European Journal of Chemistry

Check for updates





Chemo-profiling of methanolic and ether oleoresins of *Salvia coccinea* and *in vitro* pesticidal evaluation with *in silico* molecular docking and ADME/Tox studies

Kirti Nagarkoti ¹, Om Prakash ¹,*, Avneesh Rawat ¹, Tanuja Kabdal ¹, Ravendra Kumar ¹, Ravi Mohan Srivastava ², Satya Kumar ³, and Dharmendra Singh Rawat ⁴

¹ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, 263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

² Department of Entomology, Faculty of Science, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, 263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

³ Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Science, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, 263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, 263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, 263145, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India. e-mail: oporgchem@gmail.com (0. Prakash).

RESEARCH ARTICLE



6 10.5155/eurjchem.14.2.211-222.2416

Received: 28 December 2022 Received in revised form: 17 January 2023 Accepted: 19 February 2023 Published online: 30 June 2023 Printed: 30 June 2023

KEYWORDS

Salvia coccinea Molecular docking Antifungal activity Insecticidal activity Nematicidal activity Antibacterial activity

ABSTRACT

The objective of the present study was to examine the chemical compositions of Salvia coccinea oleoresins prepared in methanol and petroleum ether. GC-MS analysis of Salvia coccinea methanolic oleoresin (SCMO) and Salvia coccinea ether oleoresin (SCEO) resulted in the identification of 15 and 12 constituents, comprising 84.7 and 81.2% of the total composition, respectively. Both SCMO and SCEO varied in their chemical composition in terms of quantity, namely, oleic acid (22.3-25.9%), palmitic acid (8.9-8.4%), stigmasta-3,5dien-7-one (3.4-11.8%), stigmasterol acetate (3.5-5.3%), neophytadiene (4.8-1.7%), phytol (1.6-7.8%) and phthalic acid (2.1-3.1%). In addition to the qualitative differences between SCMO and SCEO concomitantly, both oleoresins were examined for their pesticidal activities. Oleoresins demonstrated significant nematicidal activity against Meloidogyne incognita, insecticidal activity against Lipaphis erysimi, antifungal activity against Curvularia lunata, and antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus. For nematicidal activity, SCMO and SCEO exhibited a high mortality of 65.66±1.69 and 54.33±1.24 and egg hatching inhibition of 26.33±1.20and 33.33±1.24 at 200 µg/mL. Similarly, SCMO and SCEO exhibited excellent insecticidal activity with 94.87 \pm 1.44 % and 86.75 \pm 1.85 % mortality at 1000 µg/mL. However, both oleoresins exhibited moderate antifungal and antibacterial activities compared to standards. Due to the quantitative difference in chemical composition and the presence of several phytoconstituents that were absent in SCEO, SCMO displayed stronger pesticidal effects than SCEO. To estimate the binding energy and structure-activity relationships between chemical constituents and pesticidal activities, in silico molecular docking and ADME/Tox studies have also been performed using a web-based online tool. On the basis of the present study, it is inferred that the herb Salvia coccinea might be a good source of phytochemicals and can be used for the development of herbal-based pesticides/formulations after proper clinical trials.

Cite this: Eur. I. Chem. 2023, 14(2), 211-222	Journal website: <u>www.eurjchem.com</u>

1. Introduction

Organic molecules derived from plants have a variety of applications in medicine and other industrial processes as a source of environmentally benign chemicals such as starch isolated from mandua grains (Eleusine coracana; family Poaceae) and have been reported to be used for medicinal and industrial purposes [1,2]. Due to the widespread and excessive use of synthetic pesticides, pest management is currently experiencing substantial economic and environmental issues. The biodegradability, non-target toxicity, and lasting impacts of synthetic pesticides have become major concerns. Pesticides include a variety of substances such as herbicides, insecticides, nematicides, molluscicides, rodenticides, bactericides, piscicides, avicides, animal repellents, antimicrobials, fungicides, disinfectants and sanitisers [3]. The excessive use of synthetic pesticides has resulted in resistance problems besides other issues. According to epidemiological research, carbamates and organophosphates can cause cancer, mutation, teratogenicity, or allergies. These harmful substances are likely to cause a variety of diseases in humans, including cancer, skin conditions, hypertension, and renal diseases due to their long-term

European Journal of Chemistry

ISSN 2153-2249 (Print) / ISSN 2153-2257 (Online) – Copyright © 2023 The Authors – Atlanta Publishing House LLC – Printed in the USA. This work is published and licensed by Atlanta Publishing House LLC – CC BY NC – Some Rights Reserved. https://dx.doi.org/10.5155/eurichem.14.2.211-222.2416 accumulation in fruits and vegetables [4]. Medicinal plants are a major depository of secondary metabolites that might be a source of new organic pesticides. Natural pesticides are less harmful to human health, are more environment friendly, less expensive, and are easier to use in integrated pest control compared to synthetic ones [5]. The development of herbalbased pesticides such as essential oils, extracts, and pure chemicals, and their formulations, is underway to offset the negative effects of synthetic pesticides [6]. Several significant medications have been extracted and found in plants, and plant extracts have been used as an essential source of bioactive chemicals for numerous drug development projects [7]. The Lamiaceae species are mainly used for food preservation, flavouring, and medical uses, because of their curative and preventive qualities. Each species has a particular and intricate combination of bioactive secondary metabolites with important anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antioxidant, antimicrobial, antiviral, and anticancer activities [8,9].

Salvia (Sage) is the largest genera of the Lamiaceae family with approximately 1,000 species. It is used mainly for its decorative, fragrant, and culinary properties [10]. Sage has a long history of being used to treat illnesses and promote health, as evidenced by the word "Salvia" in its Latin name, which means "to cure". In the food, pharmaceutical, and perfume industries, Salvia species are typically used for their essential oils [10]. In traditional medicine, many of them have been used as antihydrotics, tonics, antirheumatoid agents, antimicrobials, antispasmodics, antiseptics, astringents, digestives, anti-inflammatories, carminatives, and agents with antimutagenic activity for ages [11]. Salvia multiorrhiza has been used for a very long time in traditional Chinese medicine to treat hepatic and cardiovascular conditions. According to several studies, Salvia has been reported to be one of the best sources of natural antioxidants due to polyphenolic compounds [12,13]. The petroleum ether extract of Salvia tebesana Bunge has been reported to be a strong antiproliferative agent [14]. Essential oils and plant extracts of Salvia officinalis L., Salvia syriaca, Salvia argentea, and Salvia sclarea have been shown to have cytotoxic effects as well as antimicrobial, antifungal, insecticidal, and larvicidal capabilities against a variety of insects [15,16].

Salvia coccinea is medium in size (60-80 cm height). It is grown as an attractive plant, which is also known as banderilla (Texas sage, scarlet sage, crimson sage, tropical sage, and blood sage) [11,17]. It grows naturally in shaded, high-altitude, hilly, or rocky areas. It can be found growing in disturbed areas in the Nairobi and Elgon districts and is widely distributed throughout Southern Africa. The species is rare and has frequently escaped cultivation. Furthermore, it can be found in Singapore, the western Himalayas, tropical and subtropical areas of Asia, Africa, and Europe [18]. Terpenoids, polyphenols, anthocyanins, essential oils, and β -sitosterol have been identified as phytoconstituents of S. coccinea in previous investigations. Linolenic, linoleic, and oleic acids have been reported in their seed oils and extracts, along with their importance as chemotaxonomic indicators for the cosmetic, dietary supplement, and pharmaceutical industries [17]. S. coccinea has been reported as an abundant source of antioxidant polyphenols with medicinal potential [11,16]. Essential oils of S. cryptantha and S. multicaulis have been reported to exhibit antibacterial and antioxidant activities [19]. Biosynthesised silver nanoparticles (ScAgNP) from Salvia coccinea leaf extract have been reported to exhibit cytotoxic, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory potential in monocytic THP-1 cells. Our research group has also reported the essential oil composition of the aerial part of Salvia reflexa and its antioxidant, antifungal, and antifeeding activities [20,21]. In continuation of our research on the Lamiaceae family, the objective of the present study was to investigate the phytochemical analysis of the methanolic and ether oleoresins of Salvia coccinea and its pesticidal evaluation, namely, nematicidal, antimicrobial, and insecticidal activity. Structureactivity relationships (SARs) between major compounds of EOs with possible pesticidal activities have been studied by *in silico* molecular docking and ADME/Tox studies. To our knowledge, there are no reports on the chemical composition and *in vitro* pesticidal effects of methanol and ether oleoresin of *S. coccinea* collected from Uttarakhand, India. Thus, it is being reported for the first time.

2. Experimental

2.1. Collection of plant material

The fresh aerial plant material of *Salvia coccinea* was collected from Pilot Baba Ashram, Bhowali, Uttarakhand, India (29.3596° N, 79.4815° E) located at a height of 2312 m, in July 2020. The plant was identified and verified as *Salvia coccinea* by Dr. Dharmendra Singh Rawat (Plant Taxonomist), Department of Biological Sciences, College of Basic Sciences and Humanities, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. The verified sample has been deposited with the voucher specimen number GBPUH-1019/08-10-2020.

2.2. Preparation of oleoresins

Using the cold percolation method, the oleoresins were prepared from finely ground shade-dried leaves by gradually soaking them in methanol and periodically shaking the mixture for seven days. The filtrate obtained was further fractionated in petroleum ether through liquid-liquid extraction. The ether and methanol oleoresins were then further evaporated at 45-60 °C in a rotary evaporator under vacuum at 45-60 °C until dryness.

2.3. GC-MS analysis and identification of chemical compounds

The methanol and ether oleoresins were analysed using a GC-MS-QP 2010 Ultra equipped with a 5MS DB-5 silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm and 0.25 m thickness) and a mass spectrometer (5971 Å) as a detector. Parameters for the analysis were programmed as follows: carrier gas He; column flow rate: 1.21 mL/min; column injection temperature: 260 °C, injection mode: split, pressure: 69 KPa, split ratio: 1:10, interphase temperature: 270 °C, and scan range: 50-600 Da. The temperature was first set to 50 °C for 2 minutes, increased to 210 °C (at a rate of 3 °C/min), lowered for 2 minutes, and then increased to 240 °C (at a rate of 8 °C/min) and isothermal for 11 minutes. With an injection volume of $0.1 \,\mu$ L and a split mode of 1:100, MS was taken under EI condition (70 eV). The identification of the constituents of the oleoresins was based on the fragmentation pattern of the mass spectra with those of authentic samples of the Kovatt indices and the MS library (NIST14.lib, FFNSC2.lib and WILEY8.LIB) by comparing the spectra with data from the literature [22].

2.4. Nematicidal activity

2.4.1. Nematode population collection

The *Meloidogyne incognita* population was reared on capsicum at the Centre for Vegetable Research Center, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, in a glasshouse maintained at 25 ± 2 °C. Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum*) was taken as the infected plant with root knots. From the roots of sickly capsicum, mature egg masses selected by hand were taken and cultured in distilled water at 25 °C in a growth chamber. Juveniles that had just emerged (J₂) were collected and stored at 5 °C until needed [23].

2.4.2. In vitro mortality assay on second stage larvae (J2) of *M.* incognita

An in vitro mortality assay was performed against secondstage larvae (J₂) of *M. incognita*. The galled roots were cut into small pieces of 2 cm and thoroughly cleaned under running water to remove the second stage larvae (I_2) from the egg masses and the dirt attached to them. The small pieces of roots placed in sodium hypochlorite (2%) solution were stirred for two minutes to separate the organic matter from the eggs. The eggs were sieved over a 38 µm-pore, collected, and cleaned after the solution was put through a series of sieves. To collect $2^{nd}\ stage$ juveniles from the hatched eggs after 48 hrs, the egg suspension was first incubated at 28±1 °C. A total of 100 juveniles were taken in Petri dishes containing different concentrations (25-200 µg/mL) of oleoresin. Observations of three replicates were taken at the time intervals of 24, 48, and 72 h using a stereobinocular microscope. The juveniles placed in tween-20 (1%) were taken as control. All treatments were arranged in a CRD (completely randomised design) manner. To check the aliveness (mortality) of the larvae, they were transferred to water. None of the immobile larvae recovered their mobility, which confirmed the death of the larvae. The percentage of nematode mortality was calculated using Abbott's formula.

% Nematode mortality=
$$100 \times \frac{Nc-Nt}{Nc}$$
 (1)

where Nt = number of viable nematodes after treatment and Nc = number of viable nematodes in the water control.

2.4.3. In vitro egg hatchability test of M. incognita

The *in vitro* egg hatchability experiment was carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of SCMO and SCEO against *M. incognita*. Two *M. incognita* egg masses were suspended in a 25-200 μ g/mL mixture of SCMO and SCEO in gridded Petri dishes. The control group consisted of egg masses dissolved in a tween-20 solution (1.0%). Treatments were kept at a constant temperature of 27±1 °C in a bio-oxygen demand (BOD) incubator with all treatments set in triplicate and in a completely random order. To record the findings on egg hatchability at intervals of 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively, the number of eggs hatched was counted under a microscope with a magnification of 40×.

2.5. Insecticidal activity using the toxicity bioassay method

Lipaphis erysimi were directly collected from a mustard field at the Norman E. Borlogue Research Centre, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar and acclimatised in the laboratory of the Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, until the emergence of the third instar larvae. *L. erysimi* of the mustard plant were reared in a muslin-walled box in the growth chamber of the glass house at 25±1 °C and relative humidity (RH) of 65±5%.

According to protocols practised previously, the experiment was evaluated in a Petri plate using the contact toxicity method [24]. Fresh and clean mustard leaves were collected, along with aphids (*L. erysimi*) on them from the field. Each leaf disc (4×4 sq. cm) of the fresh mustard plant was placed upside down on a 1 cm layer of agar solution (1 % agar solution using 1 g of agar in 100 mL of boiled distilled water) in a Petri dish. A ventilation hole was made in the middle of the Petri plate to maintain moisture in the leaf. Adult aphids were separated from the leaves with the help of a camel brush after 2 hours and collected in a box. Mustard leaves were soaked for 30 seconds at various concentrations (50-1000 µg/mL) of oleoresins and a solution of 1% tween-20 (1.0 mL of tween-20 in 99.0 mL of distilled water) before drying. Three replications of each concentration of oleoresins were taken along with control (1% tween-20). 15 adult aphids were starved for 3-4 hours before being placed on agar-layered Petri plates. Observations were taken at the time intervals of 24, 48 and 72 h after the nymph release. The numbers of dead aphids were counted and calculated using Abott's formula. The LC₅₀ values of oleoresins were calculated using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 software package after probit analysis of the mortality data. Triplicate results of the studies were statistically analysed as mean±SD using one-way ANOVA (significant at *p* < 0.05).

2.6. Antifungal activity

The phytopathogenic fungi, namely *Curvularia lunata*, were obtained from the Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. Fungal colonies were asceptically transferred to Petri plates containing the medium and incubated for one week at 25 ± 2 °C until the fungi were revived and grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium. 39 g of PDA was mixed in boiling distilled water (1000 mL) and thoroughly stirred. After adding 500 mg of chloramphenicol to the medium, 200 mL of the medium was transferred to each 500 mL conical flask and plugged with non-absorbent cotton plugs. For sterilisation, the medium was autoclaved for 30 min (15 lbs psi at 121.6 °C).

To perform antifungal activity, PDA plates were prepared by heating solidified PDA at 450 °C and pouring 20 mL each in sterilised Petri plates in a laminar flow. PDA plates containing different concentrations of oleoresins (25-200 μ g/mL) were inoculated with the test fungus disc (Diameter = 5 mm) and incubated for seven days at 26±2 °C and 68±2% RH until the control plates reached full growth. Observations of three replicates for five different concentrations of oleoresins were noted after approximately 7 days. The typical standard fungicide used was carbendazim. The percentage inhibition was calculated using the formula [25-27].

Percent inhibition=
$$\frac{X-Y}{Y} \times 100$$
 (2)

where, X = Radial growth in control and Y = Radial growth in treatment.

2.7. Antibacterial activity

The reported protocol was used to study antibacterial activity [28,29]. It was evaluated against representative Grampositive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*). Nutrient agar (NA), nutrient broth (NB), and agar-agar were obtained from Hi medium and stored at 4 °C until use. 28 g of Muller-Hinton agar was added to 1000 mL of distilled water along with 10 g of agar-agar powder to prepare the media solution. The mixture was autoclaved for sterilisation at 120 °C and 15-20 lbs. Similarly, inoculation of bacterial culture was prepared using 2.6 g of nutrient broth in 200 ml of distilled water and autoclaved at 128 °C and 15-20 lbs. In laminar flow, nutritional agar medium (20 mL) was placed into the sterilised Petri plates and left undisturbed until solidified. For sterile testing, incubate the solidified Petri plates overnight at 37 °C.

Pure cultures of Gram-positive bacteria were prepared in a test tube containing 5 mL of sterile nutrient broth. Incubate the bacterial tube overnight at 37 °C. 1% tween 20 solution (1.0 mL tween 20 in 99.0 mL of distilled water) was mixed with different amount (25-250 μ g/mL) of SCMO and SCEO to form uniform solution. 5 mm of sterilised paper disk (Whatman no. 1) were cut and dipped in different concentration of SCMO and SCEO.

After some time, the paper disk was placed on the plate again and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C for the growth of bacterial colonies. Tween 20 (1%) and amikacin (standard) were used as a positive control and a negative control. The zone of inhibition was measured in millimetres after 24 hours and compared to a standard. To calculate the zone of inhibition, we used Equation (3),

Zone of inhibition =
$$\frac{\text{(Diameter of inhibition zone-Diameter of disk)}}{2}$$
 (3)

2.8. Statistical analysis

Each treatment was repeated three times and the experimental data were expressed as mean<u>+</u>standard deviation. ANOVA was used to analyse the experimental data for nematicidal, insecticidal, antifungal, and antibacterial activities at a significance level of 1% (p < 0.01). At each level of significance, a substantial difference was discovered between the data examined. The pooled data were subjected to a three-factor analysis using SPSS.16 software.

2.9. Molecular docking studies

Molecular docking is a method for predicting the activity and affinity of small molecules with macromolecules like proteins in different orientations to understand the host-guest relationship at a minimum energy level. Molecular docking is a virtually developed crucial approach to determine the binding energy and learn about the numerous ligand-protein interactions through software like Autodock 4.2.6 (The Scripps Research Institute, USA). Gasteiger charges were calculated and registered as a pdbqt file using AutodockTools (ADT ver.1.5.7) [30]. Different 3D complex structures for the target proteins for different pests were data mined from the Protein Data Bank (PDB), viz. acetylcholonisterase protein (PDB ID: 1C2O) for M. incognita [31]. PDB ID: 3HNR, melanin biosynthesizing enzyme trihydroxy naphthalene reductase from Curvularia lunata [32], while PDB ID: 4TZK, mycobacterium tuberculosis enoyl reductase enzyme for Staphylococcus aureus [33], PDB ID: 5F3Y for Lipaphis erysimi have been taken directly from PDB (www.rscb.org/pdb) as no previous literature was found. Thus, it is being reported for the first time. The main identified compounds, such as oleic acid, palmitic acid, stigmasta-3,5dien-7-one, neophytadiene, stigmasterol acetate, and phytol from SCMO and SCEO, were used as ligands (guest molecules) obtained from PubChem (3D structures). To examine the chemical interactions of complicated structures, 3D structures of ligands and proteins were created using BIOVIA Discovery Studio 2019. By introducing Kollmann charges and polar hydrogens in the proteins, all water molecules were eliminated. Using the graphical user interface program (AutoDock Tools), the pdbqt files were prepared for protein and ligands and a grid map was created using a grid box called AutoGrid (grid space of 0.375 Å and the grid size was set to 40×40×40 points). Cluster analysis was used to assess docked results with an RMS (root mean square) tolerance of 2.0. The dock score used to express the binding affinity of the test ligands (compounds) with the receptors (protein) indicates the estimated binding free energy B.E. (kcal/mol) in negative terms, which is reported as the enzyme inhibition constant (Ki) value. Higher calculated binding free energy values in negative terms or lower enzyme inhibition constant values suggest higher ligand receptor binding affinities [30].

2.10. In-silico ADME/Tox studies

A computer-based drug design method called ADME/Tox analysis is a virtual and preliminary stage of drug discovery. Absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity (ADME-Tox) studies are carried out during the drug discovery, lead optimization, and preclinical development phases. To predict the pharmacokinetic characteristics and the safety profile of the discovered drugs/phytoconstituents, ADME/Tox profiling was used using web-based software (SwissADME and pkCSM) to reduce the time and cost of expensive experiments compared to traditional methods [34,35]. The main compounds identified as oleic acid, palmitic acid, stigmasta-3,5-dien-7-one, neophytadiene, stigmasterol acetate, and phytol from SCMO and SCEO were studied for their *in silico* potential.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Comparative chemical composition of oleoresins

The average yields of SCMO and SCEO were found to be 2.3 and 1.3 %, respectively. GC/MS analysis revealed the presence of more than 15 compounds that contributed 84.6% of the total oleoresin composition in SCEO and more than 12 constituents that contributed 81.2% of the total oil in SCMO. The main components of SCMO were oleic acid (22.3 %), stigmasta-4-en-3-one (17.6 %), palmitic acid (8.9%), neophytadiene (4.8%), tridecane (4.2 %), dodecane (3.5 %), stigmasterol acetate (3.5 %), stigmasta-3,5-dien-7-one (3.4 %), linoleic acid (3.3 %), stigmast-5-en-3-ol, oleate (2.8 %), pentadecane (2.3 %), methyl stearate (2.2%) and phthalic acid (2.1 %). The remaining constituents of oleoresin were in minor and trace amounts.

The main phytoconstituents of SCEO were oleic acid (25.9 %), stigmasta-3,5-dien-7-one (11.8 %), palmitic acid (8.4 %), phytol (7.8 %), linalool (5.4 %), stigmasterol acetate (5.3 %), α cedrol (4.2 %), β-sitosterol (3.2 %), phthalic acid (3.1 %), squalene (2.8%), neophytadiene (1.7%), and limonene (1.6%). The remaining constituents of oleoresin were in minor and trace amounts. Compounds such as dodecane, tridecane, pentadecane, methyl palmitate, linoleic acid, methyl stearate, and stigmast-5-en-3-ol, oleate were not found in SCEO, while linalool, α -cedrol, limonene, squalene and β -sitosterol were not found in SCMO. The literature survey did not reveal no report on the chemical composition of SCMO and SCEO. So, it could be assumed as the first report on the chemical composition of SCMO and SCEO. The detailed comparative chemical composition profiling and Venn diagram of SCMO and SCEO are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Oleic acid has been reported to be a substantial component in the hexane extract of S. fruticosa and the seed oil of S. candidissima in the previous study [36]. Linolenic acid (18:3, 33.1%), linoleic acid (18:2, 25.2%), oleic acid (18:1, 13.3%) and stearic acid (18:0, 12.5%) have been reported as the main fatty acids in *S. coccinea* seed oil [17]. Similarly, oleic acid, palmitoleic acid, stearic acid, and linoleic acid have been reported in the hexane extract of S. fruticosa [37]. Linoleic acid (18:2; 24.3-69.2%), linolenic acid (18:3; 0.6-40.8%), oleic acid (18:1; 8.3-31.0%), palmitic acid (16:0; 3.8-21.0%) and stearic acid (18:0; 1.8-5.2%) have been reported as the main component in the hexane extract of nine Salvia seeds (Salvia syriaca, Salvia potentilli folia, Salvia candidissima ssp. occidentalis, Salvia macrochlamys, Salvia poculata, Salvia tomentosa, Salvia recognita, Salvia virgata, and Salvia ceratophylla) [36]. Similarly, linoleic acid has been reported in the majority of the seed oils of S. albimaculata (37.2%), S. cedronella (49.2%), S. cryptantha (61.1%), S. forskahlei (60.8%), S. fruticosa (47.6%), S. virgata (44.5%), S. tchihatcheffii (44.2%), S. hypergeia (43.7%), S. tomentosa (41.0%) and S. halophila (31.4%) [36]. Tricosanoic acid (C23:0), stearic acid (C18:0); heneicosanoic acid (C21:0); *cis*-10-heptadecanoic acid (C17:1); and palmitic acid (C16:0) have been reported as the major components in the petroleum ether extract of *S. poculata* whereas α -linolenic acid has been reported as the major component in the ether extract of clary sage seeds (S. sclarea L.), followed by oleic and linoleic acids, respectively [17,38,39].

Sample no	Compound	Kovat indices	Oleoresin		Method of identification
-	_		SCEO	SCMO	—
1	Dodecane	1200	-	3.5	M ⁺ = 57, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 98, 85, 71, 43, 41 (100%)
2	Tridecane	1300	-	4.2	M ⁺ = 57, <i>m/z</i> = 99, 85, 71, 43, 41 (100%)
3	Pentadecane	1500	-	2.3	M ⁺ = 57, <i>m/z</i> = 99, 85, 71, 43, 41 (100%)
4	Linalool	1620	5.4	-	M ⁺ = 71, <i>m/z</i> = 115, 95, 67, 55, 41 (100%)
5	α-Cedrol	1600	4.2	-	M ⁺ = 43, <i>m/z</i> = 148, 130, 105, 91, 57, 41 (100%)
6	Limonene	1029	1.6	-	M ⁺ = 43, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 151, 123, 109, 95, 81, 57, 41 (100%)
7	Phytol	1943	7.8	1.6	M ⁺ = 68, <i>m/z</i> = 109, 95, 82, 57, 41 (100%)
8	Neophytadiene	-	1.7	4.8	$M^+ = 68, m/z = 123, 109, 95, 82, 55, 41 (100\%)$
9	Methyl palmitate	1921	-	2.1	M ⁺ = 74, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 143, 87, 57, 41 (100%)
10	Palmitic acid	-	8.4	8.9	M ⁺ = 73, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 129, 98, 85, 60, 43 (100%)
11	Linoleic acid	2133	-	3.3	M ⁺ = 67, <i>m/z</i> = 123, 109, 95, 81, 55, 41 (100%)
12	Methyl stearate	2125	-	2.2	M ⁺ = 74, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 143, 101, 87, 69, 41 (100%)
13	Oleic acid	2142	25.9	22.3	M ⁺ = 55, <i>m/z</i> = 97, 81, 69, 41 (100%)
14	Phthalic acid	1590	3.1	2.1	M ⁺ = 149, <i>m/z</i> = 167, 113, 84, 71, 57, 41 (100%)
15	Squalene	-	2.8	-	M ⁺ = 69, <i>m/z</i> = 137, 121, 95, 81, 41 (100%)
16	Stigmasterol acetate	-	5.3	3.5	M ⁺ = 68, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 123, 109, 95, 82, 55, 41 (100%)
17	Stigmast-5-en-3-ol, oleate	-	-	2.8	M ⁺ = 43, <i>m/z</i> = 119, 105, 69, 57, 41 (100%)
18	β-Sitosterol	-	3.2	-	M ⁺ = 43, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 213, 145, 95, 81, 57, 41 (100%)
19	Stigmasta-3,5-dien-7-one	-	11.8	3.4	M ⁺ = 43, <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> = 174, 161, 81, 57, 41 (100%)
20	Stigmasta-4-en-3-one	-	-	17.6	M ⁺ = 124, <i>m/z</i> = 229, 149, 95, 57, 41 (100%)

Table 1. Comparative chemical composition of SCMO and SCEO *.

* SCMO = Salvia coccinea methanolic oleoresin, SCEO = Salvia coccinea ether oleoresin, t = trace < 0.1%.



Figure 1. Venn diagram of the oleoresin composition of SCMO and SCEO.

Palmitic acid (25.8 %), phytol (24.0 %), E-caryophyllene (12.4 %), and caryophyllene oxide (10.7 %) have also been reported in Salvia reflexa from our laboratory [20]. In the present study, linoleic acid was found to be present in SCMO but was missing in SCEO. However, oleic acid, stigmasta-3,5-dien-7one, palmitic acid, stigmasterol acetate, phthalic acid, neophytadiene, and phytol were identified in both oleoresins. The extensive variations between Salvia species may be caused by the extraction method, the season, the stage of development, and the unique habitat from which the plant was taken. Moreover, the composition of oleoresins varies greatly with respect to the geographical proximity. According to the published literature, the marker components in the Salvia species plant extract include oleic acid and palmitic acid. The methanolic and ether oleoresin of Salvia coccinea has never been studied before. Therefore, a comparison with the previously reported data was not possible. However, our findings generally agree with those of published reports on other Salvia species.

3.2. Nematicidal activity

The nematicidal activity of SCMO and SCEO (25-200 μ g/mL) was investigated by monitoring the hatching of the eggs and the juvenile mortality of larvae of the second stage (J₂) of *M. incognita*. As the concentration increased, juvenile mortality increased, while egg hatching decreased. Nematodes are extremely poisonous to the solvent methanol. Therefore, all methanolic samples were evaporated until dryness to remove the traces of methanol. The powdered extract solubilised in water and Tween-20 was tested against nematodes with water as the negative control. Similarly, ether extracts were also used in powder form. The % mortality of SCMO (60.33±0.47%,

62.33±0.47% and 65.66±1.69%, respectively) and SCE0 (40.33±1.24%, 51.33±0.81% and 54.33±1.24%, respectively) was shown to be effective at the highest concentration (200 μ g/mL) when exposed for 24, 48, and 72 hours, as shown in Table 2. At 200 μ g/mL, SCMO (6.00±0.57%, 9.66±0.33% and 26.33±1.20%) and SCEO (9.33±0.94%, 11.33±1.33% and 33.33±1.24%) showed a significant inhibitory effect of egg hatching against *M. incognita* when exposed for 24, 48, and 72 hours, as shown in Table 3. The LC₅₀ and IC₅₀ values for SCMO and SCEO have been mentioned in Tables 2 and 3. LC₅₀ and IC₅₀ values for SCMO were observed to be 120.98 μ g/mL and 20.04 μ g/mL, respectively. For SCEO, these values were 206.41 μ g/mL and 29.71 μ g/mL, respectively.

In the present study, oleic acid and palmitic acid have been identified as the main compounds in SCMO and SCEO. No data exist on the nematicidal activity of SCMO and SCEO to compare with the experimental results of the present investigation. In previous studies, Salvia officinalis has also been reported to exhibit nematicidal activity against *M. incognita* [40]. Palmitic acid (C16:0) and oleic acid (C18:19c), isolated from the stem and root of Mucuna aterrima, have been reported to exhibit nematicidal activity against M. incognita due to the presence of double bonds in oleic acid at the, α - and β -position of the carbonyl group. Palmitic acid has been reported to cause significantly higher mortality in second-stage juveniles of *M*. incognita compared to oleic acid [41]. Linoleic acid isolated from the stem and root of *Mucuna aterrima*, have been reported to exhibit good nematicidal activity against *M. incognita* [42]. Linoleic acid (100±0.00) has been reported to exhibit better nematicidal activity compared to palmitic acid (68.00±0.7) and oleic acid (85.00±4.0) against the cyst nematode Heterodera zeae [43].

SCMO	(%) Juvenile (J ₂)	mortality		(%) Eggs hatchi	(%) Eggs hatching		
(µg/mL)	24 h	48 h	72 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	
25	21.33±0.94 ^b	25.00±0.81 ^b	27.33±0.94 b	29.66±0.88 d	42.33±0.88 e	78.00±1.15 d	
50	34.66±0.47°	39.66±1.24 °	40.66±1.20 °	20.66±0.33 °	35.33±0.88 d	63.66±0.88 °	
100	45.66±1.69 ^d	48.66±2.49 d	53.00±2.16 d	13.33±0.88 b	20.00±1.15 °	48.00±1.15 °	
150	54.66±1.24 ^e	58.33±2.05 °	62.66±1.69 °	9.33±0.66 ab	13.33±0.88 b	38.33±2.02 b	
200	60.33±0.47 ^f	62.33±0.47 f	65.66±1.69 °	6.00±0.57 a	9.66±0.33 ª	26.33±1.20 ª	
Control (1% Tween 20)	0.00 ± 0.00^{a}	0.66±0.00 ª	2.33±0.33 ª	56.00±3.21 °	80.00 ± 1.15 f	107.33±3.71 e	
LC50/IC50 (µg/mL)	120.98 µg/mL			20.04 µg /mL			

Table 2. Nematicidal activity of SCMO against *M. incognita* *.

SCMO = Salvia coccinea methanolic oleoresin, SCEO = Salvia coccinea ether oleoresin, Within a column, mean values (a, b, c, d, e, f) followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's and Duncan's test (p < 0.05).

Table 3. Nematicidal activity of SCEO against M. incognita *.

SCEO	(%) Juvenile (J ₂)	mortality		(%) Eggs hatchi	(%) Eggs hatching		
(µg/mL)	24 h	48 h	72 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	
25	11.33±0.94 b	15.33±0.57 °	27.00±1.41 b	41.66±0.88 d	44.66±3.29 °	70.00±1.63 e	
50	20.66±0.94 °	34.33±1.88 d	41.33±1.69 °	34.00±1.33 °	38.66±0.88 bc	60.66±0.94 d	
100	28.66±0.47 d	37.66±1.76 cd	39.66±1.52 °	28.00±0.81 b	32.33±0.47 b	54.66±0.47 °	
150	36.33±1.24 °	41.33±0.81 e	44.33±1.69 °	10.66±0.94 a	16.66±0.85 ^a	43.33±0.47 b	
200	40.33±1.24 f	51.33±0.81 f	54.33±1.24 d	9.33±0.94 a	11.33±1.33 a	33.33±1.24 ª	
Control (1% Tween 20)	0.00±0.00 a	0.66±0.00 a	2.33±0.33 a	42.33±2.05 d	73.33±1.15 d	107.33±3.71 f	
LC ₅₀ /IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	206.41 μg/mL			29.71 μg /mL			

* SCMO = Salvia coccinea methanolic oleoresin, SCEO = Salvia coccinea ether oleoresin, Within a column, mean values (a, b, c, d, e, f) followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's and Duncan's test (p < 0.05).

Table 4. Insecticidal activity	y of SCMO and SCEO a	against nymph of <i>Lij</i>	oaphis erysimi *.

Concentration	Mortality % (me	Mortality % (mean±SD)								
(µg/mL)	SCMO			SCEO	SCEO					
	24 h	48 h	72 h	24 h	48 h	72 h				
50	23.71±1.11 °	36.75±2.96 °	42.09±3.86 d	7.90±0.37 °	20.94±3.70 °	31.41±2.57 d				
100	39.59±1.85 d	52.56±2.22 d	55.12±1.87 °	23.71±1.11 ^d	28.84±3.33 d	36.75±2.96 ^d				
250	55.34±2.59 °	60.47±1.85 °	63.03±1.60 c	39.52±1.85 °	50.00±3.84 ^c	52.56±2.22 °				
500	68.37±1.48 b	76.28±1.11 b	81.62±2.12 b	52.56±2.22 b	63.03±2.60 b	65.59±1.29 ^b				
1000	84.18±0.74 a	86.75±2.12 ª	94.87±1.44 a	76.28±1.11 ª	81.62±1.12 ^a	86.75±1.85 a				
Control	00.00 ± 0.00 f	00.00±0.00 f	00.00±0.00 e	00.00±0.00 f	00.00 ± 0.00 f	00.00±0.00 e				
$LC_{50}(\mu g/mL)$	153.67 μg/mL			410.36 μg/mL						

* SCMO = Salvia coccinea methanolic oleoresin, SCEO = Salvia coccinea ether oleoresin, Within a column, the mean values (a, b, c, d, e, f) followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey's and Duncan's test (p < 0.05).

The EOs of Tagetes species herbs containing neophytadiene has been reported to exhibit nematicidal activity [44]. SCMO and SCEO also possess the neophytadiene component and hence might be responsible for the nematicidal activity or synergistic effect of other constituents. Similarly, the main compounds such as oleic acid, palmitic acid, stigmasta-3,5-dien-7-one, linoleic acid present in SCMO and SCEO could be responsible for the good nematicidal activity against M. incognita. Due to the non-toxic properties and environmental friendliness of plant extracts, SCMO and SCEO can be used effectively to develop ecofriendly herbal formulations to manage pest nematodes without posing a threat to the environment.

3.3. Insecticidal activity

Lipaphis erysimi, commonly known as mustard aphid, is a serious damaging pest. The results reported in Table 4 showed that there was significant mortality in adults with L. erysimi when exposed to different concentrations (50-1000 µg/mL) of SCMO and SCEO. The results showed varying mortality percentages at different dose levels, where the percent mortality increased with increasing dose levels. At a higher dose (1000 µg/mL), SCMO exhibited 94.87±1.44% mortality in 72 h, while SCEO exhibited 86.75±1.85% mortality. The ANOVA showed a significant difference among the concentrations of oleoresins, including the control. The toxicity and minimum median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) of SCMO and SCEO were found to be 153.67 µg/mL and 410.36 µg/mL, respectively. These experimental observations were found to be in total agreement with the insecticidal activity against Aphis craccivora in the n-hexane fraction of Triadica sebifera (LC50 = 196.61 mg/L) containing neophytadiene [45]. Salvia officinalis essential oil has been reported to show a strong repellent effect

(95.0±5.77%) against *Aphis fabae* at the highest concentration of 0.16 µL/cm² at 24 hours [46]. The findings of the t-test revealed that SCMO and SCEO had a stronger repellent effect compared to the control, which could be due to the presence of various combinations of fatty acids and the synergistic interaction of the major/minor constituents of oleoresins. Oenocarpus bataua and Attalea speciosa oils have been reported to consist of fatty acids such as oleic acid and palmitic acid and exhibited a mortality rate of 100% against L. erysimi at concentrations of 10, 25, 50 and 100% [47]. In the present study, SCMO exhibited better insecticidal activity compared to SCEO, possibly due to the synergistic interaction of fatty acids observed in the insecticidal activity of neem oil against Aphis craccivora, which has been reported to consist of linoleic acid, oleic, and palmitic acid as the main components [48].

3.4. Antifungal activity

In the present study, SCMO and SCEO exhibited antifungal activity against phytopathogenic fungi at various doses (25-200 μ g/mL) (Table 5), revealing a percent suppression of mycelial growth of the tested fungus. The antifungal potential of SCMO and SCEO against C. lunata was 19.27±0.25 % and 15.19±0.67 % at 25 µg/mL whereas 68.36±0.48 and 65.02±0.48 µg/mL, respectively, at the highest dose of 200 µg/mL. The antifungal activity of the S. coccinea methanolic extract against different species of Candida (C. albicans, C. parapsilosis, C. tropicalis, C. krusei and C. glabrata) has been reported to show a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 125 µg/mL which was found to be in accordance with the results of the present study [49]. The methanolic extract of S. africanalutea, S. cryptantha, S. officinalis, S. tomentosa, and S. verticillata has been reported to show effective antifungal properties against Monilia laxa, Fusarium oxysporum, and Aspergillus niger [50].

Table 5. Antifungal activity of SCMO and SCEO against C. lunata *.

Concentration (µg/mL)	% Mycelial grow	% Mycelial growth inhibition							
	25	50	100	150	200				
SCMO	19.27±0.25 ª	23.91±0.37 b	40.42±0.93 °	52.09±0.25 d	68.36±0.48 °				
SCEO	15.19±0.67 ª	22.80±0.37 b	37.83±0.47 °	50.24±0.38 d	65.02±0.48 °				
Carbendazim (standard)	100.00±0.00	100.00±0.00	100.00±0.00	100.00±0.00	100.00±0.00				
* SCMO - Salvia coccinea methanol	ic oleoresin SCEO – Salvia c	occinea ether oleoresi	Within a column the	mean values (a h c d	e f) followed by the same				

a SCMO = *Salvia coccinea* methanolic oleoresin, SCEO = *Salvia coccinea* ether oleoresin, within a column, the mean values (a, b, c, d, e, f) followed letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey's and Duncan's test (p < 0.05).

Table 6. Antibacterial activity of SCMO and SCEO against *S. aureus*.

Concentration (µg/mL)	Zone of inhibition (ZOI) in mm±SD							
	SCMO	SCEO	Standard					
	S. aureus	S. aureus	Amikacin					
25	5.93±0.25 a	2.60±0.25 a	15.33±0.33 ª					
50	10.58±0.37 ь	5.39±0.84 b	18.66±0.66 a					
100	16.35±0.63 °	10.42±0.17 °	20.66±0.33 a					
150	19.13±0.38 d	13.94±1.02 d	27.00±0.57 ª					
200	22.06±0.15 °	19.10±1.12 °	31.66±0.33 a					
Control	0.00+0.00 f	0.00+0.00 f						

* SCMO = Salvia coccinea methanolic oleoresin, SCEO = Salvia coccinea ether oleoresin, Within a column, the mean values (a, b, c, d, e, f) followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey's and Duncan's test (p < 0.05).

compounds	ΔGD	KI	I. E.	B.E.	K.M.S.D.	Residual Interactions		
						Van der Waals	Pi-alkyl	Pi-sigma
PDB ID: IC20								
Oleic acid	-4.69	363.71	-9.46	-4.77	93.37	GLY441, GLU199, GLY119, SER122, ASP72, GLY80, SER200, SER81	TYR121, HIS440, TYR334, ILE439, TRP84, TRP432	PHE330
Palmitic acid	-5.85	308.71	-9.26	-9.53	96.03	TYR70, GLY80, ASP72, ASN85, SER81, GLY441, GLU199, GLY118, SER200, GLY119	TRP84, PHE330, TYR334, TRP432, HIS440	-
PDB ID: 5F3Y								
Oleic acid	-1.26	118.62	-6.04	-1.55	105.94	ARG356, ASP372, ARG364, GLU376, LEU380	ALA375, LEU360, VAL379, ARG534, TRP385, PHE535,	-
Palmitic acid	-2.50	14.70	-6.97	-6.52	107.85	ARG356, GLU376	LEU3380, TRP385, PHE535, VAL379, LYS538, LEU360, VAL363, ARG364, ALA375	-
PDB ID: 3HNR								
Oleic acid	-2.78	9.23	-7.55	-7.32	22.75	GLU251, ILE316, LYS155, ARG134, ALA224, SER223	ALA252, LEU225, PHE315, VAL163. ILE151	-
Palmitic acid	-2.23	23.24	-6.70	-6.61	21.45	ARG134, SER223, LYS155, ILE316	LEU225, ALA252, PHE315, ILE151, VAL163	-
PDB ID: 4TZK								
Oleic acid	-4.99	219.54	-9.76	-10.46	94.69	ILE439, GLY80, SER81, ASP72, GLU199, TYR121, GLY118, SER200,	TYR442, TRP432, TRP84, PHE330, HIS440, TYR334	-
Palmitic acid	-2.53	14.01	-7.00	-5.95	70.80	GLY119, PHE331, GLY117 ARG174, MET175, LEU171, PRO48, ARG47	GLU299, PHE300	-

* Free binding energy, ΔG; Estimated inhibition constant, Ki; Residual interactions represent amino acid interactions forming hydrogen bonds with the ligand through Van der Waals, alkyl, and pi-alkyl interactions.

Similarly, the brain lipid of red shrimp (Metapenaeus brevicornis) consists of oleic acid and has been reported to be active against the fungal pathogen Curvularia lunata [51]. Salvia reflexa EO has been reported to exhibit significant antifungal activity (100% at 100 ppm) against C. lunata with palmitic acid (25.80%), phytol (24.0%) as the main component [20]. SCMO and SCEO have been found to exhibit almost similar antifungal potential. The acetone extract of Foeniculum vulgare containing oleic acid, palmitic acid, and linoleic acid as the main constituents has been reported to exhibit good antifungal activity [52]. The EO of Salvia sharifii has been reported to exhibit significant antifungal activity against Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, and Candida glabrata [53]. The aforementioned constituents have also been identified in SCMO and SCEO that could be responsible for the antifungal activity against C. lunata.

3.5. Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial potential against the pathogenic bacterial strain *S. aureus* has been studied and expressed in terms of zone of inhibition (ZOI) in millimetres. At $25 \,\mu$ g/mL, SCMO and SCEO exhibited minimum antibacterial activity and followed the order:

Amikacin (ZOI = 15.33±0.33) > SCMO (ZOI = 5.93±0.25) > SCEO (ZOI = 2.60±0.25).

At 200 µg/mL, SCMO and SCEO exhibited maximum antibacterial activity and followed this order: Amikacin (ZOI = 31.66±0.33) > SCMO (ZOI = 22.06±0.15) > SCEO (ZOI = 19.10±1.12). Similarly, the methanolic extract of S. cryptantha and S. multicaulis demonstrated strong anti-bacterial activity. S. multicaulis exhibited significant anti-bacterial activity against S. aureus with 10.00±0.16 mm zone of inhibition at 100 µg/mL, which was determined to be consistent with the experimental findings of the present investigation (Table 6) [54]. The methanolic extract of Salvia leriifolia and Salvia pisidica has been reported to exhibit substantial antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* at various concentrations [55,56]. It has been reported that the methanol extracts of the seaweeds of Ulva lactuca consist of neophytadiene which might be responsible for the antibacterial activity against E. coli, K. pneumonia, S. *typhi* and *S. aureus* [57]. However, no reports are available on the antibacterial activity of SCMO and SCEO and are being reported for the first time. The main compounds such as oleic acid, palmitic acid, linoleic acid, and neophytadiene present in SCMO and SCEO might be responsible for the antibacterial activity against S. aureus.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of 3D and 2D interactions of major compounds of SCMO and SCEO docked into the active site of targeted proteins involved in various biological activities illustrating interactions with amino acid residues in the binding site based on the lowest binding energies. 1. Palmitic acid with 1C2O (ache in nematicidal activity) 2. Palmitic acid with 5f3y (protein in *Lipaphis erysimi*) 3. Oleic with 3hnr (Antifungal protein) 4. Oleic acid with 4tzk (Antibacterial protein).

Table 8. ADME/Tox analysis of major compounds of SCMO and SCEO *.

Drag likeness ves Ves Yes Yes Yes Yes Bioavailability score 0.85 0.85 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 bioavailability score 0.85 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 bioavailability score 0.85 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 bioavailability score 0.85 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 Clop Permeability 1.563 1.558 1.317 1.425 1.203 1.399 (log Rapp in 10* cm/s) Human intestinal absorption 91.82 92.00 97.54 92.85 97.08 90.643 (log Kabstred) -<	Major compounds	Oleic acid	Palmitic acid	Stigmasta-3,5-dien-7-one	Neophytadiene	Stigmasterol acetate	Phytol
Lipinski rule Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Biavarlability score 0.85 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 Bisorption	Drug likeness						
Bioscribility score 0.85 0.85 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 Ubsorption -<	Lipinski rule	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
biscoption	Bioavailability score	0.85	0.85	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55
Water solubility -5.924 -5.562 -6.75 -8.559 -6.859 -7.535 (log mol/L)	Absorption						
(log mol/L)	Water solubility	-5.924	-5.562	-6.75	-8.559	-6.859	-7.535
CaCop Permeability (log Papin 10 * cm/s) 1.563 1.578 1.317 1.425 1.203 1.399 (log Papin 10 * cm/s) -<	(log mol/L)						
(log Papp in 10 ^{+ c} m/s) 91.82 92.00 97.54 92.85 97.08 90.643 Kim Permeability -1.725 -2.717 -2.651 -2.518 -2.792 -2.631 (log Kp) - </td <td>CaCO₂ Permeability</td> <td>1.563</td> <td>1.558</td> <td>1.317</td> <td>1.425</td> <td>1.203</td> <td>1.399</td>	CaCO ₂ Permeability	1.563	1.558	1.317	1.425	1.203	1.399
Human intestinal absorption 91.82 92.00 97.54 92.85 97.08 90.643 (% Absorbed) . <td>(log Papp in 10⁻⁶ cm/s)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	(log Papp in 10 ⁻⁶ cm/s)						
(% Absorbed) -1.725 -2.717 -2.651 -2.518 -2.792 -2.631 Yeglycoprotein substrate No No No No No No Deglycoprotein substrate No No No No No No Staribution -	Human intestinal absorption	91.82	92.00	97.54	92.85	97.08	90.643
Škin Permeability (log Kp) -1.725 -2.717 -2.651 -2.518 -2.792 -2.631 P.glycoprotein substrate No No No No No No Distribution .	(% Absorbed)						
(log Kp) No No No No No No No P-glycoprotein substrate No No No No No No No Distribution -0.558 -0.543 0.051 0.692 0.051 0.385 (log L/kg) - - 0 0 0 0 Fraction unbound 0.052 0.101 0 0 0 0 0 (human) (Fu) - - - - - - - 0.793 0.794 1.79 2.7 0.79	Skin Permeability	-1.725	-2.717	-2.651	-2.518	-2.792	-2.631
P-gycoprotein substrate No No No No No Distribution -0.558 -0.553 0.051 0.692 0.051 0.385 (log L/kg) - - - 0 0 0 0 Fraction unbound 0.052 0.101 0 0 0 0 0 BB permeability -0.168 -0.111 0.739 0.983 0.739 0.793 (log BB) - <td< td=""><td>(log Kp)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	(log Kp)						
Distribution VDss -0.558 -0.543 0.051 0.692 0.051 0.385 (log L/kg) Fraction unbound 0.052 0.101 0 0 0 0 BBB permeability -0.168 -0.111 0.739 0.983 0.739 0.793 (log BB) - - - - - - - - - - 0.793 0.791 0.79	P-glycoprotein substrate	No	No	No	No	No	No
VDss -0.558 -0.543 0.051 0.692 0.051 0.385 (log L/kg) Fraction unbound 0.052 0.101 0 0 0 0 BBB permeability -0.168 -0.111 0.739 0.983 0.739 0.793 (log BB) - - - - - 1.527 (log BS) - - - - 1.527 - (log BS) - - - - - 1.527 (log PS) - - - - - 1.527 Zetabolism - - - - 1.527 - CYP2D6 substrate No No No No No No No See Yes	Distribution						
(log L/kg)	VDss	-0.558	-0.543	0.051	0.692	0.051	0.385
Fraction unbound (human) (Fu) 0.052 0.101 0 0 0 0 BBB permeability (log BB) -0.168 -0.111 0.739 0.983 0.739 0.793 CNS permeability (log PS) -1.654 -1.816 -1.765 -1.299 -1.765 -1.527 (log PS) - - - - - - - Aetabolism - - - No <t< td=""><td>(log L/kg)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	(log L/kg)						
(human) (Fu) BBB permeability -0.168 -0.111 0.739 0.983 0.739 0.793 (log BB) - -1.654 -1.816 -1.765 -1.299 -1.765 -1.527 (log PS) -	Fraction unbound	0.052	0.101	0	0	0	0
BBB permeability -0.168 -0.111 0.739 0.983 0.739 0.793 (log BB) -1.654 -1.816 -1.765 -1.299 -1.765 -1.527 (log PS) -	(human) (Fu)						
(log BB) -1.654 -1.816 -1.765 -1.299 -1.765 -1.527 (log PS) -1.654 -1.816 -1.765 -1.299 -1.765 -1.527 //detabolism - - - - - - -1.527 //detabolism - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 1.527 - - - - - - - - - 1.527 - - 1.527 - - 1.527 - - - - 1.527 - - 1.527 - <t< td=""><td>BBB permeability</td><td>-0.168</td><td>-0.111</td><td>0.739</td><td>0.983</td><td>0.739</td><td>0.793</td></t<>	BBB permeability	-0.168	-0.111	0.739	0.983	0.739	0.793
CNS permeability (log PS) -1.654 -1.816 -1.765 -1.299 -1.765 -1.527 (log PS)	(log BB)						
(log PS)MetabolismCYP2D6 substrateNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 substrateYesYesYesYesYesCYP1A2 inhibitorYesNoNoYesNoYesCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoCyreationTotal Clearance1.8841.7630.5391.7640.5391.686Renal OCT2 SubstrateNoNoNoNoNoNoNooxicity0.550.520.790.7	CNS permeability	-1.654	-1.816	-1.765	-1.299	-1.765	-1.527
MetabolismCYP2D6 substrateNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 substrateYesYesYesYesYesCYP1A2 inhibitorYesNoNoYesNoYesCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCretionTotal Clearance1.8841.7630.5391.7640.5391.686Renal OCT2 SubstrateNoNoNoNoNoNoNooxicity0.550.520.790.790.710.79Carcinogenicity0.640.630.600.730.520.76	(log PS)						
CYP2D6 substrateNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 substrateYesYesYesYesYesYesCYP1A2 inhibitorYesNoNoYesNoYesCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNo <i>CYP3A4</i> inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNo <i>CYP3A4</i> inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNo <i>CYP3A4</i> inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNo <i>CYP3A4</i> inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNo <i>CYP3A4</i> inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNo <i>Cxreetion</i> TT6430.5391.7640.5391.686 <i>Renal</i> OCT2SubstrateNoNoNoNoNo <i>oxicity</i> 0.550.520.790.790.710.79Carcinogenicity0.640.630.600.730.520.76	Metabolism						
CYP3A4 substrateYesYesYesYesYesYesYesCYP1A2 inhibitorYesNoNoYesNoYesCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNo <i>Xcretion</i> T.5391.7640.5391.686Renal OCT2 SubstrateNoNoNoNoNo <i>oxicity</i> 0.550.520.790.790.710.79Carcinogenicity0.640.630.600.730.520.76	CYP2D6 substrate	No	No	No	No	No	No
CYP1A2 inhibitorYesNoNoYesNoYesCYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCreation	CYP3A4 substrate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CYP2C19 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoExcretionTotal Clearance1.8841.7630.5391.7640.5391.686Renal OCT2 SubstrateNoNoNoNoNoNoNoTotai Clearance1.8841.7630.5790.790.710.79Carcinogenicity0.640.630.600.730.520.76	CYP1A2 inhibitor	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
CYP2C9 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP2D6 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoCYP3A4 inhibitorNoNoNoNoNoNoExcretionTotal Clearance1.8841.7630.5391.7640.5391.686Renal OCT2 SubstrateNoNoNoNoNoNoToxicity0.550.520.790.790.710.79Carcinogenicity0.640.630.600.730.520.76	CYP2C19 inhibitor	No	No	No	No	No	No
CYP2D6 inhibitor No	CYP2C9 inhibitor	No	No	No	No	No	No
CYP3A4 inhibitor No No No No No Xcretion	CYP2D6 inhibitor	No	No	No	No	No	No
Excretion Total Clearance 1.884 1.763 0.539 1.764 0.539 1.686 Renal OCT2 Substrate No No No No No No `oxicity .	CYP3A4 inhibitor	No	No	No	No	No	No
Total Clearance 1.884 1.763 0.539 1.764 0.539 1.686 Renal OCT2 Substrate No No No No No No "oxicity	Excretion						
Renal OCT2 Substrate No No No No No No "oxicity	Total Clearance	1.884	1.763	0.539	1.764	0.539	1.686
"oxicity 0.55 0.52 0.79 0.79 0.71 0.79 Carcinogenicity 0.64 0.63 0.60 0.73 0.52 0.76	Renal OCT2 Substrate	No	No	No	No	No	No
Hepatotoxicity 0.55 0.52 0.79 0.79 0.71 0.79 Carcinogenicity 0.64 0.63 0.60 0.73 0.52 0.76	Toxicity						
Carcinogenicity 0.64 0.63 0.60 0.73 0.52 0.76	Hepatotoxicity	0.55	0.52	0.79	0.79	0.71	0.79
	Carcinogenicity	0.64	0.63	0.60	0.73	0.52	0.76
Immunotoxicity 0.99 0.99 0.98 0.99 0.99 0.99	Immunotoxicity	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
Mutagenicity 1.00 1.00 0.96 0.98 0.98 0.97	Mutagenicity	1.00	1.00	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.97
Cytotoxicity 0.71 0.74 0.75 0.81 0.78 0.85	Cytotoxicity	0.71	0.74	0.75	0.81	0.78	0.85

* Lipinski rule of five; molecular weight < 500 Da, number of H donor bonds \leq 5, number of H acceptor bonds \leq 10, number of rotatable bonds < 10, lipophilicity (octanol/water partition coefficient, log P) < 5 and total polar surface area < 140 Å²; Yes represents not more than one violation; skin permeability is expressed as Log Kp; P-gpI = P-glycoprotein inhibitor; Human intestinal absorption (HIA); BBB (blood-brain barrier) permeability is expressed as log BB (log BB > -1.0 is moderately cross blood-brain barrier); VDss (Volume of distribution should be less than 0.45; Cytochromes (Cyp) of the P450 family (CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 substrate, CYP1A2, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 inhibitors); toxicity measured in probability values varying from 0.00 to 1.00.

3.6. Molecular docking analysis

In medicinal chemistry and drug discovery, molecular docking is used to predict how two molecules will interact. By exploring the optimum confirmation of the ligand-protein complex and its relative orientation, docking was found to be helpful for drug design. The enzyme's active site was docked with the major bioactive compounds of methanol and ether oleoresin of *S. coccinea*. The Gibbs free energy (ΔG), the intermolecular energy (I.E.), the binding energy (B.E.) for each bioactive compound against different protein targets, the inhibition constant, Ki, root mean square deviation (R.M.S.D.) and the residual interactions were listed in Table 7. Palmitic acid exhibited the lowest binding energy of -9.53 kcal/mol (PDB ID: 1C20) and -6.52 kcal/mol (PDB ID: 5F3Y). The lowest binding energy against 3HNR was exhibited by oleic acid (B.E. = -7.32 kcal/mol). Similarly, oleic acid exhibited the lowest binding energy of -10.46 kcal/mol against 4TZK. Thus, the higher effectivity of oleic acid to be used as a potent bactericide can be inferred. There is no consistent pattern found in the lowest binding energy values of the main compounds that allows any component to be considered responsible for the results, instead it is the synergistic/addition effect of other main/minor compounds, which suggests that S. coccinea is a bioactive mixture that could be responsible for the significant results observed in in vitro studies [41,47,51]. The strongest ligand-protein interactions, determined by the lowest binding energies, are represented in Figure 2 in three-dimensional and two-dimensional docked conformations along with the residual interactions that form hydrogen bonds, which demonstrate the

strength and catalytic activity of the binding complex. These interactions showed the strength and catalytic activity of the binding complex.

3.7. ADME/Tox studies analysis

To assess a drug for safety and efficacy, which is essential for regulatory approval, drug developers can use ADME features. Absorption was predicted from % of human intestinal absorption (HIA), lipophilicity, and water solubility of the compound. Drug likeness prediction was done using the Lipinski rule of five. The major compounds of methanol and ether oleoresin of *S. coccinea* did not violate more than one rule of Lipinski to exhibit drug-like behaviour and thus would be considerable for absorption (Table 8) [58]. The logarithmic Kp values of all major compounds were found within the range of -1.72 to -2.79 cm/s, inferring low skin permeability. The distribution was also predicted for oleic acid, palmitic acid, stigmasta-3,5-dien-7-one, neophytadiene, stigmasterol acetate, and phytol which showed high GI absorption and no permeability of the BBB. None of the compounds were found to be substrates of the permeability glycoprotein (P-gp). Metabolism was predicted based on inhibitor interactions, that is, cytochromes from the P450 family (CYP1A2, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 inhibitors) involving the mechanism where different drugs compete for the same enzyme binding site and inhibition of cytochromes could lead to drug efficacy or toxicity (Table 8). Excretion and toxicity were predicted using the online tool Protox II based on the Web, where LD₅₀ values and toxicological parameters (hepatotoxicity, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity and cytotoxicity) were predicted for the main compounds that did not show toxicity [59,60]. Based on the ADME/Tox study, we can conclude that the main compounds of methanol and ether oleoresin of *S. coccinea* and their combinations can be considered effective and efficacious against the therapeutic target and showed a good pharmacokinetic profile.

4. Conclusions

SCMO and SCEO obtained from S. coccinea were found to be rich in fatty acids and terpenoids. The nematicidal, insecticidal, antifungal, and antibacterial activities were statistically evaluated and showed a significant relationship with oleoresins. In higher concentrations, insecticidal activity showed complete mortality of mustard aphids. In vitro antifungal and antibacterial activity has been observed in both oleoresins. SCMO and SCEO exhibited good nematicidal activity against M. incognita. The methanol and petroleum ether oleoresins of S. coccinea exhibited significant pesticidal activities that are being reported for the first time. Although the fatty acid composition obtained in oleoresins has previously shown pesticidal activity, the significant results in the present study could be due to the synergistic effect of the major or minor phytoconstituents. Furthermore, it has been supported by in silico molecular docking and ADME/Tox studies. This herb can be explored for the development of environmentally benign natural pesticides, aside from its academic importance for the generation of databases. However, more studies are needed to isolate and identify the components of Salvia coccinea responsible for endowing the species with its pesticidal activity. The extract and oleoresins or essential oils of Salvia species can be used to prepare formulations for the development of green pesticides. The activity-guided separation and identification of active constituents from extracts may be further helpful as a lead molecule in the development programme of the pesticide industry.

Acknowledgements

We hereby acknowledge and thank Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, for providing all necessary research facilities and the Advance Instrumentation Research Facility (AIRF), Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India for GC-MS analysis.

Disclosure statement DS

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. Ethical approval: All ethical guidelines have been adhered to. Sample availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the author.

CRediT authorship contribution statement 🚱

Conceptualization: Kirti Nagarkoti; Methodology: Kirti Nagarkoti; Software: Avneesh Rawat; Validation: Tanuja Kabdal; Formal Analysis: Ravendra Kumar; Investigation: Dharmendra Singh Rawat; Resources: Ravi Mohan Srivastava, Satya Kumar; Data Curation: Kirti Nagarkoti; Writing - Original Draft: Kirti Nagarkoti, Avneesh Rawat; Writing - Review and Editing: Om Prakash; Visualization: Om Prakash; Funding acquisition: Kirti Nagarkoti; Supervision: Om Prakash; Project Administration: Om Prakash.

ORCID 🕩 and Email 🖾

Kirti Nagarkoti

- kirti.nagarkoti121@gmail.com
- bttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-7332-1265
- Om Prakash
- oporgchem@gmail.com
- https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2767-6997
- Avneesh Rawat
- aveeneshrawat@gmail.com
- bttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-0258-0590

- Tanuja Kabdal
- 🖾 <u>kabdaltanuja1998@gmail.com</u>
- (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5396-0174
- Ravendra Kumar
- ravichemistry.kumar@gmail.com
- (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7299-1761
- Ravi Mohan Srivastava
- ravimohanento@gmail.com
- bttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-0216-117X
- Satya Kumar
- skumar8326@gmail.com

b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2555-4769

- Dharmendra Singh Rawat
- drdsrawat.alpinist@gmal.com

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2613-2709

References

- [1]. Malik, M. K.; Kumar, V.; Sharma, P. P.; Singh, J.; Fuloria, S.; Subrimanyan, V.; Fuloria, N. K.; Kumar, P. Improvement in digestion resistibility of mandua starch (*Eleusine coracana*) after cross-linking with epichlorohydrin. *ACS Omega* **2022**, *7*, 27334–27346.
- [2]. Malik, M. K.; Bhatt, P.; Kumar, T.; Singh, J.; Kumar, V.; Faruk, A.; Fuloria, S.; Fuloria, N. K.; Subrimanyan, V.; Kumar, S. Significance of chemically derivatized starch as drug carrier in developing novel drug delivery devices. *Nat. Prod. J.* 2022, 12.
- [3]. Agboola, A. R.; Okonkwo, C. O.; Agwupuye, E. I.; Mbeh, G. Biopesticides and conventional pesticides: Comparative review of mechanism of action and future perspectives. AROC Agric. 2022, 1, 14–32.
- [4]. Nicolopoulou-Stamati, P.; Maipas, S.; Kotampasi, C.; Stamatis, P.; Hens, L. Chemical pesticides and human health: The urgent need for a new concept in agriculture. *Front. Public Health* **2016**, *4*, 148.
- [5]. Noorbakhsh, F.; Zare, S.; Firuzi, O.; Sakhteman, A.; Chandran, J. N.; Schneider, B.; Jassbi, A. R. Phytochemical Analysis and Biological Activity of Salvia compressa Vent. Iran. J. Pharm. Res. 2022, 21, e127031.
- [6]. Nagarkoti, K.; Kanyal, J.; Prakash, O.; Kumar, R.; Rawat, D. S.; Pant, A. K. *Ajuga* L.: A systematic review on chemical composition, phytopharmacological and biological potential. *Curr. Bioact. Compd.* **2021**, *17*, e010621189843.
- [7]. Farnsworth, N. R. The role of ethnopharmacology in drug development. *Ciba Found. Symp.* **1990**, *154*, 2–11; discussion 11-21.
- [8]. Carović-Stanko, K.; Petek, M.; Grdiša, M.; Pintar, J.; Bedeković, D.; Herak Ćustić, M.; Satovic, Z. Medicinal plants of the family Lamiaceae as functional foods - a review. *Czech J. Food Sci.* 2016, 34, 377–390.
- [9]. Joshi, M.; Kumar, R.; Prakash, O.; Pant, A. K.; Rawat, D. S. Chemical composition and biological activities of *Nepeta hindostana* (Roth) Haines, *Nepeta graciliflora* Benth. and Nepeta cataria L. from India. J. Herb. Drugs 2021, 12, 35–46.
- [10]. Özcan, M.; Tzakou, O.; Couladis, M. Essential oil composition of Turkish herbal tea (*Salvia aucheri* Bentham var.canescens Boiss. & Heldr.): Essential oil of *salvia aucherivar.canescens. Flavour Fragr. J.* 2003, 18, 325–327.
- [11]. Lamien-Meda, A.; Nell, M.; Lohwasser, U.; Börner, A.; Franz, C.; Novak, J. Investigation of antioxidant and rosmarinic acid variation in the sage collection of the genebank in Gatersleben. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2010, 58, 3813–3819.
- [12]. Roby, M. H. H.; Sarhan, M. A.; Selim, K. A.-H.; Khalel, K. I. Evaluation of antioxidant activity, total phenols and phenolic compounds in thyme (*Thymus vulgaris L.*), sage (*Salvia officinalis L.*), and marjoram (*Origanum majorana L.*) extracts. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2013**, *43*, 827–831.
- [13]. Ryan, D.; Antolovich, M.; Prenzler, P.; Robards, K.; Lavee, S. Biotransformations of phenolic compounds in *Olea europaea L. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam)* **2002**, *92*, 147–176.
- [14]. Eghbaliferiz, S.; Soheili, V.; Tayarani-Najaran, Z.; Asili, J. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity of extracts from *Salvia tebesana* Bunge and Salvia sclareopsis Bornm cultivated in Iran. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 2019, 25, 1083–1089.
- [15] Laborda, R.; Manzano, I.; Gamón, M.; Gavidia, I.; Pérez-Bermúdez, P.; Boluda, R. Effects of *Rosmarinus officinalis* and *Salvia officinalis* essential oils on Tetranychus urticae Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2013, 48, 106–110.
- [16]. Pitarokili, D.; Couladis, M.; Petsikos-Panayotarou, N.; Tzakou, O. Composition and antifungal activity on soil-borne pathogens of the essential oil of *Salvia sclarea* from Greece. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2002, 50, 6688–6691.
- [17]. Delange, D. M.; Rico, C. L. M.; Canavaciolo, V. L. G.; Pérez, R. S.; Leyes, E. A. R. Fatty acid composition of seed oil from *Salvia coccinea* Grown in Cuba. *Anal. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *2*, 114–117.

2023 - European Journal of Chemistry - CC BY NC - DOI: 10.5155/eurjchem.14.2.211-222.2416

- [18]. Onayade, O. A.; Scheffer, J. J. C.; Svendsen, A. B. Polynuclear aromatic compounds and other constituents of the herb essential oil of *Salvia coccinea* juss. ex murr. *Flavour Fragr. J.* **1991**, *6*, 281–289.
- [19]. Tepe, B.; Donmez, E.; Unlu, M.; Candan, F.; Daferera, D.; Vardar-Unlu, G.; Polissiou, M.; Sokmen, A. Antimicrobial and antioxidative activities of the essential oils and methanol extracts of *Salvia cryptantha* (Montbret et Aucher ex Benth.) and *Salvia multicaulis* (Vahl). *Food Chem.* **2004**, *84*, 519–525.
- [20]. Prakash, O.; Goswami, S.; Kanyal, J.; Kumar, R.; Rawat, D. S.; Srivastava, R. M.; Pant, A. K. Chemical Composition, Antioxidant, Antifungal and Antifeedant Activity of the *Salvia reflexa* Hornem. Essential Oil. *Asian J. Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *12*, 185–191.
- [21]. Shanmugam, G.; Sundaramoorthy, A.; Shanmugam, N. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles from leaf extract of Salvia coccinea and its effects of anti-inflammatory potential in human monocytic THP-1 cells. ACS Appl. Bio Mater. 2021, 4, 8433–8442.
- [22]. Adams, R. P. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry; Allured Publishing Corporation, 2007.
- [23]. Kabdal, T.; Himani; Kumar, R.; Prakash, O.; Nagarkoti, K.; Rawat, D. S.; Srivastava, R. M.; Kumar, S.; Dubey, S. K. Seasonal variation in the essential oil composition and biological activities of *Thymus linearis* Benth. Collected from the Kumaun region of Uttarakhand, India. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 2022, 103, 104449.
- [24]. Cole, R. A. Isolation of a chitin-binding lectin, with insecticidal activity in chemically-defined synthetic diets, from two wild brassica species with resistance to cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **1994**, *72*, 181–187.
- [25]. Rawat, A.; Prakash, O.; Kumar, R.; Arya, S.; Srivastava, R. M. Hedychium spicatum Sm.: Chemical composition with biological activities of methanolic and ethylacetate oleoresins from rhizomes. J. Biol. Act. Prod. Nat. 2021, 11, 269–288.
- [26]. Arya, S.; Kumar, R.; Prakash, O.; Kumar, S.; Mahawer, S. K.; Chamoli, S.; Kumar, P.; Srivastava, R. M.; de Oliveira, M. S. Chemical Composition and Biological Activities of *Hedychium coccineum* Buch-Ham. ex Sm. Essential Oils from Kumaun Hills of Uttarakhand. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 4833.
- [27]. Kanyal, J.; Prakash, O.; Kumar, R.; Rawat, D. S.; Srivastava, R. M.; Singh, R. P.; Pant, A. K. Study on comparative chemical composition and biological activities in the essential oils from different parts of *coleus barbatus* (Andrews) bent. Ex G. don. *J. Essent. Oil-Bear. Plants* **2021**, 24, 808–825.
- [28]. Balouiri, M.; Sadiki, M.; Ibnsouda, S. K. Methods for *in vitro* evaluating antimicrobial activity: A review. J. Pharm. Anal. 2016, 6, 71–79.
- [29]. Chawla, M.; Kaushik, R. D.; Malik, M. K.; Pundir, V.; Singh, J.; Rehmaan, H. Development and optimization of RofA-PAMAM dendrimer complex materials for sustained drug delivery. *Mater. Today Commun.* 2022, 33, 104881.
- [30]. Samant, L.; Halder, S.; Dhorajiwala, T. Multiple docking analysis and In silico absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity screening of anti-leprosy phytochemicals and dapsone against dihydropteroate synthase of Mycobacterium leprae. Int. J. Mycobacteriol. 2019, 8, 229.
- [31]. Andrade-Jorge, E.; Rodríguez, J. E.; Lagos-Cruz, J. A.; Rojas-Jiménez, J. I.; Estrada-Soto, S. E.; Gallardo-Ortíz, I. A.; Trujillo-Ferrara, J. G.; Villalobos-Molina, R. Phthalamide derivatives as ACE/AChE/BuChE inhibitors against cardiac hypertrophy: an *in silico, in vitro*, and *in vivo* modeling approach. *Med. Chem. Res.* 2021, *30*, 964–976.
- [32]. Brunskole, M.; Štefane, B.; Zorko, K.; Anderluh, M.; Stojan, J.; Lanišnik Rižner, T.; Gobec, S. Towards the first inhibitors of trihydroxynaphthalene reductase from *Curvularia lunata*: Synthesis of artificial substrate, homology modelling and initial screening. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *16*, 5881–5889.
- [33]. Al-Dhahli, A. S.; Al-Hassani, F. A.; Mohammed Alarjani, K.; Mohamed Yehia, H.; Al Lawati, W. M.; Najmul Hejaz Azmi, S.; Alam Khan, S. Essential oil from the rhizomes of the Saudi and Chinese Zingiber officinale cultivars: Comparison of chemical composition, antibacterial and molecular docking studies. J. King Saud Univ. Sci. 2020, 32, 3343–3350.
- [34]. Domínguez-Villa, F. X.; Durán-Iturbide, N. A.; Ávila-Zárraga, J. G. Synthesis, molecular docking, and in silico ADME/Tox profiling studies of new 1-aryl-5-(3-azidopropyl)indol-4-ones: Potential inhibitors of SARS CoV-2 main protease. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2021, 106, 104497.
- [35]. Han, Y.; Zhang, J.; Hu, C. Q.; Zhang, X.; Ma, B.; Zhang, P. In silico ADME and toxicity prediction of ceftazidime and its impurities. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2019, 10, 434.
- [36]. Azcan, N.; Ertan, A.; Demirci, B.; Baser, K. H. C. Fatty acid composition of seed oils of twelve Salvia species growing in turkey. *Chem. Nat. Compd.* 2004, 40, 218–221.
- [37]. Gören, A. C.; Kiliç, T.; Dirmenci, T.; Bilsel, G. Chemotaxonomic evaluation of Turkish species of Salvia: Fatty acid compositions of seed oils. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 2006, 34, 160–164.
- [38]. Yener, I. Determination of antioxidant, cytotoxic, anticholinesterase, antiurease, antityrosinase, and antielastase activities and aroma,

essential oil, fatty acid, phenolic, and terpenoid-phytosterol contents of *Salvia poculata*. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2020**, *155*, 112712.

- [39]. Yalcin, H.; Ozturk, I.; Tulukcu, E.; Sagdic, O. Effect of γ-irradiation on bioactivity, fatty acid compositions and volatile compounds of Clary sage seed (*Salvia sclarea* L.). *J. Food Sci.* **2011**, *76*, C1056–C1061.
- [40]. El-Nagdi, W. M. A.; Youssef, M. M. A.; Dawood, M. G. Nematicidal activity of certain medicinal plant residues in relation to controlling root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* on Cowpea. *Appl. Sci. Rep.* 2017, 20, 35–38, https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3201452.
- [41]. Zhang, W.-P.; Ruan, W.-B.; Deng, Y.-Y.; Gao, Y.-B. Potential antagonistic effects of nine natural fatty acids against *Meloidogyne incognita*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012, 60, 11631–11637.
- [42]. Panda, S. K.; Das, R.; Mai, A. H.; De Borggraeve, W. M.; Luyten, W. Nematicidal activity of *Holigarna caustica* (Dennst.) Oken fruit is due to linoleic acid. *Biomolecules* **2020**, *10*, 1043
- [43]. Faizi, S.; Fayyaz, S.; Bano, S.; Yawar Iqbal, E.; Siddiqi, H.; Naz, A. Isolation of nematicidal compounds from *Tagetes patula* L. yellow flowers: Structure-activity relationship studies against cyst nematode Heterodera zeae infective stage larvae. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2011, 59, 9080–9093.
- [44]. Moghaddam, M.; Pirbalouti, A. G.; Babaei, K.; Farhadi, N. Chemical Compositions of Essential Oil from the Aerial Parts of *Tagetes patula* L. and *Tagetes erecta* L. Cultivated in Northeastern Iran. J. Essent. Oil-Bear. Plants 2021, 24, 990–997.
- [45]. Dolma, S. K.; Singh, P. P.; Reddy, S. G. E. Insecticidal and enzyme inhibition activities of leaf/bark extracts, fractions, seed oil and isolated compounds from *Triadica sebifera* (L.) small against Aphis craccivora Koch. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 1967.
- [46]. Harizia, A.; Benguerai, A.; Elouissi, A.; Mahi, T.; Bonal, R. Chemical composition and biological activity of Salvia officinalis L. essential oil against *Aphis fabae* Scopoli (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *J. Plant Dis. Prot.* (2006) 2021, 128, 1547–1556.
- [47]. Almeida, J.; Godoy, K. Alternative Control With Oils and Plant Extracts of the Pulgon Lipaphis erysimi (Kaltenbach, 1843) on Couve Brassica oleracea L. var. acephala, in the Municipality of Cruzeiro Do Sul, Acre; https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-2208005/v2. Research Square 2022.
- [48]. Aziz, W.; Shalaby, M.; Tawfik, W. Efficacy of some Essential Oils on Cowpea Aphid, Aphis craccivora Koch (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology 2018, 9, 827–830.
- [49]. Garza, B. A. A.; Arroyo, J. L.; González, G. G.; González, E. G.; González, E. G.; de Torres, N. W.; Aranda, R. S. Anti-fungal and Anti-Mycobacterial activity of plants of Nuevo Leon, Mexico. *Pak. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2017, 30, 17–21.
- [50]. Zaccardelli, M.; Pane, C.; Caputo, M.; Durazzo, A.; Lucarini, M.; Silva, A. M.; Severino, P.; Souto, E. B.; Santini, A.; De Feo, V. Sage species case study on a spontaneous Mediterranean plant to control phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria. *Forests* **2020**, *11*, 704.
- [51]. Shipar, M. Physical and chemical characteristics, major fatty acids, antimicrobial activity and toxicity analysis of red shrimp (*Metapenaeus brevicornis*) brain lipid. Food Chem. 2007, 102, 649– 655.
- [52]. Singh, G.; Maurya, S.; de Lampasona, M. P.; Catalan, C. Chemical constituents, antifungal and antioxidative potential of *Foeniculum vulgare* volatile oil and its acetone extract. *Food Control* **2006**, *17*, 745–752.
- [53]. Bilel, H.; Boubakri, L.; Zagrouba, F.; Hamdi, N. Chemical composition, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of the essentials oils from flowers of *Salvia sharifii. Eur. J. Chem.* **2015**, *6*, 301–304.
- [54]. Karamian, R.; Asadbegy, M.; Pakzad, R. Essential oil compositions and in vitro antioxidant and antibacterial activities of the methanol extracts of two Salvia species (Lamiaceae) from Iran. *Int J. Agric. Crop Sci.* 2013, 5(11), 1171, <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/ 235430188 Essential oil compositions and in vitro antioxidant an d antibacterial activities of the methanol extracts of two Salvia sp ecies Lamiaceae from Iran (accessed January 18, 2023).</u>
- [55]. Mahdavian Mehr, H.; Hosseini, Z.; Haddad Khodaparast, M. H.; Edalatian, M. R. Study on the antimicrobial effect of Salvia leriifolia (nowroozak) leaf extract powder on the growth of staphylococcus aureus in hamburger: Study on the antimicrobial effect of Salvia leriifolia. J. Food Saf: 2010, 30, 941–953.
- [56]. Ozkan, G.; Sagdic, O.; Gokturk, R. S.; Unal, O.; Albayrak, S. Study on chemical composition and biological activities of essential oil and extract from *Salvia pisidica*. *Lebenson*. *Wiss*. *Technol.* **2010**, *43*, 186– 190.
- [57]. Anjali, K. P.; Sangeetha, B. M.; Devi, G.; Raghunathan, R.; Dutta, S. Bioprospecting of seaweeds (*Ulva lactuca* and *Stoechospermum marginatum*): The compound characterization and functional applications in medicine-a comparative study. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 2019, 200, 111622.
- [58]. Adnan, M.; Nazim Uddin Chy, M.; Mostafa Kamal, A. T. M.; Azad, M.; Paul, A.; Uddin, S.; Barlow, J.; Faruque, M.; Park, C.; Cho, D. Investigation of the biological activities and characterization of bioactive constituents of Ophiorrhiza rugosa var. Prostrata (D.don) &

Mondal leaves through *in vivo, in vitro,* and *in silico* approaches. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 1367.

- [59]. Banerjee, P.; Ulker, O. C. Combinative ex vivo studies and in silico models ProTox-II for investigating the toxicity of chemicals used mainly in cosmetic products. *Toxicol. Mech. Methods* 2022, 32, 542– 548.
- [60]. Kumar, V.; Kar, S.; De, P.; Roy, K.; Leszczynski, J. Identification of potential antivirals against 3CLpro enzyme for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2: A multi-step virtual screening study. SAR QSAR Environ. Res. 2022, 33, 357–386.

BY NC Copyright © 2023 by Authors. This work is published and licensed by Atlanta Publishing House LLC, Atlanta, GA, USA. The full terms of this license are available at http://www.eurjchem.com/index.php/eurjchem/pages/view/terms and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial (CC BY NC) (International, v4.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0). By accessing the work, you hereby accept the Terms. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the CC BY NC License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited without any further permission from Atlanta Publishing House LLC (European Journal of Chemistry). No use, distribution, or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Permissions for commercial use of this work beyond the scope of the License (http://www.eurjchem.com/index.php/eurjchem/pages/view/terms) are administered by Atlanta Publishing House LLC (European Journal of Chemistry). No use, distribution, or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Permissions for commercial use of this work beyond the scope of the License (http://www.eurjchem.com/index.php/eurjchem/pages/view/terms) are administered by Atlanta Publishing House LLC (European Journal of Chemistry).

2023 - European Journal of Chemistry - CC BY NC - DOI: 10.5155/eurjchem.14.2.211-222.2416

222