailability, Caco-2 permeability and blood-brain barrier penetration [42].
Table 1. Orisis prediction of molecular volume, molecular total polar surface area (TPSA), drug score, number of rotatable bonds (nrotb) and solubility (log S).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Total polar surface area (TPSA)</th>
<th>Drug score</th>
<th>Number of rotatable bonds (nrotb)</th>
<th>log S, log (mol/cm³/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2B3</td>
<td>356.92</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B4</td>
<td>361.85</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B5</td>
<td>370.46</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B6</td>
<td>373.48</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B7</td>
<td>380.25</td>
<td>92.67</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-5.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B8</td>
<td>382.47</td>
<td>56.08</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B9</td>
<td>361.85</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B10</td>
<td>370.46</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B11</td>
<td>373.48</td>
<td>46.84</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B12</td>
<td>380.25</td>
<td>92.67</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B13</td>
<td>382.47</td>
<td>56.08</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Prediction of Lipinski parameters; mi Log P (Molinspiration Partition Function), natoms (total no of atoms), MW (molecular weight), nO (no of hydrogen bond acceptors), nOHNH (no of hydrogen bond donors).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>mi Log P</th>
<th>natoms</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>nO</th>
<th>nOHNH</th>
<th>nviolations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2B3</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>393.51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B4</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>411.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B5</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>427.96</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B6</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>407.54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B7</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>438.51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>423.54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B9</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>411.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B10</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>427.96</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B11</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>407.54</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B12</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>438.51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B13</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>423.54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. MIC values in μg/mL±SD (n = 3) for the synthesized molecules calculated by agar dilution method (The experiments were done in triplicate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against bacteria in μg/mL ±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2B3</td>
<td>12.5±0.2, 12.5±0.6, 25±0.62, 50±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B4</td>
<td>12.5±0.2, 6.25±0.09, 3.125±0.60, 12.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B5</td>
<td>6.25±0.62, 12.5±0.0, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.2, 25±0.62, 100±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B6</td>
<td>6.25±0.62, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.3, 20±0.12, 12.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B7</td>
<td>3.125±0.25, 12.5±0.0, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.2, 25±0.62, 100±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B8</td>
<td>6.25±0.62, 12.5±0.0, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.0, 25±0.62, 100±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B9</td>
<td>6.25±0.62, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.2, 25±0.62, 12.5±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B10</td>
<td>6.25±0.62, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.2, 25±0.62, 12.5±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B11</td>
<td>6.25±0.62, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.2, 25±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B12</td>
<td>12.5±0.5, 50±0.3, 12.5±0.2, 25±0.62, 12.5±0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2B13</td>
<td>12.5±0.5, 50±0.62, 12.5±0.2, 25±0.62, 12.5±0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nrotb topological parameter is a measure of molecular flexibility and is a good descriptor for oral bioavailability [43]. Molecular properties for the synthesized compounds were calculated by Molinspiration and Orisis web servers are shown in Table 1 [44].

None of the synthesized molecules violates the Lipinski Rule of five. Among the synthesized compounds Orisis property predictor predicts the floro, chloro and methoxy derivatives to be better drug candidates in terms of oral absorption and overall bioavailability as compared to others however on an overall basis all the synthesized molecules score pretty well for all the calculated properties (Table 2). Halogens particularly fluorine and chlorine positively influence the biological properties of molecules. Halogen bonding has been found to be one of the factors by which chlorine alters the biological effect of molecules. The molinspiration predicts that the nitro derivatives because of large polar surface area show better interaction compared to other derivatives. From these studies it was found that the molecules possess drug like properties and can interact with biomolecules to exert a particular effect. Having satisfied ourselves with primary in silico screening assay of these compounds we decided to carry in vitro antimicrobial activity of these compounds to further investigate their biological potential.

3.3. Antimicrobial activity

As is evident from Table 3, compound S2B7 was found to be the most active molecule in terms of MIC MIC value of 2 μg/mL was found against E. coli. A look at the structure of S2B7 shows that the structural feature responsible for its high activity is the presence of nitro group at the meta position wherein nitrogen and oxygen atoms provide polar surfaces for interaction with substrate molecules. A careful observation of MIC values shows that methyl substitution suppresses activity and presence of NO2 and Cl groups enhance activity. As is evident from Table 3, most of the compounds inhibit the growth of both gram positive as well as Gram negative bacterial strains to substantial levels. Examining the MIC values (Table 3) shows S2B7 to be most potent molecule against P. aeruginosa with MIC value 2.5 µg/mL which is better than the standard drugs Streptomycin and Ciprofloxacin with MIC value 3.125 µg/mL, this is followed by S2B4 and S2B11 with MIC value 3.125 and 6.25 µg/mL, respectively. The high activity of S2B5 and S2B12 against P. aeruginosa is attributed to the presence of Cl and NO2 groups which both complement the activity. As revealed by docking these groups help the molecule to attain a conformation which fits the target site and bonds through multiple interactions. For most of the compounds the docking scores how a good correlation with the MICs for compounds against E. coli. The most noticeable exception being S2B11. Upon examination of structure activity relationships it was observed that amongst the para-substituted derivatives para-nitro was found to be most potent. This may be due to the ability of NO2 group to take part in donor-acceptor interactions. Halogens in general and chlorine in particular increase the oral absorption and potency of a drug candidate [45]. Thus in addition to the bioactivity para-chloro derivatives might show good oral absorption as well. Further structural optimization might lead to more actives.
3.4. Molecular docking

The aim of carrying docking study was to first predict the molecular target for the synthesized molecules and later rationalize the experimental activity. The tested molecule S2B3 was docked against nine different targets with PDB IDs; 1BNA, 1JZQ, 2RJG, 2VEG, 2ZDQ, 3TTZ, 3UDI, 1QG6 and 4URM [46-54]. As is evident from Table 4, the docking simulations predict that compound S2B3 has the strongest binding affinity towards 1QG6. Based on the docking score Enoyl-ACP reductase was chosen as possible target and subsequently docking of all synthesized molecules was carried against this particular target.

Enoyl-ACP reductase is a key enzyme for fatty acid biosynthesis in bacteria. The biosynthetic pathway for fatty acid synthesis in bacteria is pretty different from that of mammals. This is of great advantage in designing molecules which are highly specific towards bacteria [55]. Molecular level understanding of the extent to which the molecules could inhibit the functioning of target macromolecule was studied by looking at the interactions involved between the target and inhibitor.

Triclosan (co-crystallized with Enoyl-ACP reductase) is an antimicrobial drug which is ineffective against resistant strains of E. coli because amino acids Gly93, Met159 and Phe203 are mutated to Val, Thr and leu, respectively, at the active site. Therefore, for a drug to be active against the resistant strains of bacteria, they should be able to interact with the mutated as well as the non-mutated residues. From docking studies it is clear that the designed inhibitors bind at the same site where triclosan binds (Figure 4) and interact strongly with residues apart from the mutated ones (Figure 5). Binding affinity values ranging from -8.0 to -9.5 were predicted for the designed molecules (Table 5). The prominent interactions include H-bonding, alkyl-π and π-π stacking interactions. Most of the inhibitors interact with Ile20, Gly93 and NAD by forming strong hydrogen bonds. The formation of more than two H-bonds conveys specificity to the inhibitors for the target. The highest binding affinity is predicted for S2B11 followed by S2B4, S2B6 and S2B7, respectively. Compound S2B4 forms H-bonds with Ile20, Ile192 and Gly93. For compound S2B12, Thr194 and Gly93 form H-bonds whereas Tyr146 gets involved in a strong π-π stacking interaction. In conclusion docking simulations predict strong inhibitor-substrate interaction which is a highly desirable feature for drug candidates.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we were successful in establishing the initial hypothesis of synthesizing a novel class of hybrid molecules with broad spectrum antibiotic activity. As a starting point, in silico molecular descriptor calculations predicted drug like properties in the synthesized molecules, which were later confirmed by in vitro susceptibility tests against some Gram positive and negative bacteria. Molecular docking studies predicted the molecules as Enoyl-ACP reductase inhibitors. The compounds bind at the target site and get involved in Van der Waals, H-bonding and hydrophobic interactions. High binding affinity (-8.4 to -9.5 kcal/mol) was predicted for the inhibitors. Presence of nitro and chloro groups substantially increased the activity of molecules when present at meta/para position in the substituted phenyl ring. In conclusion the compounds we report have shown great promise for their antimicrobial potential. Further structural optimization may lead to discovery of other leads for antimicrobial drug discovery.
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