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#### Abstract

In-silico quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) study was performed to develop a model on a series of novel pyrazole derivatives containing acetamide moiety which exhibited considerable antiproliferative activity against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. The model obtained has a correlation coefficient $(r)$ of 0.9693 , squared correlation coefficient ( $r^{2}$ ) of 0.9395 and a leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation coefficient $\left(Q^{2}\right)$ value of 0.8744 . The predictive power of the developed model was confirmed by the external validation which has an $r^{2}$ value of 0.9488 . These parameters confirm the stability and robustness of the model to predict the activity of a new designed set of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole derivatives (22-36), results indicated that the compounds $26,31,35$, and 36 showed the strongest antiproliferative activity with $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.182,0.172,0.166\right.$ and $0.024 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29 compared to the reference vemurafenib with ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.52 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Molecular docking was performed on the new designed compounds with the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line 5JRQ protein. The docking results showed that compounds $26,31,35$, and 36 have docking affinity of -8.528, $5.932,23.017$ and $18.432 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively.


## 1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the term that refers to colon cancer (CC) and rectal cancer (RC), which is considered as a single tumor entity [1], is the third most common malignancy and the second in mortality [2]. The reasons for these increases are complex, but genetic and environmental factors play an important role [3-5]. CRC is caused by uncontrolled cell proliferation and division, which occurs when genetic [6], metabolic [7], and carcinogenic factors [8] damage the DNA and inducing mutations $[9,10]$. This process involves the activation of oncogenes and/or the deactivation of a tumor suppressor gene, leading to uncontrolled cell cycle progression and inactivation of apoptosis. The standard treatment for CRC is chemotherapy, surgery and radiation or a combination of these for advanced stage disease, this approach depends on tumor characteristics, such as location, size, extent of cancer metastasis and the health status of the patient [11]. However, these treatment options have had limited impact as cancer progress which make the development of new anticancer drugs an emergency need.

Pyrazole is an unsaturated five-membered ring containing two nitrogen atoms at positions 1 and 2 and, among heterocyclic compounds, represents one of the most important chemical scaffolds in medicinal chemistry [12], it is displaying a broad spectrum of pharmaceutical and biological activity such as anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory, anti-fungal, anti-bacterial, anti-insecticidal, analgesic, anticonvulsant, anti-diabetic, antipyretic, anti-arrhythmic, anti-depressant, anti-hyperglycemic, anti-oxidant, and herbicidal etc. [13-15].

Quantitative structure-activity relationships have been applied for decades in the development of relationships between physicochemical properties of chemical substances and their biological activities to obtain a reliable statistical model for prediction of the activities of new chemical entities and improve the inhibitory of drugs. The fundamental principle underlying the formalism is that the difference in structural properties is responsible for the variations in biological activities of the compounds [16], QSAR modeling involves main steps: (i) Model building by collecting the data set compounds, (ii) Model validation with internal validation using training set compounds to assess its quality, and (iii) Model validation with

Table 1. Biological activities and structures of pyrazole containing acetamide moiety compounds obtained from literature [19].
(able 1. Biological activities and structures of
an external validation using test set compounds to assess its predictability $[17,18]$.

The current study aimed to obtain a QSAR model of a series of novel pyrazole derivatives containing acetamide moiety in order to predict antiproliferative activity against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29, validate the predictive ability of the developed model through validation
methods, calculate the statistical parameter to prove quality of model, and use the obtained model to predict the antiproliferative activity against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29, on a set of designed compounds (22-36) and conducting docking studies for the designed compounds on the active site of selected protein 5JRQ.

| Compound | Dipole moment, D | $E_{\text {Total }}, \mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol}$ | E, kcal/mol | $E_{\text {tor }}, \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | a-acc | a-don | MW, g/mol | HVSA | Log P(o/w) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.515 | -83153.773 | 57.982 | 2.660 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 275.308 | 264.303 | 0.621 |
| 2 | 1.282 | -86747.898 | 55.685 | -0.516 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 289.335 | 281.535 | 0.962 |
| 3 | 1.646 | -102128.05 | 82.666 | 3.463 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 351.406 | 334.802 | 2.409 |
| 4 | 1.981 | -98533.953 | 84.380 | 2.105 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 337.379 | 317.569 | 2.275 |
| 5 | 1.556 | -102129.97 | 84.620 | 3.244 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 351.406 | 334.802 | 2.573 |
| 7 | 1.175 | -109505.21 | 91.910 | 1.518 | 5.000 | 1.000 | 367.405 | 347.301 | 2.268 |
| 8 | 1.373 | -75766.867 | 28.839 | -0.913 | 2.000 | 1.000 | 268.320 | 254.273 | 1.762 |
| 9 | 0.575 | -91148.398 | 55.477 | 3.107 | 2.000 | 1.000 | 330.391 | 307.539 | 3.209 |
| 10 | 1.082 | -87554.789 | 55.431 | 2.594 | 2.000 | 1.000 | 316.364 | 290.307 | 3.075 |
| 11 | 1.803 | -98528.367 | 65.436 | 2.663 | 3.000 | 1.000 | 346.390 | 320.039 | 3.031 |
| 13 | 1.081 | -98526.953 | 62.793 | 2.650 | 3.000 | 1.000 | 346.390 | 320.039 | 3.068 |
| 14 | 3.334 | -88489.617 | 35.376 | 1.618 | 3.000 | 1.000 | 315.764 | 280.564 | 1.189 |
| 15 | 3.278 | -92083.945 | 33.123 | -1.529 | 3.000 | 1.000 | 329.791 | 297.796 | 1.530 |
| 16 | 3.725 | -107464.77 | 59.373 | 2.556 | 3.000 | 1.000 | 391.862 | 351.062 | 2.977 |
| 17 | 2.671 | -81687.093 | 39.118 | 2.625 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 282.307 | 255.444 | -0.516 |
| 19 | 2.693 | -85274.539 | 44.899 | -0.677 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 296.334 | 272.676 | -0.175 |
| 20 | 3.306 | -100656.38 | 71.281 | 3.465 | 4.000 | 1.000 | 358.405 | 325.942 | 1.273 |
| 21 | 2.047 | -108038.72 | 76.969 | 3.103 | 5.000 | 1.000 | 374.404 | 338.442 | 1.132 |
| * Total energy ( $E_{\text {Total }}$ ), potential energy ( $E$ ), torsion energy ( $E_{\text {Tor }}$ ), number of H-bond acceptor atoms (a-acc), number of H-bond donor atoms (a-don), molecular weight (MW), total hydrophobic VdW surface area (HVSA), and log octanol/water partition coefficient Log P(o/w). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 3. Statistical parameters used for statistical quality of model. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r$ | $r^{2}$ | Q ${ }^{2}$ | S | F |  |  | RMSE |  |  |
| 0.9693 | 0.9395 | 0.8744 | 0.0680 |  | 592 |  | 0.0640 |  |  |

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. QSAR studies

### 2.1.1. Data set

A set comprised of 21 derivatives of pyrazole containing acetamide moiety which showed antiproliferative activity against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29 reported by Wang et al. [19] was used in the present study, and their antiproliferative activities were expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values half maximal inhibitory concentration. The $\mathrm{I}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ values were converted into $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{M})$ and then to $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values using the formula $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}=-\log \left[\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right]$. The structures and $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of the derivatives of pyrazole containing the acetamide moiety are listed in Table 1.

The chemical structures of the compounds done made using the ACD/ChemSketch v. 14.01 software (Copyright 1994-2013, Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc.) [20]. Molecular modeling was performed using the Molecular Operating Environment software package (MOE, v2009.10; Chemical Computing Group Inc.) [21]. The data set was randomly divided into a training set that comprises $80 \%$ of the dataset that was used to build the QSAR model, while the remaining $20 \%$ of the dataset test set was used to validate the QSAR model (18 and 3 molecules, respectively).

### 2.1.2. Molecular descriptor generation

Molecular descriptors were calculated for each molecule after they were subjected to energy minimization, and these descriptors include 3D descriptors (e.g., dipole moment, total energy, potential energy, and torsion energy) and 2D descriptors (e.g., number of H -bond acceptor atoms, number of H -bond donor atoms, molecular weight, total hydrophobic van der Waals (VdW) surface area, and log octanol/water partition coefficient). These descriptors were calculated using MOE programs. In order to select the best subset of descriptors, highly correlated descriptors were excluded using correlation matrix then ratio of molecules to the descriptors used is 5:1. The nine descriptors used to generate the QSAR model are denoted as dipole moment, total energy ( $E_{\text {Total }}$ ), potential energy ( $E$ ), torsion energy ( $E_{\text {Tor }}$ ), number of H -bond acceptor atoms (a-acc), number of H -bond donor atoms (a-don), molecular weight (MW), total hydrophobic VdW surface area (HVSA) and log
octanol/water partition coefficient $\log \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w})$ and are listed in Table 2.

### 2.1.3. QSAR model development

The correlation of the calculated descriptors with each other was calculated and collinear descriptors were specified, those with higher correlation towards activity were retained and the others were eliminated. Subsequently, multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis were performed on the training set. Where calculated molecular descriptors served as an independent variable and observed inhibition ( $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ ) values were used as a dependent variable. Several QSAR models were developed and the resulting QSAR model Equation (1) showed a high regression coefficient. The values of the regression coefficient and statistical parameters are listed in Table 3.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{pIC}_{50}= & 1.94587-0.00342 \times E+0.01671 \times E_{\text {Tor }}+0.01030 \\
& \times \text { HVSA }-0.04588 \times \log \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w}) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1.4. Validation of the QSAR model

To evaluate the robustness of the model, internal validation of the training set was performed using the leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation technique. In this technique, one compound is eliminated from the data set at random in each cycle and the model is built using the rest of the compounds, the crossvalidated regression coefficient ( $Q^{2}$ ) values were thereafter calculated according to Equation (1). External validation was performed to determine the predictive capacity of the developed model by its application to predict the values of the test set. The observed activities and those calculated by QSAR model (Equation (1)) for the training set and test set were presented in Tables 4 and 5.

### 2.1.5. Predict the activity of designed 3,5-dimethylpyrazole derivatives (22-36)

The chemical structures of the 3,5-dimethylpyrazole derivatives designed (22-36) were carried out using the ACD/ChemSketch, the QSAR model developed (Equation (1)) was used to predict their activity against the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29). The predicted activity expressed as $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ along with the structures reported in Table 6.

Table 4. Experimental and predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ for training set and cross-validation against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29)

| Compound | pIC ${ }_{50 \text { exp }}$. | pIC ${ }_{\text {copred }}$. | Residuals | $\mathrm{CV}_{\text {pred. }}$. | Residuals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.480 | 4.486 | -0.006 | 4.489 | -0.009 |
| 2 | 4.590 | 4.602 | -0.012 | 4.607 | -0.017 |
| 3 | 5.070 | 5.059 | 0.011 | 5.057 | 0.013 |
| 4 | 4.940 | 4.859 | 0.081 | 4.831 | 0.109 |
| 5 | 5.060 | 5.041 | 0.019 | 5.038 | 0.023 |
| 7 | 5.100 | 5.130 | -0.030 | 5.146 | -0.046 |
| 8 | 4.300 | 4.370 | -0.070 | 4.411 | -0.111 |
| 9 | 4.910 | 4.828 | 0.082 | 4.803 | 0.107 |
| 10 | 4.580 | 4.649 | -0.069 | 4.676 | -0.096 |
| 11 | 4.890 | 4.924 | -0.034 | 4.929 | -0.039 |
| 13 | 4.990 | 4.931 | 0.060 | 4.921 | 0.069 |
| 14 | 4.650 | 4.687 | -0.037 | 4.697 | -0.047 |
| 15 | 4.890 | 4.804 | 0.086 | 4.732 | 0.158 |
| 16 | 5.240 | 5.265 | -0.025 | 5.282 | -0.042 |
| 17 | 4.600 | 4.511 | 0.090 | 4.428 | 0.173 |
| 19 | 4.570 | 4.598 | -0.028 | 4.610 | -0.040 |
| 20 | 4.900 | 5.059 | -0.159 | 5.100 | -0.200 |
| $\underline{21}$ | 5.210 | 5.168 | 0.042 | 5.152 | 0.058 |

Table 5. Predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of the test set.

| Compound | pIC Soexp. | pIC Sopred. | Residuals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 5.030 | 5.132 | -0.102 |
| 12 | 4.620 | 4.803 | -0.183 |
| 18 | 5.660 | 5.358 | 0.302 |

Table 6. Structures and predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values for 3,5-dimethylpyrazole derivatives designed against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29).


| Compound | R1 | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | pIC50pred. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | - | N | 4.970 |
| 23 | - Br | - | 5.237 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{-\mathrm{S}^{\prime \prime}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ | Br | 5.156 |
| 25 |  |  | 6.000 |
| 26 | - $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | 6.739 |




31

32

36


Table 7. Binding scores and interactions of the designed 3,5-dimethyl-pyrazole derivatives (22-36) docked on the active site of (5JRQ).

| Compound | S (kcal/mol) | Amino acid interaction | Type of interaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | -6.087 | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 23 | -9.438 | No interaction | - |
| 24 | -9.175 | Thr529 | Hydrogen bond |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 25 | -9.924 | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 26 | -8.528 | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 27 | $-2.714$ | Gly596 | Hydrogen bond |
|  |  | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 28 | -3.594 | Gly596 | Hydrogen bond |
|  |  | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 29 | -4.358 | Lys 483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 30 | -6.082 | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 31 | -5.932 | Lys483 | Arene - Arene interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 32 | 7.929 | Lys 483 | Hydrogen bond |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 33 | 11.112 | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 34 | 11.500 | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 35 | 23.017 | Asp594 | Hydrogen bond |
|  |  | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Phe583 | Arene - Arene interaction |
| 36 | 18.432 | Thr529 | Hydrogen bond |
|  |  | Asp594 | Arene - Cation interaction |
|  |  | Lys483 | Arene - Cation interaction |



Figure 1. Predicted versus experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of training set against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29).

### 2.2. Molecular docking

The docking simulation was carried out using the MOE program [21]. For this purpose, the structure of protein was obtained from Protein Data Bank with PDB code (5JRQ) [22], structures of the new designed 3,5-dimethylpyrazole derivatives (22-36) were build using ACD/ChemSketch v. 14.01 software [20] then saved as mol file, then docking simulation was performed. The binding score ( S ) of the complexes and amino acid interactions are listed in Table 7.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. QSAR studies

In the present work, the structure-activity relationship model was developed to correlate the structural characteristics with the biological response of the compounds studied that had antiproliferative activity against the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. The developed model showed a
squared correlation coefficient $\left(r^{2}=0.9395\right)$ which indicates the correlation between the activity (dependent variable) the molecular descriptors (independent variable) for the training set data, and squared cross-validation $\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.8744\right)$ which indicates that the newly developed QSAR model has a good prediction.

Four molecular descriptors denoted as potential energy $(E)$, torsion energy ( $E_{\text {Tor }}$ ), total hydrophobic VdW surface area and $\log$ octanol/water partition coefficient Log $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w})$ were significantly correlated with antiproliferative activity against the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29. Equation (1) shows that among the molecular descriptors, $E_{\text {Tor }}$ and HVSA are positively correlated, which means that biological activity increases when the values of these descriptors are positively increased. On the other hand, the descriptor $E$ and $\log \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w})$ are negatively correlated with antiproliferative activity, that mean the biological activity decreases when the value of this descriptor is increase.


Figure 2. Predicted versus experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of cross validation against human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29).


Figure 3. Predicted versus experimental $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values of the test set against the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29).

(a)

(b)

Figure 4. (a) 2D molecular docking model of compound $\mathbf{3 6}$ with $5 J R Q$ and (b) 3D model of the interaction between compound $\mathbf{3 6}$ and the binding site of $5 J R Q$.

Test set compress of three compounds which used as external validation for developed QSAR model, and it was found that the predicted values through the QSAR model show compliance with their experimental values and ( $r^{2}=0.9488$ ), all statistical parameters calculated to evaluate the quality of the QSAR model were in suitable range. Figures $1-3$ show the correlation graphs of the experimental versus predicted $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ values for the training set, cross-validation, and test set compounds against the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line (HT-29), respectively.

### 3.2. Docking study

A molecular docking study was performed between the target (5JRQ) and designed 3,5-dimethylpyrazole derivatives (22-36). All compounds were found to inhibit the receptor by occupying the active sites of the target (5JRQ). The binding affinity values for designed compounds range from to -9.438 to 23.017 kcal/mol as reported in Table 7 (Figures 4-6).


Figure 5. (a) 2D molecular docking model of compound 35 with 5JRQ and (b) 3D model of the interaction between compound 35 and the binding site of 5JRQ.


Figure 6. (a) 2D molecular docking model of compound $\mathbf{3 1}$ with 5JRQ and (b) 3D model of the interaction between compound 31 and the binding site of 5JRQ.

## 4. Conclusions

The developed QSAR model presents a satisfactory correlation with inhibition activity against the human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line HT-29, and met the criteria for the minimum recommended value of validation parameters for a generally acceptable QSAR model, and molecular docking analysis has shown that all new compounds have good inhibitor activity. The generated QSAR model provides a valuable approach for ligand-base design, while molecular docking studies provide a valuable approach for structure-base design. These two approaches will be of great help to pharmaceutical and medicinal chemists in designing and synthesis of a new antiproliferative agent.
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