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#### Abstract

Complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ with two Schiff base ligands $\{[(2$-carboxyphenyl $)$ imino $]$ methyl $\}$ phenol $\left(\mathrm{HL}_{A}\right)$ and $\left\{\left[(2\right.\right.$-hydroxyphenyl)imino]methyl $\}$ phenol ( $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) were synthesized and characterized by FT-IR, UV-Visible, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. All the synthesized complexes have been evaluated for their thermal degradation studies using TG-DTA analytical methods in static air. Thermodynamic and kinetic parameters were evaluated from the TG-DTA curves using Arrhenius equation.


## 1. Introduction

Coordination chemistry of transition metal complexes of iminophenol ligands derived from Schiff base condensation of substituted aniline and salicylaldehyde have been extensively studied over the last decades [1-8]. Schiff bases and their metal complexes have a variety of biological, clinical, analytical and industrial applications and they are playing an important role in catalysis organic synthesis [9-13]. Transition metal complexes with Schiff bases as ligands have been structurally characterized and used to study phenolic oxidation, antibacterial and antifungal activity $[14,15]$. The presence of nitrogen and oxygen donor atoms gives special properties to coordination compounds and they can be used effectively and stereo specifically in catalysis for oxidation, reduction and hydrolysis [16].

In view of the interesting in chelating behavior of salicylaldehyde derived Schiff bases ligands, we prepared the coordination complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and Mn (II) with such a class of new ligands having $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{COOH}$ and OH groups to support and evaluated the chelation behavior. A comparative thermal degradation study of these ligands and their metal complexes are investigated by using TG-DTA analytical
methods in static air. Thermodynamic and kinetic parameters were evaluated from TG-DTA curves using Arrhenius equation, plot between the rate of decomposition (ln k) and 1/T.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials and methods

All chemicals and solvents used in the present work were of analytical reagent grade supplied Merck and BDH and used without further purification. Carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen analysis were carried out by using CE440 Elemental AnalyzerExeter Analytical, Inc. USA. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the ligands were measured at room temperature by using NMR Spectrometer (Bruker, 300 MHz ). The absorption spectra in the UVVisible range 200-800 nm were studied with UV-9200 Biotech Engineering Management Co. Ltd. (UK) UV Spectrophotometer. FT-IR spectra by KBr pellets were recorded with a FT-IR 8400s Spectrophotometer model 2000 from Shimadzu, Japan. Thermogravimetric measurements were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere using a TGA Q50 V20.13 Build 39. Universal V4.5A TA Instruments.


Scheme 1. The synthesis route of Schiff bases ligands $\left({H L_{A}}_{A}, \mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$.

$\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}$
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Scheme 2. The suggested hydrogen bonds of the prepared Schiff bases ( $H L_{A}, H L_{B}$ ).

### 2.2. Synthesis of ligands

The ligands ( $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{HL}_{B}$ ) were synthesized according to the previous reported procedure [17,18]. By magnetically stirring of an equimolar of salicylaldehyde in 10 mL of ethanol with 2-carboxy aniline, 2-hydroxyl aniline and adding of 2-3 drops of concentrated sulphuric acid. The mixture was refluxed for $2-3$ hours then an orange and reddish-brown product were separated out after cooling in ice bath, filtered off, washed with cold ethanol and dried in vacuumed desiccator, Scheme 1 and 2.
\{[(2-Carboxyphenyl) imino]methyl\}phenol $\left(\mathbf{H L}_{\mathbf{A}}\right)$ : Color: Orange. Yield: 85\%. M.p.: 172-175 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3473, $3375(\mathrm{OH})$ (br, alcohol and acid), 1676 (C=O) (acid), $1631(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N})$ (azomethine), 1246 (C-0) (phenolic), 1564 (C=C) (Ar). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 13.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 9.75 (s, 1H, COOH), 8.96 (s, 1H, N=CH), 7.64-6.84 (m, 8H, ArH). Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, 69.70; $\mathrm{H}, 4.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.81$. Found: C, 69.65; H, 4.62; N, 5.28\%. UV/Vis ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm},(\varepsilon)\right): 255$ (4.42), 330 (4.23), 435 (4.12).
\{[(2-Hydroxyphenyl) imino]methyl\}phenol (HL $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{B}}$ : Color: Reddish brown. Yield: 88\%. M.p.: 190-192 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. FT-IR (KBr, v, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3450, 3414 (OH) (br, alcohol and acid), 1631 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ) (azomethine), 1274 (C-0) (phenolic), 1591 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ) (Ar). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 10.70 (s, 2H, OH), 10.25 (s, 1H, $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CH})$, 6.53-7.65 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$. Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 73.23; H, 5.20; N, 6.57. Found: C, 73.15; H, 5.27; N, 6.67\%. UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm},(\varepsilon)\right): 250$ (4.22), 325 (4.43), 420 (4.18).

### 2.3. Synthesis of complexes

The copper(II) and cobalt(II) complexes were synthesized in similar manner using a method described elsewhere [19].

To a hot solution of 1 mmol in 10 mL ethanol of each Schiff bases ligands, 1 mmol of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ which dissolved in about 5-10 mL of ethanol, were added drop-wise with constant stirring. The contents were refluxed on water bath for two hours. The product was cooled to room temperature. Upon cooling, colored precipitates were formed, which were filtered and washed with ethanol and then diethyl ether followed by drying overnight at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. While, Mn (II) complexes were synthesized by followed [20], a hot ethanolic solution of 1 mmol of $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 10 mL of ethanol was added, drop-wise with constant stirring, to a hot ethanolic solution of 2 mmol in 40 mL ethanol of each ligands. The mixture was refluxed on a water bath for two hours. Then, 2 mmol of sodium acetate was added the mixture and refluxing was continued for two hours. The formed complexes were filtered and washed with ethanol and dried in vacuumed desiccator (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3. The participation of 0 and N groups in coordination M: Co(II), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{n}: 1,2, \mathrm{X}: 0 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}$.

## 3. Results and discussion

All the results of the elemental analysis for the prepared ligands and their metal complexes are very close to the expected formulas as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Elemental analysis of the ligands and their metal complexes.

| Expected formula | Color | Elemental analysis (calculated / found) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| $\mathrm{HL}_{A}$ | Orange | $69.70 / 69.65$ | $4.60 / 4.62$ | $5.81 / 5.28$ |
| $\mathrm{CuL}_{A}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ | Pale green | $44.74 / 45.55$ | $4.83 / 4.61$ | $3.73 / 3.57$ |
| $\mathrm{CoL}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ | Pale soil color | $45.30 / 45.37$ | $4.89 / 4.48$ | $3.77 / 3.64$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{A}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Soil color | $49.57 / 49.52$ | $4.16 / 4.63$ | $4.13 / 4.11$ |
| $\mathrm{HL}_{B}$ | Reddish brown | $73.23 / 73.15$ | $5.20 / 5.27$ | $6.57 / 6.67$ |
| $\mathrm{CuL}_{B}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ | Olive | $56.43 / 55.94$ | $4.46 / 4.52$ | $3.87 / 3.97$ |
| $\mathrm{CoL}_{B} \mathrm{Ac}$ | Pale brown | $54.39 / 54.52$ | $4.26 / 4.29$ | $4.23 / 4.29$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Soil color | $50.18 / 50.19$ | $4.53 / 4.37$ | $4.50 / 4.74$ |

Table 2. FT-IR spectra data $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ of the prepared compounds.

| Compound | Ar-CH | CH=N | C=0 | C-0 | C=C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HL}_{\text {A }}$ | 3070 | 1631 | 1676 | 1246 | 1564 |
| $\mathrm{CuL}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ | 3124 | 1606 | 1587 | 1384 | 1552 |
| $\mathrm{CoL}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ | 3138 | 1614 | 1591 | 1408 | 1537 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 3142 | 1614 | 1589 | 1408 | 1544 |
| $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 3047 | 1631 | - | 1274 | 1591 |
| $\mathrm{CuL}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ | 3057 | 1614 | - | 1377 | 1531 |
| CoLbAc | 3057 | 1606 | - | 1292 | 1543 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 3061 | 1598 | - | 1301 | 1537 |

### 3.1. FT-IR spectroscopy

The chemical structure of the two iminophenol ligands and some of their transition metal $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and Mn (II) complexes were identified by comparing with the FT-IR analysis data in the literature [20,21]. The main functional groups and their FT-IR frequencies of Schiff bases and their complexes are listed in Table 2, Figure 1 and 2. They were recorded in wavenumber range $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure 1. FT-IR spectra of $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{a})$ and $\mathrm{HL}_{B}(\mathrm{~b})$.
The FT-IR spectra of the two iminophenol ligands (HLA and $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) show no $\mathrm{C}=0\left(1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ bond of salicylaldehyde. The FT-IR spectra of the two aminophenol ligands ( $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) exhibit a strong bands at $1631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ -
azomethine group, which indicate that the Schiff base ligands have been produced $[22,23]$.


Figure 2. FT-IR spectra of $\mathrm{CuL}_{A}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ (a), and $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{A}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (b) complexes.

The appearance of $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ - azomethine in all the metal complexes at a lower frequencies $1598-1614 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ compared to the iminophenol ligands, suggesting that, this group has been coordinated to the central metal ions $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$. In the iminophenol ligands $\left(\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ the frequency of hydroxyl group was observed at about 3473-3375 and 3480$3414 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, due to intramolecular hydrogen bonding between OH and the imines groups in the ligands (Scheme 2), which was disappeared in the metal complexes [24,25], this indicates that the OH group has been deprotonated and coordinated to the central metal [26].


Figure 3. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the Schiff bases ligands - (a) $H L_{A}$ and (b) HL $L_{B}$.

A strong band was observed at 1246 and $1274 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the iminophenol ligands ( $\mathrm{HL}_{A}$ and $H L_{B}$ ), respectively, due to phenolic C-O stretching mode which was shifted to a higher frequency after complexation with the central metal ion, also the appearance of new bands at 500 and $538 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are refer to $v(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O})$ and $v(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N})$, respectively [27], indicating coordination through imine nitrogen and phenolate oxygen [28]. The strong sharp bands at 756 and $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicate the presence of coordinated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and Mn (II) metal ions, respectively [29]. Many aromatic peaks for the ligands and complexes were appeared about 1531-1591 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

The small band at $1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the iminophenol ligand HLA due to the carboxylic $\mathrm{C}=0$ stretching mode which was shifted to a lower frequency after complexation with the central metal ions [30]. In complexes $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{L}_{B}\right) \mathrm{Ac}$, medium to sharp bands in the regions $1581-1471 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and 1377-1386 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ have been assigned to asymmetric and symmetric vibrations of the coordinated acetate group. The large difference between $v_{\text {asym }} O C O$ and $v_{\text {sym }} O C O$ of $\sim 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ or more is indicative of a monodentate coordination through the carboxylate groups [31]. Complex $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ shows strong symmetrical bands at $1581 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $v_{\text {asym }}$ OCO) and at $1377 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $v_{\text {sym }}$ OCO) with $\Delta v 204 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which indicates monodentate coordination through the carboxylate groups. The complex $C o\left(L_{B}\right) A c$ shows strong symmetrical bands in the range 1471 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ( $V_{\text {asym }} O C O$ ) and $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $v_{\text {sym }} O C O$ ) with $\Delta v 85 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which indicates bidentate chelating coordination through the acetate group [32], i.e. $\Delta v$ less than and more than $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates the presence of bidentate chelating coordination and monodentate coordination through an acetate group, respecttively.

## 3.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy

The ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectra for ligands $\left(H_{A}\right.$ and $\left.H L_{B}\right)$ were measured in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at room temperature. The free ligands show proton resonance from $\delta 0$ to 15 ppm , and the splitting pattern peak values for all protons are assigned unambiguously as shown in Figure 3. The chemical shift observed for phenolic -OH protons in the two ligands $\left(\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ at $\delta 13.77$ and 10.70 ppm , respectively [33]. Which always have a given singlet in off-set at high $\delta$ values, thus confirming it's involvement in an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the neighboring nitrogen atom [34]. The multiple signals around $\delta$ 6.84-7.64 and $\delta 6.53-7.65 \mathrm{ppm}$ are assigned to aromatic protons of both rings, which aren't affected by chelation. A sharp singlet, which is shifted downfield, and observed at $\delta$ 8.96 and 10.25 ppm are assigned to the azomethine protons in the ligands (HLA, $\mathrm{HL}_{B}$ ), respectively. The number of peaks and proton resonance of the free Schiff base ligands completely differ upon complexation [35]. The imine proton is shifted upfield by $\delta 0.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ and the phenolic OH protons are absent in any of the metal complexes. This confirms the bonding of nitrogen and oxygen of the ligands to the metal ion. The same results were confirmed by the FT-IR spectra [36].

### 3.3. Electronic spectra

The electronic spectra data of the two Schiff base ligands $\left(H_{A}, H L_{B}\right)$ (Figure 4) have been generally exhibit in three main bands. The first absorption band at 255 and 250 nm which can be attributed to the $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transition for the aromatic system while the second absorption band attributed to $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transition of imines group which appeared at 330 and 325 nm [37].

| Compound | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{K})$ | Temp. of 50\% wt loss ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Rate of decomp. (\%/min) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Char content } \\ & 625^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text {, (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Activation energy ( $E_{a}$ ) (kJ/mol) | Temp. range $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta H^{*} \\ & (\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \Delta S^{*} \\ & \text { (J/mol K) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta G^{*} \\ & (\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 559.58 | 295 | 13 | 12 | 35.99 | 89-220 | 31.34 | -305.77 | 171.13 |
| $\mathrm{CuL}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ | 553.92 | 288 | 46 | 8 | 43.83 | 76-288 | 39.25 | -293.19 | 162.44 |
| $\mathrm{CoL}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$ | 636.82 | 388 | 23 | - | 19.57 | 33-336 | 13.85 | -291.47 | 185.63 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 607.50 | 362 | 34 | 20 | 38.27 | 191-320 | 33.22 | -304.83 | 185.07 |
| $\mathrm{HL}_{\text {B }}$ | 609.80 | 342 | 32 | 11 | 55.53 | 108-263 | 64.37 | -325.83 | 198.74 |
| $\mathrm{CuL}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ | 624.78 | 433 | 13 | 10 | 18.40 | 138-346 | 13.60 | -209.65 | 130.82 |
| $\mathrm{CoL}_{\text {B }} \mathrm{Ac}$ | 756.22 | 520 | 21 | 8 | 16.29 | 126-348 | 10.01 | -271.83 | 205.57 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 456.24 | 550 | 69 | 36 | 87.75 | 110-192 | 83.96 | -346.82 | 158.32 |



Figure 4. The electronic spectra of the ligands - (a) $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and (b) $\mathrm{HL}_{\mathrm{B}}$.
These bands weren't significantly affected by chelating. The third absorption band at 435 and 420 nm assigned to $n-\pi^{*}$ transition [38], which was shifted to a longer wavelength (red shift) upon formation of the complexes. This shift may be attributed to the donation of the lone pairs of nitrogen atoms of the Schiff bases ligands to the metal ion $\mathrm{N}: \rightarrow \mathrm{M}$.

### 3.4. Thermogravimetric study

The thermal stability characteristic parameters were obtained from analysis of the thermograms (Figure 5), which are listed in Table 3. The kinetic parameters such as activation energy ( $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) was calculated from Arrhenius plots between the rate of decomposition ( $\ln \mathrm{K}$ ) and $1 / \mathrm{T}$. Half weight loss temperature ( $\mathrm{T}_{50} \%$ ) which is represents the temperature at which the sample looses half of its total weight. The rate of decomposition which was measured from the slopes of the TGA curves at decomposition temperature. The thermosdynamic parameters of activated complexes, including the free energy $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{*}$, the enthalpy $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{*}$ and the entropy $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{*}$ of the process were calculated using Freeman-Carroll equation [39,40].

The thermodynamic parameters of activated complexes are often calculated using the peak temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ so that the value of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{*}, \Delta \mathrm{H}^{*}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{*}$ are related to the highest rate of the process. The negative values of $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{*}$ indicate that the activated
complexes have more ordered structures than the reactant [41]. The thermogram results show that some of these compounds have one decomposition temperature while the others have two, four and five. On the other side the char content of these compounds at $625^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were less than $20 \%$ and some of those have more than $30 \%$, which are indicate the thermal stability of these compounds.


Figure 5. Thermograms of the $\mathrm{CuL}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## 4. Conclusions

$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes with two Schiff base ligands have been prepared and studied. The results of TGDTA measurements showed to have enough resistance against thermal decomposition for the metal complexes than their parent ligands. According to the TG analysis, weight losses of the metal complexes changed at $625{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as follows: $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{B}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}>\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}>\mathrm{CuLB}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ $>\mathrm{CoL}_{B} \mathrm{Ac} \approx \mathrm{CuL}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}$. As result, $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ demonstrated higher thermal stability against thermal degradation than ligands and other metal complexes.
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