

European Journal of Chemistry

Journal homepage: www.eurjchem.com

# Synthesis, characterization and crystal structure of 2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole

# Mohamed Ziaulla, Maralavadi Nagaraju Manjunatha, Kuderu Rajendraswamy Nagasundara and Noor Shahina Begum\*

Department of Chemistry, Bangalore University, Bangalore, IN-560001, India

\*Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Bangalore University, Bangalore, IN-560001, India. Tel.: +91.80.22961344; fax: +91.80.22961331. E-mail address: <u>noorsb@rediffmail.com</u> (N.S. Begum).

intermolecular C-H...N, N-H...N and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions.

ABSTRACT

#### ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received: 25 October 2010 Received in revised form: 04 December 2010 Accepted: 05 December 2010 Online: 31 March 2011

# **KEYWORDS**

2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*]imidazole Benzimidazole Crystal structure Hydrogen bond π-π Interactions Spectroscopy

# 1. Introduction

Benzimidazoles and their derivatives exhibit a number of important pharmacological properties, such as antihistaminic [1], anti-ulcerative [2], antiallergic [3], and antipyretic [4]. In addition, benzimidazole derivatives are effective against the human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) [5] and are also efficient selective neuropeptide Y Y1 receptor antagonists [6]. Most of the described methods for the synthesis of benzimidazoles make use of volatile organic solvents and involve solid-phase synthesis via *o*-nitroanilines [7-10] or the condensation of *o*-phenylenediamines with carboxylic acid derivatives [11], aldehydes [12-17] and aryl halides [18].

We report herein the synthesis, structure and characterization of 2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*] imidazole.

# 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Materials and physical measurements

All the chemicals were reagent grade; they were used as such without further purification. The solvents (methanol, ethanol, etc.) were purified according to the standard methods. The FT-IR spectra in KBr pellet was recorded on a Nicolet impact 400D spectrometer in the range 4000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (using TMS as internal reference) was recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer. The mass spectrum was recorded using Z-spray electrospray ionization (ESI) source on Esquire 300 plus, Bruker Daltonics. The melting point was obtained using an electrothermal melting point

apparatus. Elemental analysis is carried out using elementar-Vario El III and Carlo Erba-1108 instruments.

in **TChen** 

#### 2.2. X-ray analysis and refinement

An efficient synthesis of the title compound, 2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole,

was carried out by the condensation reaction of o-phenylenediamine and p-thiomethyl

benzaldehyde in benzene. The structure was confirmed by spectroscopic data and elemental

analyses. The molecular structure was determined from single crystal X-ray diffraction data. The compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pbca* with a = 8.544(2) Å,

b = 9.700(3) Å, c = 29.684(8) Å, V = 2460.0(11) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8. The crystal structure is stabilized by

The X-ray diffraction data for the compound 3 was collected on a Bruker Smart CCD Area Detector System, using MoK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> ( $\lambda$ =0.71073 Å) radiation for the crystal. Intensity data were collected up to a maximum of 26.37° in the  $\omega\text{-}\varphi$  scan mode. The data were reduced using SAINTPLUS [19]. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS97 [20] and difference Fourier synthesis using SHELXL97 [20]. The positions and anisotropic displacement parameters of all nonhydrogen atoms were included in the full-matrix least-square refinement using SHELXL97 [20] and the procedures were carried out for a few cycles until convergence was reached. A total of 17827 reflections were collected, resulting in 2520 [Rint = 0.0847] independent reflections of which the number of reflections satisfying I> $2\sigma(I)$  criteria was 1382. These were treated as observed. The H atoms were placed at calculated positions in the riding model approximation (C-H = 0.93 Å), with their temperature factors were set to 1.2 times those of the equivalent isotropic temperature factors of the parent atoms. All other non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The *R* factor for observed data finally converged to R = 0.0736 with  $wR_2 = 0.1351$  in the compound. The maximum and minimum values of residual electron density were 0.188 and -0.156 eÅ-3. Molecular diagrams were generated using ORTEP [21]. The mean plane calculation was done using the program PARST [22].



Figure 1. Molecular structure of the title compound with the atomic-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids plotted at 50% probability level. Dotted line indicates intramolecular C4-H4...N2 interaction.

# 2.3. Synthesis

A mixture of *o*-phenylenediamine (10 mmol) and *p*-thiomethylbenzaldehyde (10 mmol) in benzene (100 mL) was refluxed for 2 h in a steam bath. On standing overnight yellow crystalline solid precipitated (Scheme 1). The yellow solid product formed was separated by filtration and washed with a mixture of water and *n*-hexane. It was recrystallised from ethanol to get pale yellow crystals and then dried in vacuum over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The yellow product is produced in 90% (2.34 mg) yield. M.p.: 115 °C. FT-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1604 (C=N), 1471 (N-H), 1331 (C-N). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOH, 400 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm): 7.989 (d, *J*=10.8 MHz, 2H), 7.575 (m, 2H), 7.378 (d, *J*=8.8 MHz, 4H), 7.224 (m, 2H), 4.82 (s, 1H), 2.533 (s, 3H). ESI-MS (m/z): 240 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S.: C, 70.01; H, 5.04; N, 11.66; S, 13.32. Found: C, 69.71; H, 4.32; N, 12.41; S, 12.95.



#### 3. Results and discussion

The various bands seen in the IR spectrum of compound **3** have been assigned to the bending modes of vibration of different groups present in the organic compound. The band at 3441 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to the stretching vibration of NH<sub>2</sub> in *o*-phenylenediamine is not observed in the IR spectrum of compound **3**. This implies the formation of compound **3** formation of compound **3** via a condensation reaction between *o*-phenylenediamine and *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde. A strong C=N stretching band in the IR spectrum of compound **3** seems to occur at around 1604 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum the singlet for the thiomethyl protons appeared at  $\delta$  2.53 ppm and the characteristic broad singlet for the proton of N<sub>1</sub> appeared at  $\delta$  4.82 ppm. The signals for the aromatic protons were also noted in Section 2.3.

Figure 1 shows the ORTEP diagram of the molecule with thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability of the title compound. Table 1 gives the crystal data and the structure refinement. Selected bond lengths and bond angles are presented in Table 2. All non-bonded interactions are tabulated in Table 3. The benzimidazole and thiomethyl phenyl groups are non-planar with a dihedral angle of 26.73(2)° between them. The thiomethyl group is *cis* to benzimidazole ring. The

molecular structure is primarily stabilized by a weak intramolecular C4-H4...N2 hydrogen bond [C4-H4 = 0.930 Å, H4...N2 = 2.702(3) Å, C4...N2 = 2.969(5) Å and the angle C4-H4...N2 = 97.41(3)°] leading to the formation of a pseudo-fivemembered hydrogen-bonded pattern (S(5) according to Etter's graph set analysis [23,24]). This pseudo-five-membered ring locks the molecular conformation and eliminates conformational flexibility. The bond lengths and angles for the benzimidazole moiety of the molecule are in good agreement, within experimental errors, with those observed in other benzimidazole derivatives [25-31]. The N1-C2 and N2-C2 distances were found to be 1.356(4) Å and 1.325(4) Å, respectively. The cis orientation of the thiomethyl group and phenyl ring is characterized by the torsion angle C5-C6-S1-C7 = 16.6(4)° in the molecule. Additionally, the crystal structure is stabilized by intermolecular [C-H...N and N-H...N] bonds. The C4-H4...N1 and N1-H1...N2 interactions together generates bifurcated hydrogen bonds from two donors, C4 and N2, to the same acceptor, N1. These bifurcated hydrogen bonds link the dimers into zig-zag tapes along the crystallographic 'a' axis (Figure 2). Additionally, the supramolecular assembly is further stabilized by  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions between the benzimidazole and thiomethyl phenyl rings (Figure 3). The C8...C14 (-1+x, y, z) disposed at a distance of 3.742(5) Å.



**Figure 2.** Packing diagram of **3** viewed along the 'a' axis. Dotted lines indicates C4-H4...N1 and N1-H1...N2 intermolecular interactions.



**Figure 3.** View of the molecular packing in **3**, showing  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions between the benzimidazole and thiomethyl phenyl rings.

60

| <b>Table 1.</b> Crystal data and structure refinement for compound 3. |  |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| Empirical formula                 | $C_{14}H_{12}N_2S$                          |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Formula weight                    | 240.32                                      |
| Temperature                       | 293(2) K                                    |
| Wavelength                        | 0.71073 Å                                   |
| Crystal system, space group       | Orthorhombic, Pbca                          |
| Unit cell dimensions              | a = 8.544(2)Å                               |
|                                   | b = 9.700(3) Å                              |
|                                   | c = 29.684(8) Å                             |
| Volume                            | 2460.0(11) Å <sup>3</sup>                   |
| Ζ                                 | 8   |
| Calculated density                | 1.298 Mg/m <sup>3</sup>                     |
| Absorption coefficient            | 0.241 mm <sup>-1</sup>                      |
| F(000)                            | 1008  |
| Crystal size                      | 0.40 x 0.35 x 0.30 mm                       |
| Theta range for data collection   | 1.37 to 26.37°                              |
| Limiting indices                  | $-10 \le h \le 10$                          |
|                                   | $-12 \le k \le 11$                          |
|                                   | $-36 \le l \le 37$                          |
| Reflections collected / unique    | 17827/2520 [R(int) = 0.1166]                |
| Completeness to theta             | 26.37 100.0 %                               |
| Refinement method                 | Full-matrix least-squares on F <sup>2</sup> |
| Data / restraints / parameters    | 2520 / 0 / 155                              |
| Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup> | 0.962                                       |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]     | R1 = 0.0736, wR2 = 0.1351                   |
| R indices (all data)              | R1 = 0.1496, wR2 = 0.1676                   |
| Largest diff. peak and hole       | 0.188 and -0.156 e. Å <sup>-3</sup>         |
| Measurement                       | Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer             |
| Program system                    | SAINTPLUS                                   |
| Structure determination           | Direct methods (SHELXL97, SHELXS97)         |
| Molecular graphics                | ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997)                    |
| CCDC                              | 763065                                      |

 

 Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for 2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole.

 Pand lengths

| Donu lengths |          |             |          |
|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| C1-N1        | 1.373(4) | C6-C8       | 1.393(5) |
| C1-C11       | 1.384(5) | C6-S1       | 1.764(4) |
| C1-C10       | 1.394(5) | C7-S1       | 1.771(5) |
| C2-N2        | 1.325(4) | C8-C9       | 1.370(5) |
| C2-N1        | 1.356(4) | C10-C14     | 1.390(5) |
| C2-C3        | 1.463(5) | C10-N2      | 1.397(4) |
| C3-C4        | 1.384(5) | C11-C12     | 1.379(5) |
| C3-C9        | 1.394(5) | C12-C13     | 1.389(5) |
| C4-C5        | 1.382(5) | C13-C14     | 1.370(5) |
| C5-C6        | 1.385(5) |             |          |
|              |          |             |          |
| Bond Angles  |          |             |          |
| N1-C1-C11    | 132.0(3) | C8-C6-S1    | 117.1(3) |
| N1-C1-C10    | 105.6(3) | C9-C8-C6    | 120.7(4) |
| C11-C1-C10   | 122.4(3) | C8-C9-C3    | 121.1(4) |
| N2-C2-N1     | 112.4(3) | C14-C10-C1  | 120.1(3) |
| N2-C2-C3     | 124.5(3) | C14-C10-N2  | 130.4(3) |
| N1-C2-C3     | 123.1(3) | C1-C10-N2   | 109.5(3) |
| C4-C3-C9     | 118.0(3) | C12-C11-C1  | 116.6(3) |
| C4-C3-C2     | 120.7(3) | C11-C12-C13 | 121.5(3) |
| C9-C3-C2     | 121.3(3) | C14-C13-C12 | 121.8(4) |
| C5-C4-C3     | 121.3(3) | C13-C14-C10 | 117.6(3) |
| C4-C5-C6     | 120.4(4) | C2-N1-C1    | 107.6(3) |
| C5-C6-C8     | 118.6(3) | C2-N2-C10   | 104.9(3) |
| C5-C6-S1     | 124.3(3) | C6-S1-C7    | 103.1(2) |

Table 3. Non-bonded interactions and possible hydrogen bonds (Å, °) for compound 3 (D-donor; A-acceptor; H-hydrogen).

| D—H···A | D—H      | Н…А      | D…A      | D—H···A |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| C4-H4N2 | 0.930(4) | 2.702(3) | 2.969(5) | 98      |
| C4-H4N1 | 0.930(4) | 2.948(3) | 3.446(4) | 115     |
| N1-H1N2 | 0.860(3) | 2.023(3) | 2.856(4) | 162     |
|         |          |          |          |         |

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the University Grants Commission, India for the financial assistance and Department of Science and Technology, India for data collection on the CCD facility. Our sincere thanks are due to the Raman Research Institute, Bangalore, for the elemental analysis and to the NMR Research Centre, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, for NMR spectra. Our thanks are also due to Prof. D. N. Sathyanarayana and Prof. T. N. Guru Row, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore for their valuable suggestions. The authors also thank M. S. Ramaiah Institute of Technology, Bangalore, for their support and encouragement.

# Supplementary material

CCDC-763065 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via <a href="http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data-request/cif">www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data-request/cif</a>, or by e-mailing <a href="http://data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk">data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data-request/cif</a>, or by e-mailing <a href="http://data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk">data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data-request/cif</a>, or by e-mailing <a href="http://data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk">data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data-request/cif</a>, or by e-mailing <a href="http://data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk">data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</a>, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 <a href="http://data-request.eta">http://data-request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</a>, or by contacting The Cambridge CB2 <a href="http://data-request.eta">LEZ</a>, UK; fax: +44(0)1223-336033.

# References

- [1]. Al Muhaimeed, H. J. Int. Med. Res. 1997, 25, 175-181.
- [2]. Scott, L. J.; Dunn, C. J.; Mallarkey, G.; Sharpe, M. Drugs 2002, 62, 1503-1538.
- [3]. Nakano, H.; Inoue, T.; Kawasaki, N.; Miyataka, H.; Matsumoto, H.; Taguchi, T.; Inagaki, N.; Nagai, H; Satoh, T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2000, *8*, 373-380.
- [4]. Cohn, G. Ber. 1899, 32, 2239-2242.
- [5]. Zhu, Z.; Lippa, B.; Drach, J. C.; Townsend, L. B. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 2430-2437.
- [6]. Zarrinmayeh, H.; Nunes, A. M.; Ornstein, P. L.; Zimmerman, D. M.; Arnold, M. B.; Schober, D. A.; Gackenheimer, S. L.; Bruns, R. F.; Hipskind, P. A.; Britton, T. C.; Cantrell, B. E.; Gehlert, D. R. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 2709-2719.
- [7]. Preston, P. N. Chem. Rev. **1974**, 74, 279-314.
- [8]. Huang, W.; Scarborough, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2665-2668.
   [9]. Kilburn, J. P.; Lau, J.; Jones, R. C. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 5419-5421.
- [10]. Tumelty, D.; Cao, K.; Holmes, C. P. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 83-86.
- [11]. Dudd, L. M.; Venardou, E.; Garcia-Verdugo, E.; Licence, P.; Blake, A. J.; Wilson, C.; Poliakoff, M. Green Chem. 2003, 5, 187-192.
- [12]. Perumal, S.; Mariappan, S.; Selvaraj, S. Arkivoc 2004, 8, 46-51.
- [13]. Trivedi, R.; De, S. K.; Gibbs, R. A. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2006, 8, 245-248.
- [14]. Oskooie, H. A.; Heravi, M. M.; Sadnia, A.; Behbahani, F. K.; Jannati, F. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2007, 18, 1357-1360.
- 15]. Landge, S. M.; Török, B. *Catal. Lett.* **2008**, *122*, 338-343.
- [16]. Salehi, P.; Dabiri, M.; Zolfigol, M. A.; Otokesh, S.; Baghbanzadeh, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 2557-2560.
- [17]. Ravi, V.; Ramu, E.; Vijay, K.; Rao, A. S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 55, 1254-1257.
- [18]. Perry, R. J.; Wilson, B. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 7016-7021.
   [19]. Bruker. 1998, SMART, SAINT-Plus and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- [20]. Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Cryst. **2008**, A64, 112–122.
- [21]. Farrugia, L. J. ORTEP-3. J. Appl. Cryst. 1999, 32, 837-838.
- [22]. Nardelli, M. Acta Cryst. **1983**, C39, 114-114.
- [23]. Etter, M. C. J. Phys. Chem. 1991, 95, 4601-4610.
- [24]. Etter, M. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 120-126.
- [25]. Goker, H.; Olgen, S.; Ertan, R.; Akgiin, H.; Ozbey, S.; Kendi, E.; Topcu, G. . J. Heterocyl. Chem. **1995**, *32*, 1767-1773.
- [26]. Ozbey, S.; Ide, S.; Kendi, E. J. Mol. Struct. 1998, 442, 23-25.
- [27]. Eryigit, R.; Kendi, E. J. Chem. Crystallogr. 1998, 28, 145-147.
- [28]. Kendi, E.; Ozbey, S.; Tuncbilek, M.; Goker, H. Acta Crystallogr. 1998, C54, 854-856.
- [29]. Vasudevan, K. T.; Puttaraja, K. A.; Kulkarni, M. V. Acta Crystallogr. 1994, C50, 1286-1288.
- [30]. Elerman, Y.; Kabak, M. Acta Crystallogr. 1997, C53, 372-374.
- [31]. Balasubramanian, T.; Viswanathan, R.; Palaniandavar, M.; Muthiah P. J. Chem. Crystallogr. 1996, 26, 457-460.