European Journal of Chemistry

Check for updates



View Journal Online View Article Online

Synthesis, analysis of single crystal structure and computational studies on a novel picrate derivative: 2-(Pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium picrate

Fatma Aydin ២ 1,* and Asli Ozturk Kiraz ២ 2

¹ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17100, Çanakkale, Turkey

² Department of Physics, Computational Physics Laboratory, Faculty of Science, Pamukkale University, 20070, Denizli, Turkey

* Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17100, Çanakkale, Turkey. e-mail: faydin@comu.edu.tr (F. Aydin).



🕹 10.5155/eurjchem.16.2.117-128.2673

Received: 12 February 2025 Received in revised form: 18 April 2025 Accepted: 1 May 2025 Published online: 30 June 2025 Printed: 30 June 2025

KEYWORDS

Thermal properties 2-Mercaptopyridine Density functional theory X-ray structure determination Molecular electrostatic potentials 2-(Pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium picrate

ABSTRACT

A new organic salt, 2-(pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium picrate (C16H11N5O7S: 2-PyrTPPc), has been synthesized and characterized using various spectroscopic methods such as ¹H NMR, 13C NMR, and FT-IR. The crystal structure of the title compound was analyzed using Xray structure analysis, which revealed that it belongs to the monoclinic $P2_1/c$ space group with a = 16.876(4) Å, b = 7.6675(18) Å, c = 13.846(3) Å, Z = 4, and V = 1766.9(7) Å³. The molecular packing of the compound showed the presence of several intermolecular hydrogen bonds between different atoms. The electronic properties of the crystal were investigated using density functional theory (DFT) with B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level. Frontier molecular orbitals were drawn and related global quantities such as electronic chemical potential, chemical hardness-softness, electrophilicity, HOMO and LUMO energy eigenvalues, and the difference between HOMO and LUMO (ΔE) were calculated and discussed. TG/DTG analysis revealed the thermal stability of the 2-PyrTPPc crystal. The single stage of decomposition and the sharpness of the peak in the temperature range of 157-224 °C illustrated the purity and good crystallinity of the grown crystal. The different vibration modes of the 2-PyrTPPc molecule were determined by analyzing the FT-IR and FT-Raman spectra. The detection of vibrations of the pyrNH+ and aromatic thioether moiety supports the confirmation of the di(pyridin-2-yl)sulfane structure through the intermediate formed by the transfer of the proton from picric acid to 2-mercaptopyridine.

Cite this: Eur. J. Chem. 2025, 16(2), 117-128 Journal website: www.eurjchem.com

1. Introduction

2-Mercaptopyridine is an organic sulfur compound that contains a mercapto(-SH) group in the *ortho* position of a pyridine ring. Due to its tautomerization reaction, the compound can exist in two forms: thione and thiol isomers [1,2]. 2-Mercaptopyridine is a Lewis base due to its base centers, such as pyridine and thiophenol. Both pyridine ($pK_a = 5.2$) and thiophenol ($pK_a = 6.0$) have Lewis base properties, but the thiophenol unit is more basic. Thiophenol can be used as a reagent for unsymmetrical disulfide derivatives [3], alkylating agents [4], and chelating ligands [5]. It can also be used as a selective glutathione (GSH) detection reagent [6]. With this powerful reducing agent, you can selectively oxidize it to 2,2'-(dipyridyl)disulfide [7].

Picric acid is an organic acid derived from phenol and contains three nitro groups. Due to its stabilization of the phenolate anion through the resonance of nitro groups, picric acid has become one of the strongest organic acids ($pK_a = 0.42$). Picric acid, which is an electron acceptor, can form charge transfer complexes or ammonium salts with various aliphatic amines [8] and aromatic amines such as some aniline derivatives [9,10] and pyridine derivatives [11-13]. It is also known for its ability to form π - π * interactions with aromatic

hydrocarbons [14,15]. Picric acid forms simple picrate salts from dimeric cations of the AA+ type, where A and A+ are amino acids in the Zwitter ionic and singly charged cationic states [16-18]. Many molecular organic change transfer crystals of picric acid(donor) with organic acceptor molecules exhibit especially applications such as organic non-linear optical material (NLO) [19,20]. The purpose of this comment is to point out that the title of the article is 2-(pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium picrate ($C_{10}H_8N_2S\cdot C_6H_3N_3O_7$) and not 2-mercaptopyridinium picrate ($C_5H_5NS\cdot C_6H_3N_3O_7$) as might appear when reading the article. Pyridine is an analog of benzene and can undergo an aromatic nucleophilic substitution reaction, similar to benzene, through an E1cB alkyne elimination reaction mechanism [21,22].

In this study, we successfully synthesized a novel picrate salt using a distinctive synthetic pathway, as illustrated in Scheme 1. The structure of the compound was thoroughly characterized through elemental analysis and a variety of spectroscopic techniques, including FT-IR, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR. The crystal structure was determined via single-crystal X-ray diffraction. To explore the electronic properties, global reactivity, thermal properties, and NBO analysis of the compound, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level.

European Journal of Chemistry

ISSN 2153-2249 (Print) / ISSN 2153-2257 (Online) – Copyright © 2025 The Authors – Atlanta Publishing House LLC – Printed in the USA. This work is published and licensed by Atlanta Publishing House LLC – CC BY NC – Some Rights Reserved.

https://dx.doi.org/10.5155/eurichem.16.2.117-128.2673



Scheme 1. Reaction pathway for the synthesis of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.



Figure 1. Photograph of the 2-PyrTPPc crystals.

The exceptional features of both experimental and theoretical infrared and Raman spectra were meticulously examined in the article [23,24].

2. Experimental

2.1. General remarks

2,4,6-Trinitrophenol (picric acid), 2-mercaptopyridine, ethanol, tetrahydrofuran, and chloroform were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck Chemicals. The melting point of the compound was determined using an Electrothermal 9100® apparatus. Elemental analyzes were carried out using a Carlo-Erba instrument. FT-IR analysis was performed on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum-100 FT-IR instrument with an ATR apparatus in the range of 4000-650 cm⁻¹. The Raman spectrum was recorded in the region of 3500-150 cm⁻¹ on a WITEC ALPHA 300RA FT-Raman spectrometer using a 532 nm green laser. The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker GmbH NMR spectrometer using CDCl₃ as a solvent and TMS as the internal standard. Crystal structure analysis of the compound was performed using a Bruker D8 QUEST X-ray diffractometer (MoK α radiation, λ = 0.71073). TG/DTG analysis of the compound was performed using a Perkin Elmer TGA8000 instrument. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the sample (8.899 mg) was carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of 20 °C/min from 30 to 800 °C on a PerkinElmer TGA 8000 analyzer.

2.2. Synthesis of 2-(pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium picrate, 2-PyrTPPc

Commercial picric acid $(1\% \text{ in } H_2O)$ purchased from Sigma-Aldrich was crystallized using ethanol. The resulting crystallized picric acid (2.29 g, 10 mmol) was dissolved in

chloroform (20 mL), and 2-mercapto pyridine (1.11 g, 10 mmol) in chloroform (15 mL) was added dropwise to the solution. The mixture was refluxed with stirring for four hours. After that, the reddish oil product obtained was crystallized by slow evaporation of chloroform. The reddish seed crystal of the title compound was grown by the slow evaporation method, after dissolving the crystallized salt in THF at room temperature. After 20 days, a good quality single crystal was harvested and is shown photographically in Figure 1. 2-(Pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium picrate (2-PyrTPPc): Color: Reddish. Yield: 78%. M.p.: 157-158 °C. FT-IR (v, cm-1): 3472, 3442, 3369, 3214, 3097, 1636, 1598, 1564, 1471, 1422, 1385, 1334, 1316, 1246, 1153, 1071, 1067, 981, 928, 908, 823, 772, 701. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ, ppm): 14.07 (brs, 1H, NH⁺), 7.71, 7.70, 7.68, 7.59, 7.46, 7.44, 7.42, 7.33, 6.86, 6.84 (10 H, Ar-H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ, ppm): 176.45 (NH=C-S), 156.10 (C-Ophenoxy), 149.33 (N=C-S), 137.87, 126.10, 122.18 (Cphenoxy), 137.76, 133.20, 119.93, 114.56 (Cprydinyl). Anal. calcd. for C₁₆H₁₁N₅O₇S: C, 46.05; H, 2.66; N, 16.78; S, 7.68; Found: C, 46.12; H, 2.70; N, 16.69; S, 7.65%.

2.3. X-ray crystallography

X-ray diffraction data for a single crystal were collected using MoK α radiation at a wavelength of 0.71073 Å at a temperature of 273(2) K. The structure was determined using SHELXS-97 and refined using SHELXL-97 least squares on F² [25]. Absorption correction was performed using the multiscan method. These data are available free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

Empirical formula Craft, NoOS Formula weight (g/mol) 417.36 Temperature (K) 273(2) Crystal system MonoClinic Space group $P2_1/c$ a (Å) 16876(4) b (Å) 7.6675(18) c (Å) 13846(3) a (Å) 90 a (Å) 99.543(7) g (°) 90 y (°) 90 Volume (Å3) 17669(7) Z 4 p_{aicl} (g/m ³) 0.237 F (000) 0.237 F (000) 0.4 · 0.27 · 0.18 Radiation Moka ($\lambda = 0.71073$) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 32.4 · 9.4 × 9.7 × 1.5 × 1.4	Table 1. Crystal data, data conection, and remement parameters for the title com	poullu, 2-Pyl IPPC.
Formula weight (g/mol) 417.36 Temperature (k) 273(2) Crystal system Monoclinic Space group $P_{1/c}$ a (Å) 16.876(4) b (Å) 7.6675(18) c (Å) 13.846(3) a (Å) 90 β ($^{\circ}$) 90.3 β ($^{\circ}$) 90.4 γ ($^{\circ}$) 0.237 γ ($^{\circ}$) 0.237 γ ($^{\circ}$) 0.237 γ ($^{\circ}$) $\sqrt{30.437}$	Empirical formula	C ₁₆ H ₁₁ N ₅ O ₇ S
Temperature (K) 273(2) Crystal system Monoclinic Space group $P2_1/c$ a (Å) 1837(4) b (Å) 7.6675(18) c (Å) 13.846(3) α (°) 90 β (°) 90.8 γ (°) 90	Formula weight (g/mol)	417.36
Crystal system Monoclinic Space group $22_{1/C}$ a (Å) $16.876(4)$ b (Å) $7675(18)$ c (Å) $3.846(3)$ α (°) 90 β (°) 90 β (°) 90 γ (°) 90 Volume (Å ³) $1766.9(7)$ Z 4 $\rho a(g(xm^3))$ 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 85.00 $Crystal size (mm^3)$ $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Radiation $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Radiation $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Index ranges $-21 \le h \le 21, .9 \le k \le 9, .17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collection (°) 1.687 Index ranges $-21 \le h \le 21, .9 \le k \le 9, .17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 3741 ($R_{int} = 0.0387, R_{sigma} = 0.0313$] Data/restraints/parameters 3741 ($R_{int} = 0.0469$, w $R_2 = 0.1039$ Final R indexes [lal data] $R_1 = 0.0469, wR_2 = 0.1039$ Final R indexes [lal data] $R_1 = 0.0769, wR_2 = 0.1039$ Final R indexes [lal data] R_1 = 0.0769, wR_2 = 0.1039 <td>Temperature (K)</td> <td>273(2)</td>	Temperature (K)	273(2)
Space group $2_{1}/c$ a (Å) 16.876(4) b (Å) 7.6675(18) c (Å) 13.846(3) α (°) 90 β (°) 99.543(7) γ (°) 90 Volume (Å ³) 1766.9(7) Z 4 $\rho_{abl}(g/cm^3)$ 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.4× 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation Mok α ($\lambda = 0.71 0.73$) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges 2.1 $s h \le 21 9 \le k \le 917 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3441 Ruit = 0.0387, Ruigma = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741 ($0/306$ Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [la2 σ (I)] R = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [la1 dta] 8.33/-0.28	Crystal system	Monoclinic
$a(\lambda)$ $16.876(4)$ $b(\dot{A})$ $7.6675(18)$ $c(\dot{A})$ $13.846(3)$ α (°) 90 β (°) 90 β (°) 90 Volume (Å ³) $1766.9(7)$ Z 4 $\rho_{ab}(g/cm^3)$ 1569 μ (mm ¹) 0.237 F (000) 85.0 Crystal size (mm ³) $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Radiation $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Index ranges $-21 \le h \le 9, -17 \le I \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Index ranges $3741 (R_{ml} = 0.0387, R_{sigma} = 0.0313]$ Data/restrains/parameters $3741 (R_m = 0.0387, R_{sigma} = 0.0313]$ Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l2 $2\sigma(I)$] $R_1 = 0.0469, wR_2 = 0.1039$ Final R indexes [l2 $2\sigma(I)$] $R_1 = 0.0469, wR_2 = 0.1039$ Final R indexes [l2 $2\sigma(I)$] $R_1 = 0.076, wR_2 = 0.1039$ Final R indexes [l2 $2\sigma(I)$] $R_1 = 0.0769, wR_2 = 0.1221$ Largest diff, peak/hole (e.Å ⁻³) $0.33/-0.28$ Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system <td< td=""><td>Space group</td><td>$P2_{1}/c$</td></td<>	Space group	$P2_{1}/c$
$b(Å)$ 7.6675(18) $c(Å)$ 13.846(3) $a(^\circ)$ 90 $\beta(\circ)$ 99.543(7) $\gamma(\circ)$ 90 $\gamma(\circ)$ 90 Volume (Å3) 1766.9(7) Z 4 $\rho_{calc}(g/m^3)$ 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation MoK ($\lambda = 0.71073$) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges -21 ≤ $h \le 21.9 \le k \le 9.17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥2 σ (I)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [l≥2 σ (I)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [l≥2 σ (I)] Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTI-97 Structure determination SHELXTI-97 Structure determination SHELXTI-97 CDC deposition number	a (Å)	16.876(4)
c (Å) 13.846(3) α (°) 90 α (°) 90 β (°) 99.543(7) γ (°) 90 Volume (Å ³) 1766.9(7) Z 4 ρ_{cak} (g/cm ³) 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation MoK α (λ = 0.71073) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges -21 ≤ h ≤ 21, -9 ≤ k ≤ 9, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17 Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [Ruit = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraits/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [la2a(]) R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [la2ad] 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXT-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CDCD deposition number 2335699	b (Å)	7.6675(18)
α (°) 90 β (°) 90.543(7) β (°) 90 Volume (Å ³) 1766.9(7) Z 4 ρ_{calc} (g/cm ³) 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges -21 ≤ h ≤ 21, -9 ≤ k ≤ 9, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17 Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [Rint = 0.0387, Rsigma = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l2 σ (I)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [l2 σ (I)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (eÅ ³) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXT-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CDC deposition number 2335699	c (Å)	13.846(3)
β (°) 99,543(7) γ (°) 90 γ (°) 1766.9(7) Z 4 ρ_{clt} (g/cn ³) 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation 0/4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation 0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Index ranges -21 ≤ h ≤ 21, -9 ≤ k ≤ 9, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17 Reflections collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges -21 ≤ h ≤ 21, -9 ≤ k ≤ 9, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17 Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741/0/30.6 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥20(I)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [l≥12(I)] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 <td< td=""><td>α (°)</td><td>90</td></td<>	α (°)	90
γ (°) 90 Volume (Å ³) 1766.9(7) Z 4 ρ_{cak} (g/cm ³) 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation MoK α (λ = 0.71073) 2 θ range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges -21 ≤ h ≤ 219 ≤ k ≤ 917 ≤ l ≤ 17 Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [la2 σ (I)] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [la2 σ (I)] 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTI-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CDC deposition number 2335699	β(°)	99.543(7)
Volume (\hat{A}^3)1766.9(7) Z 4 $p_{calc}(g(cn^3))$ 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹)0.237 F (000)856.0Crystal size (mm ³) $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ RadiationMoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$) 20 range for data collection (°)2.4 to 53.48Index ranges-21 s h s 21, -9 s k ≤ 9 , -17 $\leq l \leq 17$ Reflections collected30487Independent reflections3741 ($R_{int} = 0.0387, R_{sigma} = 0.0313$]Data/restraints/parameters3741/0/306Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018Final R indexes [12 2 σ (I)]R 1 = 0.0769, wR2 = 0.1039Final R indexes [al data]R 1 = 0.0768, wR2 = 0.1221Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å ⁻³)0.33/-0.28MeasurementBruker Kappa APEXII CCDPrograms systemSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number2335699	γ (°)	90
Z 4 $\rho_{calc}(g/cm^3)$ 1.569 μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Radiation MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$) 2θ range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges $-21 \le h \le 21, -9 \le k \le 9, -17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [all data] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å ⁻³) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	Volume (Å ³)	1766.9(7)
ρ_{calc} (g/cm³)1.569 μ (mr·1)0.237F (000)856.0Crystal size (mm³)0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18RadiationMoK α (λ = 0.71073)20 range for data collection (°)2.4 to 53.48Index ranges-21 ≤ h ≤ 21, -9 ≤ k ≤ 9, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17Reflections collected30487Independent reflections3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313]Data/restraints/parameters3741/0/306Goodness-of-fit on F²1.018Final R indexes [1≥2 σ (I)]R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039Final R indexes [all data]R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3)0.33/-0.28MeasurementBruker Kappa APEXII CCDPrograms systemSHELXTL-97Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number2335699	Ζ	4
μ (mm ⁻¹) 0.237 F (000) 856.0 Crystal size (mm ³) 0.4 × 0.27 × 0.18 Radiation WoK α (λ = 0.71073) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges -21 ≤ h ≤ 21, -9 ≤ k ≤ 9, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17 Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥2 σ [1] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å ⁻³) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	$\rho_{calc}(g/cm^3)$	1.569
$F(000)$ 856.0 Crystal size (mm3) $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Radiation MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges $-21 \le h \le 21$, $-9 \le k \le 9$, $-17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥2\sigma(l)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXS-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.237
Crystal size (mm ³) $0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$ Radiation MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$) 20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges -21 $\le h \le 21, -9 \le k \le 9, -17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741/0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥20(l)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å ⁻³) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXS-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	F (000)	856.0
RadiationMoK α (λ = 0.71073)20 range for data collection (°)2.4 to 53.48Index ranges-21 ≤ h ≤ 21, -9 ≤ k ≤ 9, -17 ≤ l ≤ 17Reflections collected30487Independent reflections3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313]Data/restraints/parameters3741/0/306Goodness-of-fit on F²1.018Final R indexes [l≥2σ(l]]R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039Final R indexes [all data]R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3)0.33/-0.28MeasurementBruker Kappa APEXII CCDPrograms systemSHELXTL-97Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number2335699	Crystal size (mm ³)	$0.4 \times 0.27 \times 0.18$
20 range for data collection (°) 2.4 to 53.48 Index ranges $-21 \le h \le 21, -9 \le k \le 9, -17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387 , R _{sigma} = 0.0313]Data/restraints/parameters $3741/0/306$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥ 2σ (I)] $R_1 = 0.0469$, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] $R_1 = 0.0768$, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3) $0.33/-0.28$ MeasurementBruker Kappa APEXII CCDPrograms systemSHELXTL-97Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number 2335699	Radiation	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)
Index ranges $-21 \le h \le 21, -9 \le k \le 9, -17 \le l \le 17$ Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387 , R _{sigma} = 0.0313]Data/restraints/parameters $3741/0/306$ Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥ 2σ [I]] $R_1 = 0.0469$, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] $R_1 = 0.0768$, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3) $0.33/-0.28$ MeasurementBruker Kappa APEXII CCDPrograms systemSHELXTL-97Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number 2335699	20 range for data collection (°)	2.4 to 53.48
Reflections collected 30487 Independent reflections 3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313] Data/restraints/parameters 3741 /0/306 Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [I≥ σ (I)] $R_1 = 0.0469$, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] $R_1 = 0.0768$, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å- ³) $0.33/0.28$ Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXS-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	Index ranges	$-21 \le h \le 21, -9 \le k \le 9, -17 \le l \le 17$
Independent reflections $3741 [R_{int} = 0.0387, R_{sigma} = 0.0313]$ Data/restraints/parameters $3741/0/306$ Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥2σ(I)] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3) $0.33/-0.28$ Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	Reflections collected	30487
Data/restraints/parameters $3741/0/306$ Goodness-of-fit on F ² 1.018 Final R indexes [I≥ 2σ (I)] $R_1 = 0.0469$, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] $R_1 = 0.0768$, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff, peak/hole (e.Å-3) $0.33/-0.28$ Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	Independent reflections	3741 [R _{int} = 0.0387, R _{sigma} = 0.0313]
Goodness-of-fit on F^2 1.018 Final R indexes [l≥2c(I)] $R_1 = 0.0469, wR_2 = 0.1039$ Final R indexes [all data] $R_1 = 0.0768, wR_2 = 0.1221$ Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	Data/restraints/parameters	3741/0/306
Final R indexes [$l \ge 2\sigma(l)$] R ₁ = 0.0469, wR ₂ = 0.1039 Final R indexes [all data] R ₁ = 0.0768, wR ₂ = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å·3) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.018
Final R indexes [all data] R1 = 0.0768, wR2 = 0.1221 Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å·3) 0.33/-0.28 Measurement Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD Programs system SHELXTL-97 Structure determination SHELXS-97 CCDC deposition number 2335699	Final R indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0469, wR_2 = 0.1039$
Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å·3)0.33/-0.28MeasurementBruker Kappa APEXII CCDPrograms systemSHELXTL-97Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number2335699	Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0768$, $wR_2 = 0.1221$
MeasurementBruker Kappa APEXII CCDPrograms systemSHELXTL-97Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number2335699	Largest diff. peak/hole (e.Å-3)	0.33/-0.28
Programs systemSHELXTL-97Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number2335699	Measurement	Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD
Structure determinationSHELXS-97CCDC deposition number2335699	Programs system	SHELXTL-97
CCDC deposition number 2335699	Structure determination	SHELXS-97
	CCDC deposition number	2335699

Table 1. Crystal data, data collection, and refinement parameters for the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc

2.4. Computational studies

Quantum chemical calculations were carried out in the neutral ground state of the title compound (charge: 0, multiplicity: 1) using Gaussian16 [26] and GaussView 6.0 [27]. The Gaussian package is a tool that uses model chemistry to determine the shapes and energies of orbitals in a given molecule. However, density functional theory (DFT) methods describe the interaction between electrons and the total electron density. The most commonly used DFT method is the Becke3 parameter, which calculates the molecular energy for overlapping orbitals. Basis sets are used to increase the number of basis functions per atom. The split-valence triple-zeta basis set 6-311G(d,p) is a popular choice because it allows orbitals to change size but not shape. This basis set has various origins and is recommended by Foresman and Frisch for atoms in the periodic table from hydrogen to bromine [28]. For modeling, the initial guess of picrate was obtained first from the X-ray coordinates and transformed into the Z-matrix format with the Babel program [29]; the model starting geometry of picrate was obtained and applying ab initio optimization at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis

Aliphatic and aromatic amine picrate complexes are generally formed from the interactions of various amine compounds with picric acid. However, due to the difference in Lewis basic properties of thiols and amines, the proton transfer region in picrate complexes changes. In our study using the 2-mercaptopyridine compound, it was observed that it was not 2-mercaptopyridinium picrate ($C_5H_5NS\cdot C_6H_3N_3O_7$) but 2-(pyridin-2-ylthio)pyridin-1-ium picrate,($C_{10}H_8N_2S\cdot C_6H_3N_3O_7$) was formed via a different mechanism due to the structure and region of the proton transfer center. The C-H protons, which are consistent with the protons of the pyridinium ring, resonate around δ 6.84-7.71 ppm from TMS. The N-H protons of the pyridinium salt are responsible for the broad signal observed at δ 14.07 ppm from TMS [30]. The ipso carbon (C19) of the

picrate moiety is responsible for the faint carbon signal at δ 176.45 ppm. The aromatic carbons (C21 and C24) of the pyridinium group bonded to the sulfur atom appear at δ 156.10 ppm. At δ 137.87 ppm, the aromatic carbon atoms C17 and C18 of the same type appear in the picrate moiety. The carbon atoms C15, C16, C14 and C20-C29 in the other aromatic moieties of the complex were assigned to the peak that appeared at δ 149.93, 137.76, 133.20, 126.30, 122.18, 116.93, and 114.56 ppm, respectively.

3.2. Crystal structure and optimized geometry

The crystal structure of 2-(pyridine-2-ylthio)-pyridine-1ium picrate is monoclinic with the space group $P2_1/c$ with Z = 4in the unit cell. The asymmetric unit contains one pyridinium and one picrate ion. Due to the functional groups of the title compound, the *b*-axis and the *c*-axis (7.6675(18) and 13.846(3) Å, respectively) are larger than the *a*-axis (16.876(4) Å) in the crystal dimensions. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically; all other hydrogen atoms were calculated to their idealized positions and a riding model was used. Information on the crystal data, experimental conditions and structural refinement can be found in Table 1.

The ORTEP view of the molecular structure of the picrate crystal is shown in Figure 2. Furthermore, the optimized geometric structure of the title compound obtained from the DFT/B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) method is shown in Figure 3 with the atom numbering scheme.

Table 2 shows the final positional parameters of the title compound. The molecule is composed of six-membered two rings of pyridine and one benzene ring. In the six-membered pyridine rings C20/C23/C29/C28/C24/N12 and C21/C22/C26 /C27/C25/N7 are planers having total puckering amplitudes Q_T of 0.0124(3) Å [φ_2 = -120.67(13.01)°, θ_2 = 108.51(11.17)°] and 0.0099(3) [φ_2 = -63.49(17.23)°, θ_2 = 85.31(16.39)°], respectively. Also, the six-membered benzene ring C14/C15/C18/C19/C17/C16 is almost coplanar with the parameters Q_T = 0.0497(3) Å, [φ_2 = 98.65(2.64)°, θ_2 = 92.44(2.72)° [31].

The bond lengths, bond angles and dihedral angles are obtained from the geometry optimization which used starting geometries taken from the X-ray structure determination. Table 2. Selected experimental and optimized structural parameters of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.

Parameters	B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)	Exp. (Present work)	Parameter	B3LYP/ 6-311G(d,p)	Exp. (Present work)
Bond lengths (Å)					
S1-C21	1.768	1.749(3)	N9-013	1.235	1.220(3)
S1-C24	1.793	1.779(3)	N9-010	1.227	1.229(3)
02-N5	1.229	1.233(2)	N9-C18	1.457	1.456(3)
03-N5	1.230	1.231(2)	N12-C24	1.334	1.337(3)
04-N8	1.227	1.225(3)	N12-C20	1.343	1.347(3)
N5-C14	1.453	1.447(3)	C14-C15	1.392	1.382(3)
N7-C21	1.351	1.342(3)	C14-C16	1.396	1.389(3)
N7-C25	1.354	1.346(3)	C15-C18	1.378	1.370(3)
N8-011	1.231	1.218(2)	C16-C17	1.375	1.361(3)
N8-C17	1.461	1.463(3)	C17-C19	1 466	1 453(3)
Rond anales (°)	11101	1100(0)	61, 61,	11100	1100(0)
02-N5-C14	117.88	118 20(19)	N9-C18-C19	119 90	119 42(19)
03-N5-02	124.19	123 39(19)	010-N9-C18	118.44	1186(2)
03-N5-C14	117 02	118 40(19)	011-N8-04	122.45	122 6(2)
04-N8-C17	117.55	118.64(19)	011-N0-04 011-N8-C17	119 / 9	1186(2)
06-019-017	122 72	122 8(2)	N12_C20_C23	122.01	122 1(2)
06 610 619	124.25	125.0(2)	N12 C24 C20	122.71	123.1(3)
N7 C21 C22	124.55	125.5(2)	N12-C24-C20	122.79	120.20(10)
N7-021-022	119.39	110.1(3)	012 NO 010	122.00	120.39(19)
N7-021-51	122.70	122.25(19)	013-N9-010	122.99	122.4(2)
N/-U25-U2/	120.18	120.3(3)	013-N9-C18	118.53	119.0(2)
C15-C14-N5	119.58	120.00(19)	C15-C14-C16	120.78	120.77(19)
C15-C18-N9	116.52	116.4(2)	C16-C17-N8	116.76	115.9(2)
C15-C18-C19	123.57	124.2(2)	016-017-019	123.83	125.4(2)
C16-C14-N5	119.61	119.23(19)	C17-C16-C14	119.65	119.0(2)
C15-C14-N5	119.58	120.00(19)	C17-C19-C18	111.85	110.70(18)
C16-C17-N8	116.76	115.9(2)	C18-C15-C14	119.79	119.7(2)
C21-S1-C24	107.04	107.63(11)	C19-C17-N8	119.41	118.70(18)
C21-N7-C25	122.30	122.3(2)	C22-C21-S1	117.70	119.6(2)
C24-N12-C20	118.48	117.6(2)	C28-C24-S1	115.15	116.5(2)
Dihedral angles (°)					
S1-C21-C22-C26	177.99	179.0(2)	011-N8-C17-C16	149.77	137.8(2)
S1-C24 -C28-C29	-179.30	177.7(2)	011-N8-C17-C19	-31.06	42.4(3)
02-N5-C14-C15	0.92	172.3(2)	N12-C20-C23-C29	0.12	1.5(4)
02-N5-C14-C16	0.92	8.4(3)	N12-C24-C28-C29	0.02	0.6(4)
03-N5-C14-C15	-0.87	8.6(3)	013-N9-C18-C15	-152.32	154.0(2)
03-N5-C14-C16	-179.12	170.7(2)	013-N9-C18-C19	28.51	26.6(3)
04-N8-C17-C16	-28.06	38.4(3)	C14-C15-C18-N9	176.67	175.99(19)
N5-C14-C15-C18	-178.81	179.22(19)	C14-C15-C18-C19	-4.19	4.7(3)
N5-C14-C16-C17	178.80	176.07(19)	C14-C16-C17-C19	4.23	5.2(3)
N7-C21-C22-C26	-0.92	0.0(4)	C14-C16-C17-N8	-176.64	174.94(19)
N7-C25-C27-C26	-0.44	0.2(4)	C16-C17-C19-C18	-8.06	2.3(3)
N8-C17-C19-O6	-10.15	1.8(3)	C15-C14-C16-C17	0.57	3.2(3)
N8-C17-C19-C18	172.83	177.91(18)	C15-C18-C19-O6	-168.97	176.9(2)
N9-C18-C19-O6	10.13	2.4(3)	C15-C18-C19-C17	8.03	2.8(3).
N9-C18-C19-C17	-172.87	177.88(19)	C16-C14-C15-C18	-0.58	1.5(3)
010-N9-C18-C15	25.52	25.0(3)	C20-N12-C24-C28	0.14	0.5(4)
010-N9-C18-C19	-153.64	154.4(2)	C20-N12-C24-S1	179.41	177.64(18)
C20-C23-C29-C28	0.05	1.4(4)	C22-C26-C27-C25	-0.22	0.7(4)
C21-S1-C24-N12	3.62	5.2(2)	C24-S1-C21-N7	-4.24	7.6(2)
C21-S1-C24-C28	-177.05	173.05(19)	C24-S1-C21-C22	176.89	173.5(2)
C21-N7-C25-C27	0.42	1.0(4)	C24-N12-C20-C23	-0.21	0.5(4)
C21-C22-C26-C27	0.89	0.8(4)	C24-C28-C29-C23	-0.12	0.4(4)
C25-N7-C21-C22	0.28	09(4)	C25-N7-C21-S1	-178 58	179 9(2)



Figure 2. ORTEP III drawing of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level [30].

To compare the theoretical results with the experimental one, some selected geometrical parameters are gathered in Table 2. The calculated S-C, N-C, and O-N bond lengths agree well with the experimental bond lengths, while the O4-N8, N8-C17, N9-O10 bond lengths are nearly 0.002 Å and the N9-C18 bond length is nearly 0.001 Å longer. The calculated C-C and C=C bond lengths are also close to those from the X-ray data. The calculated O-N-C, O-C-C, N-C-S, N-C-C, O-N-O, C-C-S, C-S-C, and C-C-C bond angles are consistent with the experimental data except for the N12-C24-S1 bond angle. The theoretical value of this angle is slightly different from the experimental value, with the N12-C24-S1 bond angle being theoretically 122.06° and experimentally 120.39(19)°. This difference in angle is due to the limitations of the DFT method [32].

2025 - European Journal of Chemistry - CC BY NC - DOI: 10.5155/eurjchem.16.2.117-128.2673

Table 3. Hydrogen bond between some atom (Å, °) for the compound, 2-PyrTPPc

D-H (A)	D…A (Å)	H…A (Å)	D-H…A (°)	
0.85(3)	2.630(3)	1.85(3)	150(3)	
0.96(2)	3.255(3)	2.59(2)	127.12(17)	
0.93(2)	2.703(3)	2.38(2)	100.1(16)	
0.98(3)	3.128(4)	2.36(3)	135(2)	
0.95(3)	3.252(3)	2.35(3)	159(2)	
0.95(3)	3.097(3)	2.41(3)	128(2)	
	0.85(3) 0.96(2) 0.93(2) 0.98(3) 0.95(3) 0.95(3)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*, *z*; (ii) -*x*, 1-*y*, 1-*z*; (iii) *x*, 3/2-*y*, -1/2+*z*.



Figure 3. The ground state optimized geometry for the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.



Figure 4. Intramolecular and intermolecular H-bonds in the dimer. The dotted lines represent C-H···O and N-H···N hydrogen interactions of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.

The crystal structure is an impressive display of molecular architecture, with inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonding playing a crucial role. The arrangement of these bonds has been carefully planned to ensure optimal balance and stability within the structure. This systematic design provides a comprehensive overview of the structural dynamics involved. These balance and stability are also evident from the NBO analysis parameters. The stabilization energies between the donor S1(LP) and the acceptor C24-N12 bond were found to have a low value of 4.31 kcal/mol. The orientation of the pyridine and benzene rings is also defined by torsion angles.

The compound demonstrates intramolecular and intermolecular N-H···N and C-H···O hydrogen bonds in the crystal (Table 3). This type of C-H···X (X =0, N) hydrogen bond has been well reviewed in the literature [33]. The notable properties of the crystal arise from an intricately organized and balanced structural framework. This framework is formed through complex interactions that involve both intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonding. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds occur between separate molecules, whereas

intramolecular bonds form within a single molecule. The synergistic effects of these bonding interactions enhance not only the stability of the crystal but also the ability to significantly influence its various physical and chemical properties. A comprehensive analysis of these interactions and their implications is detailed in Table 3.

The title compound consists of dimeric units that are formed by hydrogen bonds between the C-H···O and N-H···N in the picrate rings. These dimeric units are then connected by paired C-H···O and N-H···N hydrogen bonds, forming sheets along the *a*-axis, as shown in Figure 4.

3.3. FT-IR and Raman spectral analysis

FT-IR spectra confirm the presence of various functional groups and chemical bonds such as hydrogen bonds in the compounds. In the FT-IR spectrum, some functional groups have disappeared, while others have appeared due to picrate salts (Figure 5).



Figure 5. FT-IR spectrum of 2-(pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium picrate (2-PyrTPPc), 2-mercaptopyridine, 2,4,6-trinitrophenol (picric acid) (red, blue, and green line, respectively).



Figure 6. RAMAN spectrum of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.

The experimental characteristic FT-IR and Raman spectra along with the calculated (DFT, B3LYP method at 6-311G(d,p) level) in the gas-phase transmission spectra of the title compound are shown in Figures 5-7. The calculated wavenumbers corresponding to the normal modes of the FT-IR spectra are listed in Table 4.

Protonation of pyridine nitrogen to form the pyridinium salt gives rise to several bands from the N⁺-H stretching between 3300 and 1950 cm⁻¹ that have moderate IR intensity, but are weak in the Raman spectrum. Due to the strong intermolecular hydrogen bond between the nitrogen atoms of the other pyridine, the characteristic peak of the N⁺-H group was observed in the vibrational spectra of the pyridinium moiety at 3471 and 3443 cm⁻¹ [34].

In the Raman spectrum, these peaks do not appear very prominent (Figure 7). The C-H vibrations cover the asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of two different pyridine rings in the phenolic group. Absorption above 3000 cm⁻¹(3369, 3314, and 3213 cm⁻¹) is attributed to the stretching vibrations of $v(C_{ring}-H)$ of the aromatic rings, such as pyridinium rings and phenolic rings [35]. The calculated infrared stretching vibrations of the C-H bond of the aromatic ring are around

2970-2880 cm⁻¹, whereas these peaks of the experimental spectrum appear around 3085-2999 and 3000 cm-1, respectively [36]. In addition, the symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of the C-S bond of the aromatic thioether moiety of the title compound, which are experimentally found at 771, 738, and 707 cm⁻¹, are attributed to the calculated peaks at 734, 712, and 486 cm⁻¹, respectively [37]. On the other hand, the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the NO2 group of the picrate anion are responsible for the sharp bands that appear experimentally at 1487-1422 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR and at 1481 and 1446 cm⁻¹ in the calculated spectra [38]. The comparison between Raman and IR spectra of the vibrational modes in the title compound is more easily emphasized. Other characteristic peaks are observed at 987, 732 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectra, which are caused by the out-of-plane bending(O-N=O) and scissoring (O-N=O) vibration modes of the nitro groups, respectively [39]. These assignments are very characteristic of the picrate anion. These assignments are very characteristic of picrate anions [40]. The experimental and the calculated vibrational frequencies agree well and confirm the formation of the structure of the title compound.

1	9.898	9.570	0.816	νCC(28%)+δCCN(15%)
2	15.90	15.37	0.931	νCC(21%)+δCCN(47%)+νON(16%)
3	22.51	21.77	0.640	νCC(16%)+δNCC(17%)+δCNC(11)
4	30.96	29.94	0.111	vNC(11%)
5	38.59	37.32	2.793	νCC(21%)+τHCCN(13%)
6	54.16	52.37	5.390	δCNC(12%)
8	61.55	59.52	1.857	vCC(19%)
9	63.78	61.68	0.671	vCH(20%)
10	74.91	72.43	3.314	vCH(10%)+vCC(13%)+oCCC(11%)
11	95.50 107 E	92.41	5.257	VCH(10%)
12	135.1	130.6	6337	vCH(12%) + vCC(10%)
14	147.0	142.2	2817	vNC(32%)+vSC(20%)
15	156.2	151.0	1.578	$vCH(16\%) + vCC(13\%) + \delta NCC(16\%)$
16	178.2	172.3	0.501	νCC(14%)+δCCC(29%)
17	183.0	176.9	0.378	vCH(16%)+TCCOH(11%)
19	198.1	191.5	0.017	vNC(17%)
20	262.3	253.6	5.084	vCC(23%)+8CCC(33%)
21	292.2	282.6	4.636	νCC(20%)+τCNCC(13%)+τNCCC(11%)+γSCNC(15%)
22	298.5	288.7	3.311	vCH(13%)+8HCC(37%)
23	308.3	298.1	1.567	τCNCC(16%)
24	328.6	317.7	2.192	$V(L(29\%)+\delta(L(14\%))$
25	353.0	341.9	8.371	$V(U(20\%) + \gamma N(UU(12\%))$
20	207.4	2717	1.210	vuu(72%) #HCCC(1204)
28	394.0	381.0	0.646	THCCC(1570)
29	410.9	397.3	1.929	δHCC(15%)
30	455.8	440.8	4.056	vCC(12%)+8HCC(33%)
31	474.2	458.6	0.695	vCH(43%)+δHCC(34%)
32	478.3	462.5	9.969	νCH(18%)+τHNCC(16%)+τ©CCC(16%)
33	486.7	470.6	1.674	vSC(31%)
34	492.2	476.0	0.451	vCH(12%)+THCCC(11%)
36	525.3	508.0	5.228	νNH(10%)+δHCC(11%)
37	552.3	534.0	4.109	νCH(32%)+δHCC(19%)
38	631.4	610.5	0.830	$\tau CCCN(16\%)$
39	650.8	629.3	/.315	VNH(11%)+OHNU(44%)
40	689.0	670.20	12.71	OUUU[10%] + TUUUU[30%] + TNUUU[10%]
42	712.7	689.18	4 685	vCC(11%) + vCNSC(12%)
43	7244	700 47	26.86	vCC(12%)
44	729.7	705.62	3 423	δCCC(11%)+τCSCC(11%)
45	734.2	709.92	69.77	vSCNC(10%)
46	737.8	713.42	28.24	$\tau ONCC(13\%) + \tau NCSC(11\%)$
47	744.8	720.17	0.281	vNC(13%)
48	746.5	721.9	18.53	vNC(17%)
49	776.2	750.6	80.03	vNC(12%)
50	780.9	755.2	0.681	vONC(20%)+vCC(20%)
54	848.8	820.8	0.218	δCCC(10%)
56	927.7	897.1	43.01	vCC(24%)+8CCC(13%)
57	942.2	911.1	9.093	διιι(10%) SONG(17%)
61	984.3	951.9	1.841	OUNC(1/%)
64	1011	987.1	0.151	vON(14%)
65	1021	988.2	15.64	vON(14.%)
66	1051	1017	29.22	δΟΝC(31%)
67	1056	1021	10.14	τONCC(13%)
68	1066	1031	7.753	vOC(13%)
69	1078	1042	1.680	τONCC(47%)+γOCON(17%)
70	1086	1051	105.7	δONC(19%)
71	1110	1073	24.81	vON(11%)
72	1120	1083	15.68	0HUU(23%)
/ 3 76	1141	1103	55.57	0UNU(11%)+0NUU(18%)
/0 77	11//	1138	93.U/ 5 545	vGC(12%)
78	1100	1140	20.47	γOCON(14%) νON(16%)
79	1268	1226	47.88	vCC(23%)
82	1310	1267	29.38	γOCON(12%)
84	1347	1302	4.089	δ ² COH(10%)
85	1347	1303	658.7	vNC(12%)
86	1370	1325	67.27	vON(12%)
87	1390	1344	46.23	vCC(13%)
89	1451	1403	59.46	vNC(21%)
90	1468	1420	78.03	γNCCC(10%)
91 02	1479	1430	190.9	vON(19%)+vNC(10%)+80NO(12%)
92	1496	1446	2.036	OUNU[15%]
93 04	1532	1481	5.956	VUN(24%) + 0UNU(18%) + 0UNU(11%)
94 95	1550	1505	214.8 114.9	νοιν(12%)+ουινο(12%)+γουοιν(16%) δΟΝC(18%)
96	1574	1522	28.68	τΗCCC(15%)
98	1609	1556	184.7	vCC(10%)
-				

 Table 4. Vibrational wavenumbers of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc obtained from B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) in cm⁻¹, assignment with TED (total energy distribution).

 Modes
 Unscaled
 Scaled a
 IR
 Assignment [TED] ▷ ≥ 10%

Aydin and Kiraz / European Journal of Chemistry 16 (2) (2025) 117-128

Table 4. (Continued).					
Modes	Unscaled	Scaled ^a	IR	Assignment [TED] ^b ≥10%	
100	1628	1574	233.8	δHCC(13%)	
101	1638	1574	85.83	δHCC(11%)	
102	1660	1605	494.4	τHCCC(19%)	
103	1691	1635	742.0	νCH(14%)+τHCCC(28%)	
104	2998	2899	1022	τHCNC(31%)+τCOHC(13%)	
105	3153	3049	323.3	τ HCNC(12%)+ τ HCCC(14%)	
106	3176	3071	7.722	νCH(20%)+τHCCC(10%)	
107	3180	3075	209.6	δHCC(23%)+τHCNC(20%)+τHCCC(28%)	
108	3186	3080	4.256	νCH(24%)+τHCCN(22%)	
109	3198	3092	0.632	νCH(51%)+δHCC(10%)+τHCCC(10%)	
110	3210	3105	3.884	δHCC(18%)+δHCN(43%)+τHCCN(11%)+τHCNC(12%)	
111	3210	3105	2.035	δHCC(40%)+τHCCN(11%)	
112	3224	3118	1.787	δHCC(50%)+τHCCC(10%)	
113	3232	3125	11.67	vCH(84%)	
114	3233	3126	11.52	νCH(60%)+τHCCC(13%)	

^a Wavenumbers are scaled with 0.967 for B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set.

^b TED: total energy distribution, ν; stretching, δ; in-plane-bending, γ; out-of plane bending, τ; torsion, ρ: rocking, w; wagging, t; twisting, sym; symmetric and asym; asymmetric.



Figure 7. Calculated FT-IR (a) and FT-RAMAN (b) spectrums of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.

3.4. The frontier orbitals analysis

To understand the stability and reactivity of chemical compounds on a global scale, it is essential to perform a detailed analysis of several key properties. Among these, frontier molecular orbitals (FMO) are vital to elucidate the electronic structure of molecules, as they represent the highest occupied orbitals (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied orbitals (LUMO) involved in chemical bonding and reactions [41]. The energy difference between these orbitals is critical, as it directly influences a compound's reactivity; narrower gaps often correspond to increased reactivity due to the ease of electron excitation [42]. Hardness refers to the resistance of a molecule to deformation and chemical change, while softness indicates a tendency to engage in chemical interactions [43]. These characteristics provide valuable insights into the anticipated behavior of compounds in various chemical environments. Furthermore, the chemical potential is analyzed to understand the likelihood that a compound changes in response to variations in external conditions. This potential illustrates how a substance interacts with its environment, affecting its stability and reactivity. These comprehensive analyzes not only improve our understanding of the intrinsic properties of chemical compounds, but also help predict their behavior in real-world applications and reactions under various conditions [44]. The energy difference between the HOMO-LUMO orbitals and other

global reactivates for the title compound was calculated in Table 5. As can be seen from the plot of the HOMO level, all negative regions are spread over the picrate anion of the title molecule. At the LUMO level, the negative regions are spread over the 2-(pyridine-2-ylthio)-pyridine-1-ium moiety. The atomic orbital compositions of the molecular orbitals are shown in Figure 8. The HOMO value is -5.70 eV, while the LUMO value is -3.15 eV, and the Δ E value calculated at the DFT level is 2.55 eV. This small energy value of the title compound explains the high chemical reactivity and the low kinetic stability due to the narrow energy space of electronic transitions [45,46].

3.5. Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) analysis

MEP is used for prediction by highlighting the areas of nucleophilic and electrophilic attack depending on the electron densities (ED) on the molecule. It also identifies the hydrogen bond interaction sites [47]. The electrophilic and nucleophilic reactivity by the map of MEP are represented as different colors such as blue, green, and yellow or red from positive, neutral to negative regions, respectively. The red and blue colors in the MEP structure indicate more electron-rich regions and less electron-rich regions, respectively [48]. DFT calculations using the optimized structure with the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set were performed to determine the MEP surface of the title compound.

Table 5. Calculated electronic parameters of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc using the DFT method at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level.

Parameters	Gas phase	
Electronic energy (a.u.)	-1814.908	
Excitation energy (eV)	2.065	
Eномо (eV)	-5.70	
ELUMO (eV)	-3.15	
Energy band gap $[\Delta E = E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}]$ (eV)	2.55	
Ionization energy $[I = -E_{HOMO}]$ (eV)	5.70	
Electron affinity $[A = -E_{LUMO}]$ (eV)	3.15	
Electronegativity $[\chi = (I+A)/2]$ (eV)	4.43	
Chemical hardness [η =(I-A)/2)] (eV)	1.28	
Chemical softness [$\zeta = 1/2\eta$] (eV-1)	0.78	
Chemical potential $[\mu = -(I+A)/2]$ (eV)	-4.43	
Electrophilicity index $[\omega = \mu^2 / (2\eta)]$ (eV)	7.68	

 Table 6. The second-order perturbation energies E(2)(kcal/mol) correspond to the most important charge transfer interaction(donor-acceptor) in 2-PyrTPPc

 by the DFT/B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) method.

Donor NBO(i)	Acceptor NBO(j)	E(2) ^a	E(j)-E(i) ^b a.u.	F(i,j)° a.u.	
π(N7 -C21)	LP(1) C22	13.46	0.27	0.069	
π(N7 -C21)	π*(C25 -C27)	19.40	0.39	0.079	
π(N12 -C20)	π*(C23 -C29)	11.03	0.34	0.056	
	π*(C24 -C28)	25.77	0.32	0.084	
σ(C20 -H35)	σ*(N12 -C24)	5.85	1.04	0.070	
σ(C22 -H33)	σ*(N7 -C21)	5.68	0.95	0.066	
π(C23 -C29)	π*(N12 -C20)	35.19	0.24	0.084	
	π*(C24 -C28)	20.28	0.26	0.065	
π(C24 -C28)	π*(N12 -C20)	17.25	0.26	0.061	
	π*(C23 -C29)	21.75	0.30	0.073	
π(C25 -C27)	LP*(1) C26	45.02	0.18	0.094	
	π*(N7 -C21)	14.17	0.21	0.053	
σ(C28 -H37)	σ*(N12 -C24)	5.25	1.04	0.066	
LP(1) S1	σ*(N7 -C21)	5.18	1.07	0.067	
LP(2) S1	π*(N7 -C21)	35.21	0.18	0.078	
	π*(C24 -C28)	19.21	0.25	0.063	
LP(1) N12	σ*(N7 -H40)	25.07	0.75	0.124	
	σ*(C20 -C23)	7.76	0.93	0.078	
	σ*(C24 -C28)	9.43	0.90	0.085	
LP(1) C22	π*(N7 -C21)	432.40	0.03	0.124	
LP*(1) C26	π*(C25 -C27)	61.28	0.11	0.101	
π*(N7 -C21)	π*(C25 -C27)	31.87	0.08	0.069	
π*(N12 -C20)	π*(C23 -C29)	103.18	0.04	0.088	
	π*(C24 -C28)	159.65	0.02	0.076	
π*(C24 -C28)	π*(C23 -C29)	178.71	0.02	0.084	

^a E(2) means the energy of hyperconjugative interactions.

^b Energy difference between donor and acceptor i and j NBO orbitals.

^c F(i,j) is the Fock matrix element between the i and j NBO orbitals.



Figure 8. Frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) diagram for the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.

Figure 9 shows the mapped MEP surface of the investigated compound. The color code of the title compound is in the range of -8.765e⁻² to 8.765e⁻². In the MEP mapping, the picrate anion moiety with three nitro groups is highlighted in red, while the

2-(pyridine-2-ylthio)pyridine-1-ium moiety is highlighted in blue. Therefore, it can be seen from Figure 9 that the anionic moiety is the electronegative region, while the cationic moiety is the electropositive region.

Table 7. Calculated thermodynamic parameters of the title compound

Parameters	B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)	
Temperature (K)	298.15	
Pressure (atm)	1	
The sum of electronic and zero-point Energies (a.u.)	-1814.636376	
Zero-point vibrational energy (kcal/mol)	170.16634	
Rotational constants (GHz)	0.29215	
	0.06797	
	0.05720	
E (Thermal) (KCal/Mol)	186.008	
Specific heat (C _v) (cal /mol K)	91.777	
Entropy (S) (cal/mol K)	183.977	
Dipole moment (Debye)	24.6258	

|--|

T (K)	C° _{p,m} (cal/mol.K)	S°m (cal/mol.K)	ΔH° (kcal/mol)
100	182.981	476.807	11.903
200	287.291	635.564	35.403
298.15	392.310	769.872	68.761
300	394.257	772.305	69.489
400	493.125	899.605	113.976
500	575.378	1018.809	167.550
600	640.781	1129.730	228.487
700	692.455	1232.541	295.248
800	733.703	1327.798	366.631
900	767.108	1416.211	441.728
1000	794.535	1498.499	519.854



Figure 9. Molecular electrostatic potential map calculated at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level for the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.

3.6. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis

NBO analysis provides sufficient fundamentals for the investigation of charge transfer or conjugative interactions in molecular systems and also provides abundant methods for studying intramolecular and intermolecular bonding and interaction among bonds [49]. The interacting stabilization energy, some electron donor orbital and acceptor orbital disturbance theory are declared [50,51]. The E(2) value exhibits the interaction energy between the electron acceptor and the electron donors, and this value is greater than the dependence of the electron donation from the donor to the acceptor. The delocalization of electron density between occupied Lewis type (bond or lone pair) NBO orbitals and formally unoccupied (antibonding or Rydberg) non-Lewis NBO orbitals corresponds to a stabling donor-acceptor interaction [52]. Table 6 displays the most potent interactions and electron delocalization values. This information is critical in understanding the underlying chemical mechanisms and can significantly enhance our ability to predict molecular behavior with greater accuracy.

3.7. Thermal analysis

The TG curve of the title compound is shown in Figure 10. Important information about thermal stability, compound decomposition, and crystal purity can be obtained by thermogravimetry and differential thermogravimetry (TG/DTG) [53]. From the TG curve, it is clear that there is no weight loss between 30 and 157 °C and shows that the title compound is stable up to 157.46 °C and moisture-free. Furthermore, the crystal has a one-step decomposition. After 157.46 °C, there is no residue left due to decomposition resulting in the release of volatile substances such as CH₄, NO₂, NH₃, CO, CO₂ and H₂S molecules [54]. The purity of the title compound crystal is also illustrated by the sharpness of the thermogram.



Figure 10. TG/DTG thermograms of the title compound, 2-PyrTPPc.

3.8. Thermodynamic properties

Statistically thermodynamic parameters such as specific heat capacity (Cp), enthalpy (H), entropy (S), zero-point vibration energy (ZPVE), rotation constants, and rotational temperature thermodynamic parameters were calculated using the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) method in the ground state (Table 7).

The determination of thermochemical parameters such as the standard heat capacity of constant pressure (Cp), enthalpy (H) and entropy (S) and identification of the behavior of chemical compounds under temperature is quite important [55]. Properties of interest exert a direct influence on the vibrational dynamics between the atoms within chemical compounds. Furthermore, they play a crucial role in the assessment of the energy and heat associated with both chemical reactions and physical transformations, as outlined in Table 8.

4. Conclusions

Using a different route, we synthesized a new picrate salt. FT-IR, ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR spectral techniques were used to characterize the molecular structure of the title compound. The single crystals were deliberately grown using a meticulous slow evaporation method with tetrahydrofuran as a solvent. The molecular form was determined conclusively by rigorous X-ray structure analysis, enabling the precise calculation of geometrical parameters. In general, while the formation of the picrate salt of the aromatic amine is expected, the formation of the picrate salt of the amine synthesized through the reactions of substituted benzyne(pyridyne)-type intermediates is assumed according to the spectral data. Experimental data such as X-ray, FTIR, and Raman with optimized geometric parameters, and the vibrational frequency data obtained as the theoretical calculations have been tested using the B3LYP/6-311G (d, p) basis set. Furthermore, using these data, the analysis of HOMO and LUMO energy, electronegativity (χ), hardness (ŋ), softness (S), MEP and NBO of the picrate compound were also calculated. The properties of interest directly affect the vibrational dynamics of atoms within chemical compounds. These measurements are essential for evaluating energy transfer and thermal changes during chemical reactions and physical transformations. A thorough analysis of these processes provides valuable insights into the interactions among substances, such as the mechanisms by which energy is absorbed or released. TG-DTG analysis demonstrated the thermal stability of the 2-PyrTPPc crystal and indicated its good crystallinity with single-stage decomposition.

Acknowledgements

We are also grateful to TUBITAK ULAKBIM, the High Performance and Grid Computing Center (TRUBA Resources).

CRediT authorship contribution statement CR

Conceptualization: Fatma Aydin; Methodology: Fatma Aydin; Software: Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Validation: Fatma Aydin, Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Formal Analysis: Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Investigation: Fatma Aydin; Resources: Fatma Aydin; Data Curation: Fatma Aydin, Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Writing-Original Draft: Fatma Aydin, Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Writing-Review And Editing: Fatma Aydin, Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Visualization: Fatma Aydin, Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Funding Acquisition: Fatma Aydin, Asli Ozturk Kiraz; Project Administration: Fatma Aydin.

Disclosure statement os

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no financial interest/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests. Ethical approval: All ethical guidelines have been adhered. Sample availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the author.

Sample availability: Samples of the compounds are available from the author.

Supporting information S

CCDC-2335699 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the structure reported in this article. These data can be obtained free of charge

via <u>http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif</u>, by e-mailing <u>data_request</u> <u>@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u> or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax:+44-1223-336033.

Funding 🜖

This work was financially supported by the Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University (Grant Number: FBA-2016-672) and Pamukkale University (Grant numbers: 2018FEBE002 and 2020FEBE012).

ORCID 🝺 and Email 🖾

Fatma Aydin

- <u>faydin@comu.edu.tr</u>
- https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7219-6407

Asli Ozturk Kiraz

aslio@pau.edu.tr

(D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9837-0779

References

- Moran, D.; Sukcharoenphon, K.; Puchta, R.; Schaefer, H. F.; Schleyer, P. v.; Hoff, C. D. 2-Pyridinethiol/2-Pyridinethione Tautomeric Equilibrium. A Comparative Experimental and Computational Study. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67 (25), 9061–9069.
- [2]. Jones, R. A.; Katritzky, A. R. 721. Tautomeric pyridines. Part I. Pyrid-2and -4-thione. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 3610.
- [3]. Barton, D. H.; Hesse, R. H.; O'Sullivan, A. C.; Pechet, M. M. A new procedure for the conversion of thiols into reactive sulfenylating agents. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56 (23), 6697–6702.
- [4]. Hoogerheide, J.; Scott, R. Use of 2-mercaptopyridine for the determination of alkylating agents in complex matrices: application to dimethyl sulfate. *Talanta* 2005, 65 (2), 453–460.
- [5]. De C.T. Carrondo, M.; Dias, A.; Garcia, M. H.; Mirpuri, A.; Fátima, M.; Piedade, M.; Salema, M. S. Mercaptopyridine complexes of dicyclopentadienylmolybdenum and -tungsten: Preparation and electrochemistry. The structure of [Mo(η5-C5H5)2(2-SNC5H4)][PF6]. *Polyhedron* **1989**, *8* (20), 2439–2447.
- [6]. Yoon, S. A.; Kim, W.; Sharma, A.; Verwilst, P.; Won, M.; Lee, M. H. A Fluorescent Cy7-Mercaptopyridine for the Selective Detection of Glutathione over Homocysteine and Cysteine. *Sensors* 2018, 18 (9), 2897.
- [7]. Maruyama, K.; Nagasawa, H.; Suzuki, A. 2,2'-Bispyridyl disulfide rapidly induces intramolecular disulfide bonds in peptides. *Peptides* 1999, 20 (7), 881–884.
- [8]. Suguna, S.; Subbareddy, Y.; Jose, T. J.; Chand, N. R.; Ramakrishna, D.; Praveen, P. L. Synthesis, growth, and optical applications of N, N'-bis (2-aminophenyl) ethane-1,2-diammonium picrate: An organic crystal. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2024, 1300, 137295.
- [9]. Kolandaivelu, S.; Rajamoni, J.; Kandasamy, S. N-H...O, C-H... O hydrogen-bonded supramolecular frameworks in 4-fluoroanilinium and dicyclohexylaminium picrate salts. *Struct Chem* **2019**, *31* (3), 899–908.
- [10]. Ramarajan, D.; Tamilarasan, K.; Milenković, D.; Marković, Z.; Sudha, S.; Subhapriya, P. Experimental and theoretical investigations of an organic nonlinear optical material p-toluidinium picrate – A comparative study. J. Mol. Struct. 2019, 1195, 73–84.
- [11]. Arslan, N. B.; Aydin, F. The crystal magnification, characterization, Xray single crystal structure, thermal behavior, and computational studies of the 2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium picrate. *Eur. J. Chem.* 2022, 13 (4), 468–477.
- [12]. Aydin, F.; Arslan, N. B. Synthesis and structural characterization and DFT calculations of the organic salt crystal obtaining 9-aminoacridine and picric acid: 9-Aminoacridinium picrate. *Eur. J. Chem.* **2023**, *14* (3), 376–384.
- [13]. Karthigha, S.; Krishnamoorthi, C. Synthesis, growth, crystal structure, optical and third order nonlinear optical properties of quinolinium derivative single crystal: PNQI. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2018, 114, 133– 140.
- [14]. Chandramohan, A.; Bharathikannan, R.; Kandavelu, V.; Chandrasekaran, J.; Kandhaswamy, M. Synthesis, crystal growth, structural, thermal and optical properties of naphthalene picrate an organic NLO material. Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2008, 71 (3), 755–759.
- [15]. Bozkuş, S. I.; Hope, K. S.; Yüksel, B.; Atçeken, N.; Nazır, H.; Atakol, O.; Şen, N. Characterization and properties of a novel energetic Co-crystal formed between 2,4,6-Trinitrophenol and 9-Bromoanthracene. J. Mol. Struct. 2019, 1192, 145–153.
- [16]. Ghazaryan, V.; Fleck, M.; Petrosyan, A. Structure and vibrational spectra of l-alanine l-alaninium picrate monohydrate. J. Mol. Struct. 2012, 1015, 51–55.

- [17]. Fleck, M.; Ghazaryan, V.; Petrosyan, A. β-Alaninium picrate: A new salt with di-β-alaninium dimeric cation. J. Mol. Struct. 2012, 1019, 91–96.
- [18]. Ghazaryan, V.; Zakharov, B.; Boldyreva, E.; Petrosyan, A. l-Methioninium picrate. Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2015, 142, 344–349.
- [19]. Suguna, S.; Anbuselvi, D.; Jayaraman, D.; Nagaraja, K.; Jeyaraj, B. Synthesis, growth, structural and optical studies of organic nonlinear optical material – Piperazine-1,4-diium bis 2,4,6-trinitrophenolate. *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2014**, *132*, 330–338.
- [20]. Alexandar, A.; Surendran, P.; Sakthy Priya, S.; Lakshmanan, A.; Rameshkumar, P. Growth and characterizations of L-methioninium picrate single crystal for nonlinear optical applications. *J. Nonlinear Optic. Phys. Mat.* **2016**, 25 (04), 1650052.
- [21]. Levine, R.; Leake, W. W. Rearrangement in the Reaction of 3-Bromopyridine with Sodium Amide and Sodioacetophenone. *Science* 1955, 121 (3152), 780–780.
- [22]. Puleo, T. R.; Bandar, J. S. Base-catalyzed aryl halide isomerization enables the 4-selective substitution of 3-bromopyridines. *Chem. Sci.* 2020, 11 (38), 10517–10522.
- [23]. Janczak, J. Structure, vibrational characterization and DFT calculations of 1-(diaminomethylene)thiouron-1-ium 2,3-pyridinedicarboxylate. *Struct Chem* 2023, 35 (4), 1183–1198.
- [24]. Kannan, V.; Santha, A.; Sugumar, P.; Brahadeeswaran, S. Investigations on thermal, dielectric, and quantum chemical calculations of 2-amino-5-chloropyridinium 4-aminobenzoate: a nonlinear optical material. *Struct Chem* 2023, 35 (3), 977–991. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11224-023-02232-x</u>
- [25]. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 Program for Crystal Structure Solution and Refinement. University of Gottingen, Germany, Germany, 1997.
- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; [26]. Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- [27]. Dennington, R.; Keith, T. A.; Millam, J. M. GaussView, Version 6, Semichem Inc.; Shawnee Mission, KS, 2016.
- [28]. Foresman, J. B.; Frisch, Æ. In Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods, 2nd ed.; Gaussian Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1996.
- [29]. Walters, P.; Stahl, M. Babel, Vversion 1.1. Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, 1994.
- [30]. Essayem, N.; Lorentz, C.; Tuel, A.; Tâarit, Y. B. 1H NMR evidence for the bi-pyridinium nature of the pyridine salt of H3PW12040. *Catal. Commun.* 2005, 6 (8), 539–541.
- [31]. Cremer, D.; Pople, J. A. General definition of ring puckering coordinates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97 (6), 1354–1358.
- [32]. Burnett, M. N.; Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- [33]. Steiner, T. The Hydrogen Bond in the Solid State. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41 (1), 48–76.
- [34]. Cook, D. Vibrational spectra of pyridinium salts. Can. J. Chem. 1961, 39 (10), 2009–2024.
- [35]. Bryndal, I.; Drozd, M.; Lis, T.; Zaręba, J. K.; Ratajczak, H. Structural diversity of hydrogen-bonded complexes comprising phenol-based and pyridine-based components: NLO properties and crystallographic and spectroscopic studies. *CrystEngComm* 2020, 22 (27), 4552–4565.

- [36]. Moura, A. L.; Machado, P. H.; Corrêa, R. S. Picrate salts with bipyridine derivatives: intramolecular and intermolecular aspects. *Struct Chem* 2023, 34 (5), 1817–1826.
- [37]. Cao, H.; Ben, T.; Su, Z.; Zhang, M.; Kan, Y.; Yan, X.; Zhang, W.; Wei, Y. Absolute Configuration Determination of a New Chiral Rigid Bisetherketone Macrocycle Containing Binaphthyl and Thioether Moieties by Vibrational Circular Dichroism. *Macro Chemistry & Physics* 2005, 206 (11), 1140–1145.
- [38]. Socrates, G. Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies: Tables and Charts, 3rd Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 2004.
- [39]. Gümüs, H. P.; Tamer, O.; Avci, D.; Tarcan, E.; Atalay, Y. Theoretical investigations on nonlinear optical and spectroscopic properties of 6-(3,3,4,4,4-pentafluoro-2-hydroxy-1-butenyl)-2,4-pyrimidinedione: An efficient NLO material. *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.* **2014**, *88* (13), 2348– 2358.
- [40]. Zhang, J.; Li, T.; Liang, J.; Chen, L.; Zeng, Y.; Yang, L.; Zhou, J.; Ni, C. Synthesis, crystal structure, vibrational spectra, optical properties of disubstituted benzyl triphenylphosphinium picrate: Experiment and DFT/TDDFT calculations. J. Mol. Struct. 2020, 1210, 127972.
- [41]. Parr, R. G.; Pearson, R. G. Absolute hardness: companion parameter to absolute electronegativity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105 (26), 7512– 7516.
- [42]. Xavier, S.; Periandy, S.; Ramalingam, S. NBO, conformational, NLO, HOMO-LUMO, NMR and electronic spectral study on 1-phenyl-1propanol by quantum computational methods. *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2015**, *137*, 306–320.
- [43]. Chattaraj, P. K.; Sarkar, U.; Roy, D. R. Electrophilicity Index. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106 (6), 2065–2091.
- [44]. Parr, R. G.; Szentpály, L. v.; Liu, S. Electrophilicity Index. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121 (9), 1922–1924.
- [45]. Miar, M.; Shiroudi, A.; Pourshamsian, K.; Oliaey, A. R.; Hatamjafari, F. Theoretical investigations on the HOMO-LUMO gap and global reactivity descriptor studies, natural bond orbital, and nucleusindependent chemical shifts analyses of 3-phenylbenzo[d]thiazole-2(3H)-imine and its para-substituted derivatives: Solvent and substituent effects. J. Chem. Res. 2020, 45 (1-2), 147–158.
- [46]. Kayalvizhi, K.; Balakrishnan, C.; Suppuraj, P.; Lakshmanan, P.; Kalpana, S.; Senthan, S. Synthesis, structural characterization, Hirshfeld surface and theoretical studies of 2-bromopyridinium picrate. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* 2023, 760 (1), 85–98.
- [47]. Politzer, P.; Murray, J. S. The fundamental nature and role of the electrostatic potential in atoms and molecules. *Theor. Chem. Acc.: Theory Comput. Model. (Theor. Chim. Acta)* 2002, 108 (3), 134–142.
- [48]. Geerlings, P.; Proft, F. D.; Ayers, P. Chapter 1 Chemical reactivity and the shape function. *Theor. Comput. Chem.* 2007, 19, 1–17.
- [49]. Snehalatha, M.; Ravikumar, C.; Hubert Joe, I.; Sekar, N.; Jayakumar, V. Spectroscopic analysis and DFT calculations of a food additive Carmoisine. *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2009, 72 (3), 654–662.
- [50]. James, C.; Raj, A. A.; Reghunathan, R.; Jayakumar, V. S.; Joe, I. H. Structural conformation and vibrational spectroscopic studies of 2,6bis(*p*-*N*,*N*-dimethyl benzylidene)cyclohexanone using density functional theory. *J. Raman Spectroscopy* **2006**, *37* (12), 1381–1392.
- [51]. Liu, J.; Chen, Z.; Yuan, S. Study on the prediction of visible absorption maxima of azobenzene compounds. J. Zheijang Univ Sci B. 2005, 6 (6), 584–589.
- [52]. Sebastian, S.; Sundaraganesan, N. The spectroscopic (FT-IR, FT-IR gas phase, FT-Raman and UV) and NBO analysis of 4-Hydroxypiperidine by density functional method. *Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2010, 75 (3), 941–952.
- [53]. Coats, A. W.; Redfern, J. P. Thermogravimetric analysis. A review. Analyst 1963, 88 (1053), 906.
- [54]. Jauhar, R. M.; Viswanathan, V.; Vivek, P.; Vinitha, G.; Velmurugan, D.; Murugakoothan, P. A new organic NLO material isonicotinamidium picrate (ISPA): crystal structure, structural modeling and its physicochemical properties. *RSC. Adv.* **2016**, *6* (63), 57977–57985.
- [55]. Ott, J. B.; Boerio-Goates, J.; Beasley, D. Chemical Thermodynamics: Principles and Applications. Appl. Mech. Rev. 2001, 54 (6), B110–B110.



EY NC Copyright © 2025 by Authors. This work is published and licensed by Atlanta Publishing House LLC, Atlanta, GA, USA. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.eurjchem.com/index.php/eurjchem/terms and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial (CC BY NC) (International, v4.0) License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0). By accessing the work, you hereby accept the Terms. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the CC BY NC License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited without any further permission for Atlanta Publishing House LLC (European Journal of Chemistry). No use, distribution, or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Permissions for commercial use of this work beyond the scope of the License (https://www.eurichem.com/index.php/eurichem/terms) are administered by Atlanta Publishing House LLC (European Journal of Chemistry).